OPUSCULA ZOOLOGICA

INSTITUTI ZOOSYSTEMATICI ET OECOLOGICI UNIVERSITATIS BUDAPESTINENSIS

Redacta ab

G. BOROS et CS. CSUZDI

TOMUS XLVIII, SUPPLEMENTUM 2

Newsletter on Enchytraeidae No. 15

Proceedings of the 12th International Symposium on Enchytraeidae, 27–29 June 2016, Tihany, Hungary

BUDAPEST, 2017

Opusc. Zool. Budapest, 48 (S2), 2017

Opuscula Zoologica

INSTITUTI ZOOSYSTEMATICI ET OECOLOGICI UNIVERSITATIS BUDAPESTINENSIS

Redacta ab

G. BOROS et CS. CSUZDI

TOMUS XLVIII, SUPPLEMENTUM 2

This supplement volume is published only electronically. This supplement volume conforms to the requirements of the amended International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, and hence the new names contained herein are available under that Code. Each published work in this volume has been registered in ZooBank, and the individual registration codes are specified at each article.

This work has been archived and is permanently available from the following digital repositories: ZENODO, REAL and EPA

Published by the Eötvös Loránd University, Faculty of Science Department of Systematic Zoology and Ecology (head: Prof. János Török)

Launched in 1956

by

DR. ISTVÁN ANDRÁSSY, DR. ÁRPÁD BERCZIK and DR. GYÖRGY KERTÉSZ

The publication is supported by the Hungarian Academy of Sciences



Editorial Advisory Board

- R. J. BLAKEMORE, Yokohama National University, Yokohama, Japan
- K. BUCZKÓ, Hungarian Natural History Museum, Budapest, Hungary
- K. DÓZSA-FARKAS, Department of Systematic Zoology and Ecology, ELTE University, Budapest, Hungary
- V. MAHNERT, Muséum d'histoire naturelle, Genève, Switzerland
- T. NOVAK, Department of Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, University of Maribor
- T. PAVLÍČEK, Institute of Evolution, University of Haifa, Israel
- V. V. POP, Institute of Biological Research, Cluj, Romania
- F. SCHIEMER, Institute for Ecology and Conservation Biology, University of Vienna, Wien, Austria
- J. STARÝ, Department of Soil Zoology and Soil Microstructure, Institute of Soil Biology, Biology Centre AS CR, České Budějovice
- Á. SZENTESI, Department of Systematic Zoology and Ecology, ELTE University, Budapest, Hungary
- J. TÖRÖK, Department of Systematic Zoology and Ecology, ELTE University, Budapest, Hungary

eISSN 2063-1588

© Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest

Editorial

S ince 1994, the International Symposia on Enchytraeidae have been held in every two years. The Newsletter on Enchytraeidae is a series of proceedings, which from issue N° 4 present the scientific output of this meeting and traditionally edited by the attendance of the organizers of the conference.

The Newsletter includes contributions presented at the 12th International Symposium on Enchytraeidae held at the Limnological Institute of the Centre for Ecological Research, Tihany, Hungary, 27-29 June 2016.

In total, seventeen participants from ten countries attended the symposium. During the three days, twelve oral and five poster presentations were given and discussed. As usual at these Symposia, a workshop provided the opportunity for the participants to study and practice the identification of specimens of enchytraeids supervised by Rüdiger M. Schmelz and Klára Dózsa-Farkas.

The papers in this volume cover a wide range of basic and applied research on terrestrial enchytraeids, and they contribute valuable information to the knowledge of taxonomy, ecology, and ecotoxicology of this Oligochaete family. All papers included in this volume have been critically evaluated by two reviewers. Referees' and all authors' efforts are highly appreciated to make these proceedings possible.

The symposium was organized by Gergely Boros and kindly hosted by the Limnological Institute of the Centre for Ecological Research of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. Special thanks are due to Réka Ádám, István Egervári, Bence Kovács and László Somay, who did a lot of work behind the scenes to make the symposium comfortable for all participants.

The 13th International Symposium on Enchytraeidae will take place in Versailles, France, hosted by INRA and organized by Céline Pelosi.

Gergely Boros

List of participants

Joël Amossé

INRA ECOSYS, Bât 6, Route de Saint-Cyr - RD 10 78026 Versailles, France

Roswitha Antensteiner

Die Wiener Volkshochschulen, 1090 Wien, Lustkandlgasse 10, Austria

Sylvain Bart

INRA ECOSYS, Bât 6, Route de Saint-Cyr - RD 10 78026 Versailles, France

Anneke Beylich

IFAB Institut für Angewandte Bodenbiologie GmbH, Tornberg 24a, 22337 Hamburg, Germany

Martina Bílková

Department of Botany and Zoology, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Kotlářská 2, 611 37 Brno, Czechia

Gergely Boros

MTA Centre for Ecological Research, Institute of Ecology and Botany, Vácrátót, Hungary MTA Centre for Ecological Research, GINOP Sustainable Ecosystem Research Group, Tihany, Hungary

Klára Dózsa-Farkas

Department of Systematic Zoology and Ecology, Eötvös Loránd University, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, Budapest, Hungary

Ulfert Graefe

IFAB Institut für Angewandte Bodenbiologie GmbH, Tornberg 24a, 22337 Hamburg, Germany

Yong Hong

Chonbuk National University, Department of Agricultural Biology, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, South Korea

Agnieszka Józefowska

University of Agriculture in Krakow, al. Mickiewicza 21, 31-120 Kraków, Poland

Svante Martinsson

University of Gothenburg, Sweden

Céline Pelosi

INRA ECOSYS, Bât 6, Route de Saint-Cyr - RD 10 78026 Versailles, France

Jörg Römbke

ECT Oekotoxikologie GmbH, Böttgerstraße 2 – 14, 65439 Flörsheim am Main, Germany

Jiří Schlaghamerský

Department of Botany and Zoology, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Kotlářská 2, 611 37 Brno, Czechia

Rüdiger M. Schmelz

ECT Oekotoxikologie GmbH, Böttgerstraße 2 – 14, 65439 Flörsheim am Main, Germany

Tarmo Timm

Estonian University of Life Sciences, Fr.R.Kreutzwaldi 1, 51014 Tartu, Estonia

Yulia Zvereva

Limnological Institute, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Scienses, Ulan-Batorskaya St., Irkutsk, 664033, Russia

Description of *Enchytronia pygmaea* sp. n. (Enchytraeidae, Clitellata), a very small enchytraeid in European soils

U. GRAEFE¹ & R. M. SCHMELZ^{2,3}

¹Ulfert Graefe, IFAB Institut für Angewandte Bodenbiologie GmbH, Tornberg 24a, 22337 Hamburg, Germany ²Rüdiger M. Schmelz, ECT Oekotoxikologie GmbH, Böttgerstrasse 2–14, D-65439 Flörsheim, Germany ³Universidad de A Coruña, Fac. Ciencias, GIBE, Grupo de Investigación en Biología Evolutiva, CICA, As Carballeiras s/n, Campus de Elviña, 15071 A Coruña, Spain

Abstract. A new and very small European species of terrestrial enchytraeids is described, *Enchytronia pygmaea* sp. nov. (Enchytraeidae, Oligochaeta). It differs from all enchytraeids known so far in the chaetal pattern: lateral bundles have 2 chaetae from segment II to V, 0 from segment VI to XII–XV, and only 1 chaeta in lateral postclitellar bundles; ventral bundles have 2 chaetae. A further peculiarity is the presence of only 1 pair of preclitellar nephridia. The species must be considered as widespread as it is recorded here from 17 different localities distributed over seven European countries ranging from the Atlantic to the Mediterranean zone.

Keywords. New species, Oligochaeta, Annelida, soil biodiversity, taxonomy.

INTRODUCTION

A new and very small species of Enchytraeidae is described. It was discovered in the course of various large-scale sampling campaigns carried out in Europe during the last 30 years. The species must be considered widespread as it is recorded here from 17 different localities distributed over seven European countries ranging from the Atlantic to the Mediterranean zone. It is easily identified due to a chaetal pattern that has hitherto not been found in any species of enchytraeids.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Soil samples were extracted for enchytraeids, using either cold or hot wet extraction. Enchytraeids were identified and investigated in vivo. A representative sample of specimens was fixed in hot Bouin's fluid, stained, and whole-mounted. Other specimens from several localities, the type locality included, were preserved in 96% or 100% ethanol, for future DNA sequencing. Mode of description follows Schmelz & Collado (2010). Geographical coordinates are expressed in decimal degrees, with southern latitudes and western longitudes given as negative numbers (GPS coordinates). "BDF" refers to permanent soil monitoring sites in Germany ("Boden-Dauerbeobachtungs-Flächen"). Specimens found by the second author were extracted from soil samples that had been taken by other workers and been sent to him.

RESULTS

Enchytronia pygmaea sp. nov.

(Figures 1-2)

Material examined. Holotype. ZMH OL 14530, adult specimen, stained whole mount; Germany, city of Hamburg, urban lawn Amsinckpark, German permanent soil monitoring site (BDF-HH), 53.36719; 9.56378, 54 m asl, pH (CaCl₂) 4.3, leg. U. Graefe, 29 IV 2013.

Paratypes. ZMH OL 14531-35, 5 specimens, adult, stained whole mounts, same data as holo-

urn: lsid:zoobank.org:pub:AC33F61D-6188-40A7-AE1B-E920984256AF *published: 02, November 2017* HU ISSN 2063-1588 (online), HU ISSN 0237-5419 (print) *http://dx.doi.org/10.18348/opzool.2017.S2.3*

type. ZMH OL 14536, 10 specimens, preserved in 96% ethanol, from type locality; leg. U. Graefe, 26 IV 2016.

Further material. Germany, type locality: 10 specimens, preserved in 96% ethanol, from type locality; leg. U. Graefe, 26.IV.2016. 139 specimens, mostly adult, extracted from soil samples of type locality, leg. U. Graefe, 20.X.1992 and 5.X.2012, not preserved.

Germany, other localities: 5 specimens, adult, stained whole mounts: North Rhine-Westphalia, Lippetal/Lippborg (project "Vergleichsuntersuchungen Alternativer Landbau" der Landesanstalt für Ökologie), 51.39546; 8.02009, arable land, sandy soil, pH 6.0-6.4; leg. U. Graefe, 6.V.1986. Further specimens from same locality investigated in vivo 1986–1989, not preserved. - 1 specimen, adult, Schleswig-Holstein, BDF-SH 23 Bokhorst (permanent soil monitoring site), 54.03473; 9.24003, arable land, sandy soil, pH (CaCl₂) 5.8; leg. U. Graefe, 21.V.1996, not preserved. - 16 specimens, adult, Schleswig-Holstein, BDF-SH 26 Bad Bramstedt (permanent soil monitoring site), 53.55297; 9.55244, grassland, sandy soil, pH (CaCl₂) 4.9-5.6; leg. U. Graefe, 29.XI.1998 and 2.XI.2005, not preserved. - 1 specimen, adult, Brandenburg, Komturei Lietzen (ZALF field trial reduced tillage), 52.29451; 14.21344, 48 m asl, arable land, sandy soil, pH (KCl) 5.1; leg. U. Graefe, 1.XI.2005, not preserved. - 2 specimens, adult, Schleswig-Holstein, BDF-SH 09 Schuby (permanent soil monitoring site), 54.32087; 9.26005, 15 m asl, arable land, sandy soil, pH (CaCl₂) 5.3; leg. U. Graefe, 4.XI.2009, not preserved. - 2 specimens, adult, Brandenburg, Müncheberg (ZALF permanent field trial V 140), 52.31018; 14.07192, arable land, sandy soil; leg. U. Graefe, 13.X.2010 and 19.IX.2013, not preserved. - 4 specimens, adult, Schleswig-Holstein, BDF-SH 24 Bornhöved (permanent soil monitoring site), 54.32087; 9.26005, 38 m asl, arable land, sandy soil, pH (CaCl₂) 5.4; leg. U. Graefe, 25.IX.2015, not preserved. - 22 specimens, adult, Schleswig-Holstein, BDF-SH 22 Hindorf (permanent soil monitoring site), 53.59597; 9.08497, 20 m asl, grassland, sandy soil, pH (CaCl₂) 4.7; leg. U. Graefe, 20.X.2016, not preserved. – 1 specimen, juvenile, stained whole mount: Naturpark Eifel (Natural Reserve), near Erkensruhr, 50.54022; 6.33821, 508 m asl, old-growth beech forest on brown soil, pH 3.29, R.M. Schmelz (project Edaphobase II) X.2014. Six further specimens from the same and an adjacent site, investigated *in vivo*, not preserved.

Estonia: 14 specimens, adult, Tartu County, Kaagvere (research area UA-II), 58.20272; 26.54215, 45 m asl, mixed pine-spruce forest, loamy sand on sandy loam, WRB soil classification Dystric Albic Glossic Fragic Retisol, pH (KCl) 4.3; leg. U. Graefe, 28.VIII.2012, not preserved.

Denmark: Three specimens, 2 subadult, 1 juvenile, stained whole mounts: Jutland, Foulum, 56.483; 9.567, 53 m asl, experimental grassland of Arhus University; leg. R.M. Schmelz, 12.V.2011. Four further specimens collected from same site, two at same date, two in X.2010, not preserved.

The Netherlands: Three specimens, adult, in 100% ethanol: Dennenkamp, 2.028611; 5.800556, 50 m asl, extensively managed grassland (project EcoFINDERS), pH 5.4–5.6; leg. R.M. Schmelz, 26 XII 2011. Further 71 specimens, not preserved. Further 12 specimens from an adjacent grassland site (Nieuw Reemst, 52.042500; 5.774722, pH 4.6), not preserved.

France: One specimen, adult: Pays de la Loire, Dép. Vendée, 46.758333; -1.867194, 18 m asl, small Atlantic oak forest plot (80x100 m, 9 km to the coast, *Quercus robur*) on loamy sand, pH data unavailable (project EcoFINDERS), RMQS site ("Réseau de Mesures de la Qualité des Sols", French Monitoring Network for Soil Quality); leg. R.M. Schmelz, 11.III.2013. Further 6 specimens from same locality, not preserved. – 1 specimen, stained whole mount: Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes, Dép. Cantal, 45.10875; 2.530472, 944 m asl, steep, south-exposed mountain grassland on clay loam, pH data unavailable (project EcoFIN-DERS), RMQS site; leg. R.M. Schmelz, 7.I.2013. *Italy*: Three specimens, adult, stained whole mounts: 2 specimens, adult, in 100% ethanol; Sardinia, Berchidda, 40.822920; 9.279786 (2 sites within a radius of ca. 50 m), 335 m asl, oak wood, pH 5.8–6.4 (project EcoFINDERS); leg. R.M. Schmelz, 19.V.2012. Further 7 specimens from same locality, not preserved.

Portugal: One specimen, juvenile: stained whole mount; Olaia, 40.1706; -8.716, 7 m asl, oak wood on a small hill in flood plain near the coast, soil type and pH unknown; leg. R.M. Schmelz, 18.V.2014. Four further specimens from same locality, not preserved.

Diagnosis. Very small enchytraeids, adults *ca*. 1.5–2 mm long *in vivo*, 17–19 segments, chaetal formula 2,0 - (0),1 : 2 - 2, chaetae absent laterally from VI to XII–XV; from XIII–XVI on only 1 chaeta per bundle, brain rounded posteriorly, pharyngeal glands united in IV, V, separate and elongate in VI, oesophageal appendages and intestinal diverticula absent, dorsal blood vessel from XI with peristomial anterior bifurcation, one pair preclitellar nephridia at 7/8, clitellum only lateral, male reproductive organs small, spermathecae with ectal gland, non-glandular ectal duct, small ampulla without diverticula, ental ducts united proximally.

Description. Slow body movements. Colour transparently whitish-pale with gut-contents shining through. Body dimensions: length 1.5-1.9 mm (viv), 1.3-1.45 mm (fix), juveniles down to 0.5mm (viv); diameter 0.05–0.07 mm (viv), fix: 0.06– 0.1 at V, 0.07-0.12 at XII, 0.06-0.09 at XV. Holotype (fix): length 1.4 mm, diameter 0.1 mm at V, 0.1 mm at XII, 0.07 mm at XV. Segment number 17-19. Chaetae. Formula 2,0 - (0),1 : 2 -2. Laterally two chaetae from II-V, absent from VI to XII-XV; from XIII-XVI on, 1 chaeta per bundle. Ventrally 2 chaetae per bundle, absent in XII. Chaetae straight with weak ental hook, ectally pointed; length range 10-26 µm, diameter ca. 2 μ m; chaetae shorter anteriorly (*ca.* 15 μ m) than posteriorly (up to 20-26 μ m), and shorter laterally than ventrally, e.g. (1 specimen): chaetae increasing in size from 10 μ m (II) to 15 μ m (V) laterally, and from 16 µm (II) to 20 µm (V) ventrally. Lateral postclitellar chaetae near clitellum smallest (10 µm), caudal ventral chaetae largest (20-26 µm). Prostomium short, with thickened frontal epithelium. Head pore not ascertained, probably at 0/I. Epidermal gland cells not seen. Body wall ca. 3-4 µm thick, i.e. comparatively thin, cuticle inconspicuous, mostly < 1um. Brain rounded posteriorly, extending into III, twice as long as wide. Pharyngeal pad small, almost as high as long, roughly spherical, often below brain. Pharyngeal glands united in IV and V dorsally, primary ventral lobes in V; glands separate in VI, larger than in IV or V, compact, variable in shape, not separated into dorsal and ventral lobe, often incised halfway. Holotype with postero-dorsal projections and small posteroventral projections into VII. No oesophageal appendages, no intestinal diverticula. Chloragocytes from IV, dense layer from VII, cell diameter ca. 16 µm, equalling chaetal lengths; vesicles conspicuously greenish-brown, diameter 1-2 µm, more than twice the diameter of the coelomocyte vesicles. Oesophagus narrow; transition into intestine marked as a spindle-shaped widening, together with a more or less abrupt widening of gut lumen; no canals or furrows distinguished; widening beginning at about 1/2 VI – 1/2 VII; here long cilia in movement observed. Midgut: Pars tumida in XIV–XVI, occupying 1–2 segment lengths; no Cejkaian tubules. Dorsal blood vessel from XI, anterior bifurcation in peristomium. Two pairs of commissural vessels seen in IV; ventral blood vessel from IV on. One pair of preclitellar nephridia, at 7/8. Anteseptale with parts of nephridial body, no constriction at septum, funnel in obliquely upright position, postseptale ca. 2x as long as anteseptale; efferent duct as a narrowed continuation of postseptale. Windings of canal dense and conspicuous, down to nephridioporus. No terminal vesicles. Postclitellar nephridia in many positions, e.g. at 13/14, 14/15, 15/16, 17/18, paired and unpaired. Coelomocytes one type, mucocytes. Cells flat, almost disc-shaped, slightly longer than wide, length ca. 20 µm, completely and homogeneously filled with small, conspicuous and slightly brownish vesicles, neither opaque not translucent in transmitted light, pro-



Figure 1. Enchytronia pygmaea sp. nov. A = Anterior end, dorsal view, holotype. Spermathecae shaded grey. Punctation in brain indicate region of perikarya. The pharyngeal pad is hidden below the brain. B = Anterior end, lateral view, paratype. Spermathecae shaded grey. Pharyngeal pad below brain. Coelomocytes shown in VI–VII. C = Spermathecae, dorsal view, holotype. D = Clitellum, lateral view, non-type specimen from Sardinia, Italy. E. Preclitellar nephridium, lateral view. F = Gonadal region, latero-ventral view. Roman numerals: segments. Scale bar = 100µm (A–B), 50 µm (C–F).

viding a slight tinge of the cell. Vesicles distinctly smaller than chloragocyte vesicles, estimated diameter 0.5 μ m. Cells brownish *in vivo*, with rose tint in the paracarmine-stained whole mounts. In fixed specimens, cells in oblique position often lemon-shaped, apparently because of flat cell margins.

Clitellum only laterally developed, *i.e.* absent dorsally and ventrally; dorsal margin one longitudinal cell row above lateral chaetae, ventral margin at level of male pores; longitudinal extension from septum 11/12 to chaetae of XIII; cells in *ca.* 11 separate rows; inconspicuous in most specimens; anterior and posterior margins as

well as cell types not well-distinguished; gland cells about twice as high (*ca*. 5 μ m) as adjacent epidermis (*ca*. 2 μ m). When fully developed, up to 12 μ m high, hyalocytes inflated, granulocytes not distinguishable. Seminal vesicle absent, few cysts dorsally in XI. Spermatozoa *ca*. 30 μ m long, heads 12–15 μ m long. Sperm funnel small, length *ca*. 40 μ m, less than 1/2 body diameter, collar conspicuous, about as high as wide (15 μ m), funnel body flattened, about as wide as long, maximum diameter equalling length (*ca*. 25 μ m), minimum diameter almost equalling collar diameter. Vas deferens comparatively short, in 1– 2 wide loops, diameter *ca*. 5 μ m, estimated length 2x body diameter. Male pore surrounded by a small lens-shaped gland, about twice as high as body wall, length 25 μ m, *ca.* 1.5x as long as wide or high. Male pores apparently on body surface, no bursa or bursal slits distinguished. No subneural glands. Spermathecae united middorsally, ectal gland rounded, sessile, diameter *ca.* 15 μ m; ectal duct half as wide as ectal gland, 1– 2x as long as ampulla (depending on state of contraction), not glandular but outline wavy due to adhering cell nuclei, ampulla inconspicuous, spherical or ellipsoid, diameter *ca.* 11 μ m, sperm not distinguished in living and preserved specimens. Ental ducts shorter than ectal ducts, uniting mid-dorsally. One large egg at a time, occupying more than 1 segment length.



Figure 2. Enchytronia pygmaea sp. nov. A = Anterior body region, dorsal view, living non-type specimen from type locality, strongly flattened to show peristomial bifurcation of dorsal blood vessel. B = Anterior body region, latero-dorsal view, paratype. C = Clitellar region, dorso-lateral view, paratype. D = Anterior body region, ventral view, holotype. E = Anterior body region, ventral view, focusing plane in dorsal body region, holotype.

DISCUSSION

Species comparison and generic placement

We place this species in the genus *Enchytronia* Nielsen & Christensen, 1959, because of the shape and arrangement of chaetae lacking laterally in a number of preclitellar segments, the peristomial bifurcation of the dorsal blood vessel, the overall shape of the spermathecae, and the only laterally developed clitellum. It disagrees with the generic diagnosis – and with all species included in this genus so far – by the posteriorly rounded brain (incised in the other species), the presence of only one lateral chaeta in postclitellar positions (2 in the other species), and in the presence of only one pair of preclitellar nephridia.

As an alternative, the new species could be placed in Marionina Michaelsen, 1890, sensu auctorum. However, Marionina is a heterogeneous and polyphyletic assemblage of species (Rota et al. 2008, Schmelz & Collado 2008). Furthermore, the new species is much more similar to the type species of Enchytronia (Enchytronia parva Nielsen & Christensen, 1959) than to the type species of Marionina (Pachydrilus georgianus Michaelsen, 1888) considering morphology, distribution and habitat: Enchytronia parva is a small mineral soil dweller with palearctic distribution; it has a maximum of two, distally straight chaetae per bundle, chaetae often missing laterally in some segments before clitellum, the nephridial anteseptale is with coils of canal, and spermathecae are fused entally. Marionina georgiana, on the other hand, is a marine littoral subantarctic species with up to 6 (or even 7) sigmoid chaetae per bundle in asymmetric fan-shaped arrangement, all positions occupied; the nephridial anteseptale consists of the funnel only, and spermathecae are separate entally (Rota et al. 2008, Schmelz & Collado 2008).

From the remarks above it is obvious that the new species has to be compared with species of *Enchytronia* and *Marionina*. The pattern of chaetae is unique in Enchytraeidae, and few species are as small as or even smaller than E. pygmaea. The most apparent attribute of the new species is the presence of lateral chaetae in only 4 preclitellar segments, from II-V, a character shared by Marionina minutissima Healy, 1975, and Enchytronia oligosetosa Sesma & Dózsa-Farkas, 1993, two species of likewise small body size. However, M. minutissima is distinguished from the new species by the absence of lateral postclitellar chaetae, a posteriorly incised brain and the presence of yellow-brown epidermal glands on each segment. E. oligosetosa differs in having 2 chaetae per bundle laterally in postclitellar segments and a pair of lateral intestinal diverticula in VI. The number of preclitellar nephridia is not explicitly described in either species. Marionina clavata Nielsen & Christensen, 1961 has a similar chaetal formula: 2,0 - 1 : 2 - 2, but lateral preclitellar chaetae are present in 7 segments, from II to VIII, and not in 4, from II to V, as in *E. pygmaea*. Further differences from the new species are a pair of oesophageal appendages in IV, dorsally developed clitellum, and a posteriorly incised brain, among other characters. The presence in E. pygmaea of only one pair of preclitellar nephridia is unique among species of Enchytronia and Marionina, but this character is unknown in many species of these two genera. It is known, however, in the two other similarly small enchytraeid species known to-date: M. eleonorae Rota, 1995 (15-17 segments, body length 0.9-1.4 mm) and *M. deminuta* Rota, 2013 (16-21 segments, 0.9–1.4 mm) have preclitellar nephridia at 7/8 and 8/9; furthermore, they differ from the new species in the presence of two chaetae in all lateral postclitellar bundles, among many other characters.

In general, *E. pygmaea* is most easily identified because of the unique chaetal pattern, which shows up well even in fixed material. Among the preserved specimens, only those from Italy have lateral postclitellar chaetae throughout from XIII, in all other specimens, the holotype included, some postclitellar segments are without lateral chaetae. However, the state of this character is unknown in the specimens that were investigated only *in vivo*.

Distribution and habitat

(Figure 3)

Up to now, *E. pygmaea* was found in Germany, Denmark, The Netherlands, France, Portugal, Italy, and Estonia. The distribution of the new species can hence be characterized as widespread in Europe. The species is a mineral soil dweller and seems to prefer sandy soils without restriction to a specific land-use type (forest, grassland, arable land). In terms of humus forms the known locations can be classified as

Mull sites ranging from moderately to slightly acid soil conditions (Graefe & Schmelz 1999). It was often but not exclusively found in deeper strata (below 5 cm from surface), similar to *Enchytronia parva*. It was abundant at the type locality and at the Netherlands sites; these are all extensively used grassland sites.

Acknowledgments – The second authors thanks numerous workers who took soil samples in the framework of different projects, notably the European-funded EcoFINDERS project and the German-funded Edaphobase project.



Figure 3. Localities with finds of *Enchytronia pygmaea* sp. nov. in Europe, and especially Schleswig-Holstein (top left). The type locality (filled circle) is shown twice.

REFERENCES

GRAEFE, U. & SCHMELZ, R.M. (1999): Indicator values, strategy types and life forms of terrestrial Enchytraeidae and other microannelids. In. SCHMELZ, R.M. & SÜHLO K. (Ed.) Newsletter on Enchytraeidae No. 6. Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Enchytraeidae, Osnabrück, Germany, Universitätsverlag Rasch, Osnabrück, Germany, p. 59–67.

HEALY, B. (1975): A description of five new species of Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta) from Ireland. Zoolo*gical Journal of the Linnean Society*, 56: 315–326. doi: 10.1111/j.1096-3642.1975.tb00273.x

- MICHAELSEN, W. (1888): Die Oligochaeten von Süd-Georgien. Jahrbuch der Hamburgischen Wissenschaftlichen Anstalten, 4–5: 55–73.
- NIELSEN, C.O. & CHRISTENSEN, B. (1959): The Enchytraeidae. Critical revision and taxonomy of European species (Studies on Enchytraeidae VII). *Natura Jutlandica*, 8–9: 1–160.
- NIELSEN, C.O. & CHRISTENSEN, B. (1961): The Enchytraeidae. Critical revision and taxonomy of European species. Supplement 1. *Natura Jutlandica*, 10: 1–23.
- ROTA, E. (1995): Italian Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta).
 I. *Bollettino di Zoologia*, 62: 183–231.
 doi: 10.1080/11250009509356067
- ROTA, E., MATAMOROS, L. & ERSÉUS, C. (2008): In search of *Marionina* (Clitellata, Enchytraeidae): A taxonomic history of the genus and re-description

of the type species *Pachydrilus georgianus* Michaelsen, 1888. *Italian Journal of Zoology*, 75: 1–20. doi: 10.1080/11250000801930433

- SCHMELZ, R.M. & COLLADO, R. (2008): A type-based redescription of *Pachydrilus georgianus* Michaelsen, 1888, the type species of *Marionina* Michaelsen, 1890, with comments on *Christensenidrilus* Dózsa-Farkas & Convey, 1997 (Enchytraeidae, "Oligochaeta", Annelida). Verhandlungen des Naturwissenschaftlichen Vereins in Hamburg (Neue Folge), 44: 7–22.
- SCHMELZ, R.M. & COLLADO, R. (2010): A guide to European terrestrial and freshwater species of Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta). *Soil Organisms*, 82: 1–176.
- SESMA, V. & DÓZSA-FARKAS, K. (1993): Description of seven new species of Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta) from Spain. Acta Zoologica Hungarica, 39: 249–265.

Feeding spectrum of a dominant splash zone enchytraeid, Mesenchytraeus bungei Michaelsen, 1901 (Annelida: Oligochaeta), in Lake Baikal (East Siberia)

YU.M. ZVEREVA*, O.A. TIMOSHKIN

Yulia Mikhailovna Zvereva, Oleg Anatolievich Timoshkin, Laboratory of Biology of Water Invertebrates, Limnological Institute SB RAS, Ulan-Batorskaya st., 3, Irkutsk, 664033, Russia. *E-mail: zvereva@lin.irk.ru

Abstract. The first data on feeding spectrum of Baikal enchytraeids are given. Previously we found that *Mesenchytraeus bungei* Michaelsen, 1901 dominates in abundance in splash zone Oligochaeta community of Bolshie Koty Bay, Lake Baikal. Feeding spectrum of *M. bungei* was investigated in summer (partially also in spring and autumn) of 2010–2012 and 2015. Our study is based on pellet content analyses of more than 200 *M. bungei* specimens. Diverse components in different ratio were found during the investigation period, namely green algae, diatoms, higher plants debris, various animal remains, some minor components and sediment particles. All the pellet content was subdivided into phytogenous and animal material, unidentified matter and sediment particles. Phytogenous material appeared to be a dominant component of pellets (up to 87%) in almost all cases. Our analysis showed that *M. bungei* is a saprophage with a preference to phytogenous detritus.

Key words. Feeding, splash zone, Enchytraeidae, Mesenchytraeus bungei, Lake Baikal

INTRODUCTION

quatic oligochaetes (Annelida: Oligochaeta) are easily found at the bottom of different water bodies and play an essential role in selfpurification and organic matter decomposition processes. Investigation of oligochaetes' feeding behavior is necessary in order to assess their significance for food chains; nevertheless, most of facts on it are ambiguous and contradictory. The way of feeding is inseparably related to their lifestyle. For instance, phytophilic worms living among the aquatic vegetation feed like grazers (Monakov 1998), using periphyton (e.g., diatoms and other unicellular algae) with organic sediment particles as a food. Mostly it concerns representatives of the Family Naididae. They are capable of selective digestion of ingested particles (Timm 1987). Species of the genus Chaetogaster can attack and ingest tiny invertebrates (Monakov 1998, Čekanovskaya 1962).

The tubificids, lumbriculids and aquatic enchytraeids belong to the ground-dwelling oligochaetes (Čekanovskaya 1962). It has been considered for a long time that such worms just pass sediment particles through their intestine without any selection, but many studies disproved this point of view (Brinkhurst & Austin 1979, Rodriguez *et al.* 2001, Poddubnaya 1961).

Soil enchytraeids could be considered as wellstudied ones in terms of their trophism. But before the work of O'Connor (1967) one could find only scattered data for different species of soil enchytraeids until the above author had surveyed all known facts on their feeding behavior. Soil enchytraeids feed on diverse items: decaying leaf litter, bacteria, fungi, nematodes (Dózsa-Farkas 1976). When cultivated, they can utilize oats, yeast, algae and even dead bodies of lumbriculids and arthropods (Briones & Ineson 2002). Several authors have shown that enchytraeids demonstrated evident preference to microfungi (Dash *et al.* 1980) but, vice versa, others have denied it (Standen & Latter 1977).

On contrary to soil enchytraeids, feeding of the aquatic ones is almost unknown (Timm 1987).

We could find some information on the subject for marine enchytraeids only, since representatives of the family are a dominant component of marine meiobenthos (Giere 2009). Giere (1975) examined a diet of some dominant marine enchytraeid species in details. According to the author, marine enchytraeids can feed on diatoms (Marionina subterranea Knöllner, 1935), bacteria [M. spicula (Leuckart, 1847), Lumbricillus lineatus (Müller, 1774)] and decaying aquatic plants and macrophytes [L. rivalis (Levinsen, 1884), L. lineatus and Enchytraeus albidus Henle, 1837].

There is a marked lack of information on the trophism of freshwater enchytraeid species, despite the fact they are often to be found in lacustrine littoral (Lindegaard *et al.* 1994) and profundal (Timm 1996) zone. The data on the feeding of the Baikalian Enchytraeidae are also lacking. That is one of the reasons why our investigation focuses on the study of feeding of the *Mesenchytraeus bungei* Michaelsen, 1901.

The second reason is that the species is widely distributed for the whole lake splash zone. Recently we have started an investigation of the Lake Baikal splash zone (Timoshkin *et al.* 2012b). This zone is an above-water part of the littoral, which is subject to wave action (an analogue of marine supralittoral). So far there was only one special work focused on the coastal zone (Veinberg & Kamaltynov 1998). Our and previous studies showed Baikal Lake splash zone as unique one with quite specific hydrodynamic and temperature regime. We revealed this zone has some characteristic peculiarities, for instance there is the highest level of detritus accumulation here (Timoshkin *et al.* 2012a).

It seems remarkable that taxocenoses of the Baikalian splash zone are dominated by a single or 2–3 species. For example, the Oligochaeta community here is dominated by *M. bungei* (Zvereva *et al.* 2012). It comprised up to 92% of the total number of oligochaetes. Though, the identity of this mass enchytraeid species and the species, which was described by Michaelsen in

1901, one could call into a question. M. bungei was mentioned in Čekanovskava 1962, Veinberg & Kamaltynov 1998, and Semernoy, 2004 without any revision. The only attempt to redescribe it was made by Timm (2003) on a single available specimen differed from the Michaelsen's original description. So now the species evidently needs a revision (Timm 2003). Nevertheless, the authors still believe the species under study is a single mass species. Our supposition is supported by preliminary molecular analyses (unpublished data). Although there is a taxonomic problem, it seems reasonable to investigate such dominant species from ecological point of view to reveal their role for the whole lake ecosystem. Obtained results could be regarded as the first data on feeding habits of Baikal enchytraeids.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Lake Baikal, located in the central part of Asia (eastern Siberia) on the border of Irkutsk Region and the Republic of Buryatia, Russia. Our investigation was carried out at the Limnological Institute field station in Bolshie Koty Bay. The bay is slightly jutting into the land near the village of the same name, located 20 km north-east of Listvyanka village, South Baikal (Fig. 1).

Investigation was conducted in the different months of 2010–2012 and 2015. There are two routine methods for oligochaetes' feeding pattern study: (1) stomach content analyses (Dash *et al.* 1980, McElhone 1979, Poddubnaya 1961) and (2) pellet content examination (Rodriguez *et al.* 2001). The latter method seemed to us more appropriate after practicing both of these approaches.

The present study was based on pellet content analyses of *M. bungei*, collected on the beach opposite to Limnological Institute field station (Fig. 1). Specimens were sampled in the splash zone, at the area between the water edge and 0.5 m upward. A total of 207 specimens were examined.

We chose worms of the largest size group (3-4 cm long) for our analysis. They were kept in Petri dishes with 3 ml of bottled Baikal water in the



Figure 1. Sampling site location (big black dot) in Bolshie Koty Bay, Lake Baikal

fridge individually for 24 hours. Then pellets from the dishes were mounted on slides. We examined the slides under a microscope and estimated the percentage contribution of each food component in 10–20 microscopic fields per slide. The similar method of estimation was implied by Poddubnaya (1961) for the intestine content of tubificids.

For estimation we subdivided all pellet content into three basic groups: (1) phytogenous material *sensu lato* or pellet phyto-component (it consists of algae, macrophytes and land plant remains), (2) animal material and (3) unidentified matter (Fig. 3). Fungi and Cyanobacteria from pellets were mentioned separately from phytogenous material. Besides the foregoing components we found sediment particles, but they could not be considered as a food item.

Pellet content was analyzed with Olympus CX21FS1 microscope, with 4x, 10x, 20x and 40x magnifications. Photos were made by means of Olympus C-3040 zoom (3.3 MPx) camera and digital camera ToupCam FMA050 with microscope lens adapter.

RESULTS

The earliest season we obtained the data for was **March** of 2012 when the splash zone was yet mostly frozen. At that season diatom algae constituted the pellets bulk (Fig. 2a). We found both single cells and conglomerates as a mix of sediment particles, diatom cells and their fragments. Diatoms were presented by *Cymbella*, *Navicula*, *Cocconeis*, *Didymosphenia*, *Synedra* (*S. acus* and *S. ulna*), *Diatoma* and other genera. Conifer needles and various filamentous green algae (*e.g. Ulothrix zonata* (Web. & Mohr) Küetz., *Mougeotia* spp., *Spirogyra* spp.) occurred in the pellets. Cyanobacteria and fragments of arthropod chitinous exoskeletons were scarce. Unfortunately, such an "early" data were available only for one year.

In **June** of 2010–2012 the worms utilized basically filaments of the green macroalga *U. zonata*. In 2010 it was almost the single algal species (Fig. 2b), but in 2011 with the predominance of *Ulothrix* a considerable part was contributed by *Tetraspora* and remains of land plants (Fig. 2c). In **June** of 2012 *Ulothrix* with another green and diatom algae, and unidentified plant remains comprised up to 80% of pellet content (Fig. 2d). Among the diatoms *Cocconeis, Hannaea, Gomphonema, Navicula* were found as well. We also identified pine pollen and sponge spicules in a small amount.

In **July** of 2010–2011 the phyto-component of pellets was more diverse, unless *U. zonata* still played an important role. In 2010 the diatom



Figure 2. *M. bungei* pellet content in different months of 2010–2012 and 2015. Data are shown as a percentage (%)

algae Gomphonema and Didymosphenia were added to Ulothrix, while their mucous stems occurred even more frequently than diatom cells themselves (Fig. 2e). We also marked presence of attached infusoria Vorticella sp. (or solely their stems) and Cyanobacteria in a small amount. In 2011 phyto-component dominated in the pellets: U. zonata, land plant remains, diatoms (Gomphonema, Cymbella and Fragilaria) and their mucous stems (Fig. 2f). In July of 2012 we observed a rare case, when animal material in pellets was comparable with phyto-material in percentage (Fig. 3c). The most substantial contribution among animal material was made by chitinous remains of arthropods and scales of moth wings (Fig. 2g). The phyto-component was represented by mixture of diatoms (*Hannaea, Cocconeis, Aulacoseira, Synedra, Didymosphenia, Navicula), Tetraspora*, and semidigested plant cells matrix. In **July** of 2015 there was a strong predominance of phyto-component (87%) in the pellet content (Figs. 2h, 3d). Mucous stems of diatoms were a biggest part of pellet masses (42%). We observed a significant amount of semidigested plant cell matrix (38%), remains of green filamentous algae, conifers needles. Among the animal component moth wing scales played a significant role. The minor components included sponge spicules, pine pollen, Cyanobacteria.

Interestingly, besides semidigested *U. zonata* in **July** of 2010–2012 and 2015 we found in the worm pellets lots of «newborn» *Ulothrix* filaments, which began to grow up and had a size of a few cells. In 2015 one third of *M. bungei* specimens had growing few-celled *Ulothrix* zoospores in their pellets.

M. bungei food spectrum in **August** was highly variable. In 2010 the enchytraeids fed on animal material (Fig. 3a). We found fragments of oligochaete bodies with chaetae of the own

species, M. bungei, in the pellets (Fig. 2i). In the pellets collected in August of 2011, phytomaterial dominated (70% - Fig. 3b). Mucous stems of Didymosphenia spp. comprised about 26% of the pellet content (Fig. 2j). Diatoms of the genera Cymbella and Cocconeis were permanent components of the pellet mass. Also we found remains of oligochaete bodies. In August of 2012 there was an uncharacteristic case (Figs. 2k, 3c): the biggest part of the pellets was formed by unidentifiable detritus (72%) and sediment particles (13%). The rest of pellet content consisted of phyto-material, namely diatoms and their mucous stems and various plant remains; animal material and fungal hyphae (1.5%). Also we noticed in average 2 germinating Ulothrix zoospores with the length of 3–12 cells per every analyzed M. bungei specimen. As minor components were identified pine pollen and sponge spicules.



Figure 3. The change in plant and animal material contribution in *M. bungei* pellet content in 2010–2012 and 2015. Such scarce components as Fungi and Cyanobacteria were included into "the rest" category

In **August** of 2015 phyto-component prevailed in pellet content (Fig. 3d). Mainly it was mucous stems of *Didymosphenia*, green filamentous algae (*Spirogyra* spp.) and other algal and plant remains (Fig. 2l). Additionally, we found fungal hyphae, sponge spicules, moth scales, sedentary infusoria *Vorticella* (1–2 specimens per worm), colonial algae *Volvox*, and pine pollen.

In **September** of 2010 the pellet content was performed by oligochaete bodies' remains with *M. bungei* chaeta along with a larger part of unidentified matter (Fig. 2m). In **September** of 2015 unidentified matter dominated (70%) in *M. bungei* pellets (Fig. 2n). Various filamentous algae (including *Spirogyra* spp.) and diatom mucous stems were as a phyto-component with a small percentage contribution. We found a little amount of fungi (3%), sponge spicules, pine pollen.

In October of 2012 besides unidentified matter and sediment particles there was almost solely phytogenous material (40% - Fig. 3c). Such components as mucous stems of Didymosphenia, other diatoms (Cocconeis, Cymbella, Hannaea, Navicula, Synedra), green filamentous algae (Ulothrix, Cladophoraceae), conifer needles remains contributed a biggest part in pellet content (Fig. 20). We observed Cyanobacteria presumably of the genus *Phormidium* in a small amount (1%). In **October** of 2015 we marked only phytogenous material (22%) together with sediment particles and unidentified matter in the enchytraeids' pellets. The phytogenous material was represented by different green filamentous algae remains and mucous stems of diatoms (Fig. 2p). As minor components were found moth scales, sponge spicules, pollen, animal remains (chitinous exosceletons), and Eudorina-like colonial algae.

DISCUSSION

All the non-predatory oligochaetes could be roughly subdivided into three groups: (1) "detritus feeders", (2) "sand swallowers", and (3) "diatom eaters" (Giere 1975). The enchytraeid *M. bungei* apparently could be attributed to a detritophage with phytogenous detritus preference. This Baikal

oligochaete occupies an ecological niche, which is similar with enchytraeids *L. lineatus*, *L. rivalis* and *E. albidus*. These enchytraeids also prefer to gather in high numbers around or inside of detritus masses (O'Connor 1967). In laboratory cultures they are able to transform fresh *Fucus* spp. and *Zostera marina* Linnaeus into dark-brown amorphous fecal masses in a short time (O'Connor 1967).

Dózsa-Farkas (1998) carried out a special investigation of enchytraeid fauna in detritus accumulations on the shallow Lake Balaton shores (Hungary). She found that the detritus accumulation biotope is poor in terms of enchytraeid species number in comparison with soil. Although species richness was less in the shore detritus than in soil, enchytraeids yielded there a maximum value of $217,900\pm10,872$ inds. m⁻². In the case of Lake Baikal detritus accumulations we can assume a maximum number about 40,000 inds. m⁻² (Timoshkin et al. 2012a). Such species of enchytraeids evidently have to play a significant ecological role in consuming and transforming a tremendous mass of organic matter thrown on the shores of lakes (Dózsa-Farkas 1998) and seas (O'Connor 1967).

Researchers' opinions concerning the detritus as a food item is rather contradictory. In the former studies detritus and algae were considered as a primary food source for oligochaetes (Giere 1975). The previous (Giere 1975) and our original detritus attractiveness tests showed that the overwhelming majority of enchytraeids concentrated in detritus layer and surrounding ground layers.

With the exception of mineral sediment particles, which may comprise up to 33%, the rest of *M. bungei* pellet content is detritus of different origin. In the present study we subdivided pellet content into three basic groups: (1) phytogenous material *s. l.* (algae, macrophytes, and plant remains), (2) animal material and (3) unidentified matter (Fig. 3). Additionally, sometimes we found fungal hyphae and Cyanobacteria, but in a quite small amounts. It should be emphasized that it is rather difficult to judge about fresh plant material in enchytraeids feeding, because often it is hard to separate it from already decaying material. Although, such widespread enchytraeid species as *L. lineatus* and *E. albidus* are supposed to prefer exactly thin-walled inner plant cells (Giere 1975). For example, in July of 2015 we observed lots of semidigested matrix of fresh algal cells.

Phytogenous material has been quantitatively predominating in comparison with the other types of detritus during all investigation period (Fig. 3); its percentage varied in the range of 7-87%. Also phytogenous detritus appeared to be more diverse. It was performed by green algae (i.e., U. zonata, Tetraspora, unidentified Cladophoracea, Spirogyra). Ulothrix should be mentioned especially, as it was a permanent component of the oligochaete pellet content in June and July. Sometimes it made up to 100% of M. bungei pellet content. Even when there was Ulothrix in the shore detritus accumulations just in a small amount, it was certainly found in the pellets of M. bungei. In this case we can state that the latter species demonstrates some kind of nutritional selectivity. The fact of Ulothrix zoospores germination in the enchytraeid pellets undoubtedly requires a special study to reveal the role of M. bungei in U. zonata distribution.

Remains of land plants play also an essential role in the nutrition of this oligochaete species. Fragments of leaf external tissue and conifer needle remains can often be found in M. bungei pellets. Diatom algae could be indicated as an important part of the pellet phyto-component. It should be marked, that they are characteristic component of gut content of oligochaetes from various families. For instance, widespread Stylaria lacustris (Linnaeus, 1767) assimilates diatoms Asterionella, but does not consume green algae Scenedesmus (Streit 1978). Chaetogaster diastrophus (Gruithuisen, 1828) prefers certain diatom genera among the other food items (McElhone 1979). Some authors noted, that tubificids also use diatom algae as a food, and Lumbriculus variegatus (Müller, 1774) may digest diatoms but not green algae (Timm 1987). Analyses of gut content of dominant marine interstitial enchytraeid *M. subterranea* showed that it exclusively fed on pennate diatoms (Giere 1975).

Diatoms' thecae are a regular component in pellets of *M. bungei*. The genera *Didymosphenia*, *Gomphonema*, *Cocconeis*, *Hannaea*, *Cymbella*, *Navicula*, *Fragelaria*, *Synedra*, and *Aulacoseira* were found most frequently. We often observed *Cocconeis* in quite large amounts in pellet content as it is an epiphyte of filamentous green algae. Mucous stems of diatoms should be mentioned specifically. These stems permanently occur in the pellet content of splash zone enchytraeids. Due to the high number of *Didymosphenia* in detritus accumulation in 2015 the mucous stems were observed during July–October and contributed 4–45% of *M. bungei* pellet content (Fig. 2 h, l, n, p).

As for animal material, it rarely constituted more than 30% in *M. bungei* pellet content (Fig. 3). The biggest contribution was made by large fragments of arthropod (crustaceans and insects) chitinous exoskeleton. Remains of oligochaetes (with *M. bungei* chaetae) also were a significant component among animal material of pellets. Finding of *M. bungei* remains in their own pellets is rather intriguing phenomena, which is hard to explain by their possible predatory behavior. Although, authors noted that necrophaging is not unknown among enchytraeids (O'Connor 1967, Čekanovskaya 1962).

During the whole period of studying we found various minor components of the pellet content: Cyanobacteria, pine pollen, moth scales, sponge spicules, colonial green algae (*Volvox* and *Eudorina*), attached infusoria *Vorticella*, and fungal hyphae. Besides, we observed parasitic rotifers at a resting (cyst-like) stage. More often they were noticed being dead, but in some cases we watched them alive and rarely free-floating. In this paper we do not discuss this fact in details.

It is known that a rich microflora grows on the particles of decaying organic matter (Monakov 1998). Certainly feeding on microorganisms could explain the preference of rotten phytogenous material such as "ripe" coastal detritus accumulations (Timoshkin *et al.* 2012a). Hence the question appears: do enchytraeids utilize larger detritus particles themselves as a food, or the primary source of their feeding are microorganisms.

In literature there are arguments both for (Dash et al. 1980, 1981, O'Connor 1967), and against (Latter 1977, Latter & Howson 1978, Standen & Latter 1977, Toutain et al. 1982) the statement that detritus debris in the majority of cases serves only like a substratum for microorganisms and stays more or less untransformed, but bacteria and fungi are main food source to be directly consumed. Detritus was not recorded in the alimentary tracts of marine enchytraeid M. spicula. There was only brownish amorphous mass, which appears to be an agglomeration of bacteria (Giere 1975). Bacterial food is available to oligochaetes even in sand biotopes. Not only small, but also large oligochaete specimens can partially or entirely live on bacteria. That was shown in experiments with lacustrine and soil species (Giere 1975).

On the other hand, could a bacterial biomass serve as sufficient food source to sustain oligochaete populations? Even despite the great rates of bacterial growth, it seems to be doubtful that oligochaetes are able to get required energy with feeding on bacteria exclusively (Giere 1975). Nielsen (1961) has calculated that population of soil enchytraeids with density of 50,000 inds. m⁻² for surviving for 1 year need to utilize 30–40 g m⁻² of bacteria.

Latter (1977) demonstrated, that *Cognettia* sphagnetorum (Vejdovský, 1878) grew better in axenic cultures, rather than in cultures with microorganisms. Studies of Toutain *et al.* (1982) indicated that soil enchytraeids were feeding on plant remains like saprotrophs. Giere & Hauschildt (1979, cited in Gelder 1984) experimentally proved that a suitable food source for *L. lineatus* was algae, but not bacterial films. Additionally, investigation of digestive enzymes of some tropic soil enchytraeids revealed the presence of cellulase, which theoretically gives them an ability to consume a phytogenous material

(Dash *et al.* 1981). Thus mixed diet (microorganisms, living/dead plants) seems to be more expectable at least for oligochaete macrofauna (Giere 1975).

We can conclude that to solve the complicated question on a real food source for oligochaetes, one would need to combine traditional techniques of feeding examination with analyses of stable isotopes. Such analyses are commonly implied in feeding biology, but not yet on enchytraeids (Briones & Ineson 2002). Unfortunately, in literature we can find only a few cases of investigation of the feeding of Enchytraeidae with stable isotopes and mostly it is considered only in the context of a large soil ecosystem trophic net (Schmidt et al. 2004). There is only one special work devoted to soil enchytraeids feeding using radiocarbon techniques (Briones & Ineson 2002). The results of ¹⁴C carbon dating showed that enchytraeids mainly assimilated organic matter (leaf litter), about 5-10 years old (Briones & Ineson 2002).

To summarize, we could state that M. bungei is a saprophage like many soil enchytraeids (Toutain et al. 1982). Our results demonstrate that it feeds on phytogenous detritus, what is also characteristic to soil representatives of the Family Enchytraeidae (Briones & Ineson 2002). We also suppose that the species has a feeding preference to filamentous green algae, especially to U. zonata. Our research supposed to be logically continued with stable isotopes analyses of *M. bungei* to specify its trophic status and establish what kind of material it assimilates primarily. Usually it is difficult to interpret stable isotopes data without information on ecology of feeding. Our results can be regarded as the first data on feeding of the Baikalian enchytraeids.

Acknowledgements – We thank V.V. Vishnyakov (IBIW RAS) and E.A. Volkova for the help with green and diatom algae genera identification. Authors gratefully thank N.A. Rozhkova for reviewing of the paper and valuable remarks. Also we are sincerely thankful to the anonymous reviewers for a great contribution to the manuscript. Funding of this work was generally provided by the State Project of SB RAS No. 0345-2016-0009 (O.A. Timoshkin is a supervisor), partially by the grant of RFBR No. 16-34-00074 and the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI) No. 15H05112.

REFERENCES

- BRINKHURST, R.O. & AUSTIN, M.J. (1979): Assimilation by aquatic Oligochaeta. *Internationale Revue der gesamten Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie*, 64(2): 245–250. doi: 10.1002/iroh.19790640209
- BRIONES, M.J.I. & INESON, P. (2002): Use of ¹⁴C carbon dating to determine feeding behaviour of enchytraeids. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 34(6): 881–884. doi: 10.1016/S0038-0717(02)00010-X
- ČEKANOVSKAYA, O.V. (1962): Vodnye maloschetinkovye chervi fauny SSSR. AN SSSR, Leningrad, 411 pp.
- DASH, M.C., NANDA, B. & BEHERA, N. (1980): Fungal feeding by Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta) in a tropical woodland in Orissa, India. *Oikos*, 34(2): 202– 205. doi: 10.2307/3544183
- DASH, M.C., NANDA, B. & MISHRA, P.C. (1981): Digestive enzymes in three species of Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta). *Oikos*, 36(3): 316–318. doi: 10.2307/3544628
- DÓZSA-FARKAS, K. (1976): Über die Nahrungswahl zweier Enchytraeidenarten (Oligochaeta: Enchytraeideae). Acta Zoologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae, 22: 5–28.
- DÓZSA-FARKAS, K. (1998): The enchytraeid fauna (Annelida, Oligochaeeta) of accumulated debris along the shore of Lake Balaton, and seasonal dynamics of the species. *Opuscula Zoologica Budapest*, 31: 33–42.
- GELDER, S.R. (1984): Diet and histophysiology of the alimentary canal of *Lumbricillus lineatus* (Oligochaeta, Enchytraeidae). *Hydrobiologia*, 115(1): 71– 81. doi: 10.1007/978-94-009-6563-8_12
- GIERE, O. (1975): Population structure, food relations and ecological role of marine oligochaetes, with special reference to meiobenthic species. *Marine Biology*, 31(2): 139–156. doi: 10.1007/BF00391626
- GIERE, O. (2009): Meiobenthology: the microscopic motile fauna in aquatic sediments. 2nd ed. Springer Science & Business Media, 527 pp.
- LATTER, P.M. (1977): Axenic cultivation of an enchytraeid worm, *Cognettia sphagnetorum*. *Oecologia*, 31(2): 251–254. doi: 10.1007/BF00346925
- Latter, P.M. & Howson, G. (1978): Studies on the microfauna of blanket bog with particular reference to Enchytraeidae. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 47(2): 425–48. doi: 10.2307/3792

- LINDEGAARD, C., HAMBURGER, K. & DALL P.C. (1994): Population dynamics and energy budget of Marionina southerni (Cernosvitov) (Enchytraeidae, Oligochaeta) in the shallow littoral of Lake Esrom, Denmark. In: REYNOLDSON, T.B. & COATES, K.A (Eds.) Aquatic Oligochaete Biology 5, Hydrobiologia, 278: 291–301.
- MCELHONE, M.J. (1979): A comparison of the gut contents of two co-existing lake-dwelling Naididae (Oligochaeta), *Nais pseudobtusa* and *Chaetogaster diastrophus*. *Freshwater Biology*, 9(3): 199–204. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2427.1979.tb01503.x
- MONAKOV, A.V. (1998): Pitanie presnovodnykh bespozvonochnykh. RAS, Moskva, 320 pp.
- NIELSEN, C.O. (1961): Respiratory metabolism of some populations of enchytraeid worms and freeliving nematodes. *Oikos*, 12(1): 17–35. doi: 10.2307/3565169
- O'CONNOR, F.B. (1967): *The Enchytraeidae*. In: BURGES, A. & RAW, F. (Eds.) Soil biology. Academic Press, London & New York, p. 213–258.
- PODDUBNAYA, T.L. (1961): Materialy po pitaniyu massovykh vidov tubificid Rybinskogo vodokhranilischa. *Trudy Instituta Biologii Vodokhranilisch*, 4: 219–231.
- RODRIGUEZ, P., MARTINEZ-MADRID, M., ARRATE, J.A. & NAVARRO, E. (2001): Selective feeding by the aquatic oligochaete *Tubifex tubifex* (Tubificidae, Clitellata). *Hydrobiologia*, 463(1–3): 133–140. doi: 10.1007/978-94-010-0597-5_15
- SCHMIDT, O., CURRY, J.P., DYCKMANS, J., ROTA, E. & SCRIMGEOUR, C.M. (2004): Dual stable isotope analysis (δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N) of soil invertebrates and their food sources. *Pedobiologia*, 48(2): 171–180. doi: 10.1016/j.pedobi.2003.12.003
- SEMERNOY, V.P. (2004): *Oligochaeta of Lake Baikal*. Nauka, Novosibirsk, 528 pp.
- STANDEN, V. & LATTER, P.M. (1977): Distribution of a population of *Cognettia sphagnetorum* (Enchytraeidae) in relation to microhabitats in a blanket bog. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 46(1): 213–29. doi: 10.2307/3957
- STREIT, B. (1978): A note on the nutrition of *Stylaria* lacustris (Oligochaeta: Naididae). *Hydrobiologia*, 61(3): 273–276. doi: 10.1007/BF00044453
- TIMM, T. (1987): Maloschetinkovye chervi (Oligochaeta) vodoemov Severo-Zapada SSSR. Valgus, Tallinn, 277 pp.

- TIMM, T. (1996): Enchytraeids (Oligochaeta, Enchytraeidae) as lake-dwellers. *Newsletter on Enchytraeidae*, 5: 47–55.
- TIMM, T. (2003): Some Enchytraeidae from the shore of Lake Baikal. *Newsletter on Enchytraeidae*, 8: 1–9.
- TIMOSHKIN, O.A., VISHNYAKOV, V.S., VOLKOVA, E.A., SHIROKAYA, A.A., KULIKOVA, N.N., ZAITSEVA, E.P., LUKHNEV, A.G. *et al.* (2012): Biologiya pribrezhnoy zony ozera Baikal. Soobschenie 2. Beregovye skopleniya zapleskovoy zony: klassifikatsiya, sezonnaya dinamika kolichestvennykh i kachestvennykh pokazateley ih sostava. *The Bulletin of Irkutsk State University, Biology, Ecology*, 5(1): 40–91.
- TIMOSHKIN, O.A., SUTURIN, A., BONDARENKO, N.A., KULIKOVA, N.N., ROZHKOVA, N.A., SHEVELEVA, N.G., OBOLKINA, L.A. *et al.* (2012): Introduction into biology of the coastal zone of Lake Baikal. 1. Splash zone: first results of interdisciplinary

investigations and its role for the lake ecosystem monitoring. *The Bulletin of Irkutsk State University, Biology, Ecology*, 5(3): 33–46.

- TOUTAIN, F., VILLEMIN, G., ALBRECHT, A. & REI-SINGER, O. (1982): Étude ultrastructurale des processus de biodégradation. II. Modèle enchytraeideslitière de feuillus. *Pedobiologia*, 23: 145–156.
- VEINBERG, I.V. & KAMALTYNOV, R.M. (1998): Soobschestva macrozoobentosa kamenistogo plyazha ozera Baikal. 1. Fauna. Zoologicheskiy zhurnal, 77 (3): 259–265.
- ZVEREVA, YU.M., TIMOSHKIN, O.A., ZAITSEVA, E.P., POPOVA, O.V., LUKHNEV, A.G., TOMBERG, I.V., KULIKOVA, N.N. & VISHNYAKOV, V.S. (2012): Osobennosti ecologii *Mesenchytraeus bungei* Michaelsen (Annelida, Oligochaeta) – massovogo vida oligohet zony zapleska ozera Baikal. *The Bulletin of Irkutsk State University, Biology, Ecology*, 5(3): 123–135.

On a collection of enchytraeids (Oligochaeta) from first order streams in São Paulo State, Brazil

R.M. SCHMELZ^{1,2} & R.G. ALVES³

 ¹Rüdiger M. Schmelz, ECT Oekotoxikologie GmbH, Böttgerstr. 2–14, 65439 Flörsheim, Germany
 ²Universidad de A Coruña, Fac. Ciencias, GIBE, Grupo de Investigación en Biología Evolutiva, CICA, As Carballeiras s/n, Campus de Elviña, 15071 A Coruña, Spain
 ³Roberto da Gama Alves, Laboratório de Invertebrados Bentônicos, Departamento de Zoologia, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Campus Universitário, s/n, CEP 36036 900, Juiz de Fora, MG, Brasil

Abstract. A collection of 21 ethanol-preserved specimens of enchytraeids collected in first order streams in São Paulo State, Brazil, was whole-mounted on slides and investigated under light microscope. Eight species taxa were distinguished, belonging to *Achaeta, Guaranidrilus*, and *Marionina*. Five species could be named, one of them tentatively: *Achaeta neotropica* Černosvitov, 1937, *Achaeta singularis* Schmelz, 2008, *Guaranidrilus oiepe* Righi, 1974, *Marionina argentea* (Michaelsen, 1889) s.l., *Marionina* cf. *seminuda* Xie & Rota, 2001. Three further unnamed species taxa of *Guaranidrilus* were distinguished; two of them may be new species. The presence of sexually mature specimens of *A. singularis* allowed an emendation of the original description which was based on juvenile specimens. The rod-shaped crystals in the coelom of *A. singularis* are similar to raphides in plants and sponges, and may consist of calcium oxalate. The material is deposited in the Zoological Museum of the University of São Paulo, Brazil.

Keywords. Annelida, Clitellata, freshwater biodiversity, South America, raphides.

INTRODUCTION

Inchytraeids are frequently found in samples E from South American freshwater sediments (Brinkhurst & Marchese 1989, Gorni & Alves 2008). In small streams with oxygen-rich water up to 30-50% of the oligochaete fauna may consist of enchytraeids (Rodrigues et al. 2015, 2016). However, species are rarely identified because of the lack of identification guides and taxonomic expertise in South America (Brown et al. 2013). Furthermore, enchytraeids are best identified in vivo, whereas the other aquatic oligochaetes are identified on fixed material. As a result, while 171 species and subspecies of other microdrile oligochaete families have been counted in South American freshwaters (Christoffersen 2007), not more than 7 species of Enchytraeidae have been recorded from freshwater sediments (Table 1).

Here we report on a small collection of ethanol-preserved enchytraeids sampled in firstorder forest streams of the State of São Paulo, southeastern Brazil. Sexually adult specimens that could be identified to species are described and illustrated. The investigation profited from previous work on terrestrial enchytraeids in the neighbouring Paraná State in the framework of the SOLOBIOMA project (Römbke et al. 2007, Schmelz et al. 2008, 2009, 2011). It turned out that almost half of all specimens belonged to a species discovered during that project: Achaeta singularis Schmelz, 2008 (Schmelz et al. 2008). It had been described based on juvenile specimens, and the presence of adults in this collection allowed to complement the original description with details of the reproductive organs. This species is peculiar by rod-shaped crystals in the coelom. They are re-described and their possible function is discussed. Furthermore, a single adult specimen of Marionina argentea sensu lato is described. The remaining specimens in the collection are characterized briefly.

urn: lsid:zoobank.org:pub:AEB9EFD6-64B1-4193-80E0-1769B7F30E22 published: 2, November 2017 HU ISSN 2063-1588 (online), HU ISSN 0237-5419 (print) http://dx.doi.org/10.18348/opzool.2017.S2.21

Species	Reference	Habitat, comments
Buchholzia appendiculata	Martínez-Ansemil & Giani 1986	small stream in Bolivia
(Buchholz)		
Enchytraeus buchholzi	Christoffersen 2009	Argentina, Peru, Bolivia,
Vejdovský		Venezuela. Species complex.
Enchytraeus gordioides	Černosvitov 1941	sediment of a small stream in
Černosvitov		Loreto, Argentina
Fridericia bisetosa (Levinsen)	Botea 1987	Venezuela, stream and phreatic
		sediment. Records doubtful.
Hemienchytraeus loksai Dózsa-	Černosvitov 1939	Peru, Lake Lagunilla, as H.
Farkas		stephensoni (see Schmelz &
		Collado 2007)
Henlea perpusilla Friend	Martínez-Ansemil & Giani 1986	small stream in Bolivia
Globulodrilus riparius	Martínez-Ansemil & Giani 1986	small stream in Bolivia, as
(Bretscher)		Marionina riparia
Lumbricillus lineatus (Müller)	Černosvitov 1939	shore of Lake Titicaca, as
		Pachydrilus lineatus

 Table 1. List of enchytraeid species identified from freshwater sediment samples in South America, based on Christoffersen (2009) and original literature.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The collection contained 21 ethanol-preserved specimens of enchytraeids in 18 vials, collected from river sediments in the State of São Paulo, Brazil. Most of the material (17 specimens) was collected in first order streams in the "Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão", São Paulo State, Brazil, in different sampling campaigns of aquatic oligochaetes, from 2006 to 2008, by Ana Emiliar Siegloch. Methods and some results are available in Gorni & Alves (2008). The remaining four specimens are from other sites in São Paulo State. Sampling took place within the framework of the project BIOTA/FAPESP, "Research Program on Biodiversity Characterization, Conservation, Restoration and Sustainable Use" (www.biota.org.br). Geographical coordinates were not available for all sampling localities.

The specimens were passed through an ethanol-xylene dehydration series and whole-mounted in Canada balsam between two coverslips to allow investigation from both sides. The coverslip preparation was framed with an aluminium slide, the so-called Cobb slide used in nematology (Neuhaus *et al.* 2017). Microscopical investigation was carried out at x40 to x400 magnification. For the redescription of *A. singularis*, the type series was consulted as well. In the descriptions, taxonomically important details of the morphology that could not be observed due to the mode of fixation are indicated by "not distinguished". All material is in the possession of the Zoological Museum of the University of São Paulo, Brazil (MZUSP, #1232 – #1249).

RESULTS

Identification

Eight species taxa of three genera were distinguished: two species of *Achaeta*, three species of *Guaranidrilus*, and two species of *Marionina*. Five species could be named, one of them tentatively: *Achaeta neotropica* Černosvitov, 1937, *Achaeta singularis* Schmelz, 2008, *Guaranidrilus oiepe* Righi, 1974, *Marionina argentea* (Michaelsen, 1889) s.l., and *Marionina* cf. *seminuda* Xie & Rota, 2001. In the other cases, only the genus or the family could be assigned.

Comments on species and specimens

Achaeta singularis Schmelz, 2008

(Figures 1–2)

Achaeta singularis Schmelz. Schmelz, Collado & Römbke 2008: 26–28, Fig. 6, Table 4.

Material examined. Ten specimens, 4 adult, 3 subadult, 3 juvenile, São Paulo State, Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão, collected by Ana Emiliar Siegloch. MZUSP #1239, 1 adult specimen, Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão -Campo do Meio, 22°41'56.6"S, 45°29'16.8"W. 21-08-2006. MZUSP #1240, 1 subadult specimen, Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão - Camhambora, 22°42'29.3"S, 45°30'6.7"W, 07-10-2007. MZUSP #1242, 1 adult specimen, Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão - Mimosinha, 22° 41'29.3"S, 45°27'36"W, 08-10-2007. MZUSP #1243, 1 subadult and 1 juvenile specimen, Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão - Serrote, 22°39'39"S, 45°26'58.4"W, 20-08-2006. MZUSP #1244, 1 subadult specimen, Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão - Casguilho, 22°40'20.6"S, 45°27'53"W, 07-10-2007. MZUSP #1245, 1 adult specimen, record data as in #1240. MZUSP #1247, 1 juvenile specimen, record data as in #1239. MZUSP #1248, 1 juvenile specimen, record data as in #1242. MZUSP #1249, 1 adult specimen, record data as in #1240.

Further material for comparison, not included in this description: type series, 7 specimens (MZUSP # 1370: holotype, MZUSP #1371: paratypes).

Description. (The description is based on adult and subadult specimens unless stated otherwise.) Worms stout with tapering body ends. Largest adult specimen 8 mm long, diameter 0.45 mm at V, 0.6 mm at XI, 0.48 mm at XX. Remaining adult and subadult specimens 5-6 mm long and up to 0.4-0.55 mm wide. Juveniles 3.5-4 mm long, 0.3–0.4 mm wide. Segment numbers 25–30 in adult and subadult specimens, juveniles with 18-22 segments. Paired prostomial ganglia and inner prostomial papillae present. Body wall very thin, usually 6 μ m thick, 8–10 μ in some regions of the largest adults, longitudinal muscle layer ca. 2.5–3 μ m thick, not thicker than outer layer of epidermis and ring muscles. Pygidium flattened dorso-ventrally, rectal muscles inserting laterally, no ventral nerve cord. Epidermal gland cells not seen, pyriform glands absent. Brain incised anteriorly and posteriorly. Ventral nerve cord ganglionated from II on, ganglia of II-IV not fused, ganglia ovoid, rounded, separate from each other by elongate connectives free of perikarya. Towards growth zone in caudal segments, connectives with a narrow line of ventral perikarya, and ganglia increasingly fused into a medullar strand with segmental bulges (the ganglion precursors). Pharyngeal glands all united dorsally and with ventral lobes, dorsal connection usually wide, in VI sometimes narrow. Oesophageal appendages in V, dorso-laterally of oesophagus, paired, outline irregular. Chloragocytes as a thin, continuous layer from VI on, absent in X. Intestinal diverticula absent, gut widening more or less gradually in preclitellar segments, pars tumida inconspicuous, circumferal. Dorsal blood vessel from VII in juveniles and adults. Preclitellar nephridia at 6/7 and 7/8, ca. 4x as long as wide, c. 220 µm long and 60 µm wide in largest adult, compact and without constriction at septum. Anteseptale short, truncate, postseptale slightly tapering towards ectal pore; terminal vesicle present; epidermis thickened around ectal pore. Postclitellar nephridia from 11/12, of similar shape and size as in preclitellar region, those of hindmost 5-7 segments increasingly reduced in size towards pygidium. Nephridia unpaired or absent in several segments of the mid-body region. Coelomocytes three types: (1) flat, filled with pale vesicles, length ca. 25–40 μ m; (2) flat, pale, matrix hyaline, cell perimeter brimmed, ca. 25 µm; (3) completely filled with packages of crystalline, needle-like bodies; needles pointed at both ends, length of packages c. 25 µm, thickness varying but never exceeding length.

Clitellum girdle-shaped, cells in *ca*. 24 separate or dense rows. Hyalocytes dorsally and laterally, alternating with granulocytes. Hyalocyte diameter *ca*. 15–25 μ m, granulocyte diameter *ca*. 10–15 μ m, height max. 20–30 μ m; cells thickened around male pores. Rows of border cells (*i.e.* the 3–4 most anterior and most posterior rows, devoid of hyalocytes) interrupted mid-ventrally. Transverse row at the level of male pores wider than adjacent rows, situated in the middle of clitellum (ca. 12th row). Testis in IX, ovary in X in all specimens. Gonads unpaired. In adults large



Figure 1. Achaeta singularis Schmelz, 2008. A. MZUSP #1243, adult specimen. Oocyte, postclitellar nephridia, and dorsal blood vessel not shown. Dark-grey: spermathecae. Light-grey, from right to left: brain, pharyngeal pad, preclitellar nephridia. Coelom with raphides, intestine with three pellets of ingested organic and inorganic matter (sand grains up to 100 μm diameter). Circumferal midgut pars tumida between 2nd and 3rd pellet. Perkarya of last five segments non-ganglionic. B. MZUSP #1243, detail of clitellum dorsolaterally, head region to the top. The second row from the top is the one that encloses the male pores. C. MZUSP #1243, male efferent apparatus. D. MZUSP #1245, segments IV–VII, lateral view, with pharyngeal glands (light-grey), oeso-phageal appendage in V, nephridium 6/7 (dark-grey), ventral nerve cord, and spermatheca. 2nd preclitellar nephridium at 7/8 not shown (specimen damaged here).

masses of developing and mature sperm present dorsally in IX. One specimen with a paired bulge anteriad of septum 8/9 dorsally, bulge unpaired in another specimen (seminal vesicle?). Spermatozoa about 140 μ m long, heads *ca*. 40 μ m long. Sperm funnels small, length less than half of body diameter, about twice as long as wide (*e.g.*, 130 μ m long, 70 μ m wide); funnel body cylindrical, tapering towards vas deferens; collar apparently of varying width: as wide as funnel body, or up to twice as wide (*ca.* 100 μ m), judging from masses of sperm attached in parallel. Vasa deferentia tightly and irregularly coiled, of equal diameter throughout (*ca.* 7 μ m), barely distinguishable near male pore; coil extending posteriad without loops. Male pores ventrally on body surface, widely separate, without surrounding glands, inconspicuous. Pores in the middle of clitellum. No further accessory glands seen. Spermathecae with short ectal duct and large ampulla; ampulla subdivided into ectal part, connecting tube and ental reservoir. Ectal pores lateral; ectal glands absent; ectal duct short, in V, length *ca*. 60 μ m, diameter ca. 18 μ m, connection with ampulla thickened (ental bulb). Ampullar ectal part in V, dilated (diameter 50–60 μ m) and with distinct lumen, sperm arranged here in parallel with nuclei oriented ectad. Ampullar ectal part gradually narrowing into connecting tube with distinct walls and lumen, diameter *ca*. 25–30 μ m; connecting tube widening more or less abruptly in VII into thinwalled, spherical or egg-shaped ental reservoir, length ca. 140 μ m, diameter 100–120 μ m.

Remarks. Comparison with the original description. This redescription of Achaeta singularis enhances the original description, which was based on juvenile material, confirms most of the characters as originally described, and clarifies several doubtful points. In the following we list the peculiar and species-distinguishing characters of A singularis as given in Schmelz et al. (2008: 27) and compare them with our material: (1) Short brain with deep anterior and posterior concavities. Confirmed. (2) Ganglia of segments II-IV not fused into a suboesophageal ganglion. Confirmed. (3) Anterior ganglia rounded like ovoid bodies, not subdivided into an anterior and a posterior lobe. Confirmed. (4) Posterior ganglia fused into a common medullar strand. Confirmed, but less pronounced in subadult and adult specimens, where ganglia can always be distinguished even though they are in close contact with each other (Fig. 1A). (5) Presumably small body size and low segment number. Not confirmed. Adult specimens have the size and segment number in the medium range for species of Achaeta (comp. Schmelz & Collado 2010). The juvenile specimens of the type series measure 2 mm in length and 0.12-0.15 in body diameter, segment number 14–24. (6) Pyriform glands absent. Confirmed. (7) Oesophageal appendages present in V. Confirmed. (8) All pharyngeal glands united dorsally. Confirmed. (9) Secondary ventral pharyngeal gland lobes absent. Confirmed. (10) Nephridia at 6/7 and 7/8. Confirmed. (11) Dorsal blood vessel originating in preclitellar region. The origin was observed always in VII in juvenile and adult specimens alike, and serves hence as a taxonomic character in all age groups. The origin may vary in other species: In the European *A. camerani*, juveniles have the origin in VII and adults in VIII (Schmelz & Collado 2010). (12) Spermathecal ectal pores in lateral position. Confirmed. Furthermore the girdle-shape of the clitellum and the wide spacing of the male pores is confirmed. The vas deferens is coiled and not straight (as seen in one paratype specimen). The originally described prostomial ganglia and prostomial papilla could not be investigated due to the poor mode of fixation.

The details of the reproductive system added here support the hypothesis in Schmelz et al. (2008) that A. singularis belongs to an ancient lineage within Achaeta. Girdle-shape of the clitellum, the uniform distribution of hylaocytes, and the absence of glands near the orifice of the spermatheca and of the male efferent duct are most likely plesiomorphies in the genus. Contrasting characters in other species of Achaeta (and hypothesized apomorphies) are: Clitellum interrupted dorsally and ventrally, hyalocytes concentrated into dorso-lateral longitudinal rows, spermathecal ectal duct swollen into a glandular mass, glands around male pore (see, e.g., Schmelz & Collado 2010). The hypothesis was originally based on the absence of a suboesophageal ganglion (see above, point 2).

In the following we comment on two further peculiarities: the forward shift of the gonadal region by two segments, and the presence of rodshaped crystals in the coelom. Both characters, while present in only some specimens of the type series, are present in all specimens of the new collection.

Displacement forwards of the gonadal region. The gonadal region is displaced two segments forwards in all specimens of the present collection, with testis in IX instead of XI, and ovary, male pores and clitellum in X instead of XII. In the type series this shift ahead is present only in one paratype specimen (Schmelz *et al.* 2008); the other six type specimens have the gonadal region in the usual position (XI–XII). A displacement forwards of the gonadal region by one segment occurs in several species of enchytraeids, especially in Achaeta, where hitherto seven species are known to share this character. A shift ahead by more than one segment, however, is - with one exception - only found in taxa with the faculty to reproduce asexually by fragmentation (in the genera Cognettia/Chamaedrilus, Buchholzia and Enchytraeus). The only exception is E. gordioides Černosvitov, 1942 (Table 1), but this species may be fragmenting as well, considering its similarity with the fragmenting E. bigeminus Nielsen & Christensen, 1963 and E. dudichi Dózsa-Farkas, 1995. Fragmentation, if not directly observed, can be inferred from incomplete front or rear ends in many specimens. It is unknown in Achaeta, and the material of Achaeta singularis gives no indication of fragmentation either: all specimens are complete anteriorly and posteriorly. This seems to indicate a new type of variation in the family: a shift of the gonadal region ahead by more than one segment in a species with exclusively sexual reproduction.

Only some of the specimens have a forward shift of the gonadal region (the holotype is not among them), and up to now there are only two morphs, one with male pores in X and one with male pores in XII. The resulting possibility that *A*. *singularis* presents, in fact, two different species should be tested with DNA sequencing methods.

In this context we have to notify an error in a figure within the original description of *Achaeta singularis* (Schmelz *et al.* 2008). In Figure 6A, testis and ovary are shown in X and XI, respectively, counting the ganglia. Reinvestigation of the paratype specimen from which the drawing was made (MZUSP #1371) revealed that, in fact, they are situated in XI and XII, respectively, in accordance with the text of the original description.

Raphides in the coelom of *A. singularis.* Bundles of microscopic, needle-like crystals are present in the coelom of all specimens of the São Paulo State material (Fig. 2), and also in some of the type specimens (Schmelz *et al.* 2008), the holotype included (Schmelz, pers. obs.). These crystals are named here "raphides" (Greek raphidos = needle), in accordance with the use of this term in the biological literature. Raphides occur in sponges, fungi, and plants, and they consist mostly of calcium oxalate (plants, fungi) (Arnott 1995, Horner & Wagner 1995) or aragonite (sponges) (Hooper & Van Soest 2006). In A. singularis each bundle of raphides is about 8 µm wide and 4 µm thick. They are inclusions of coelomocytes, because most bundles are surrounded by a membrane, which also encloses a nucleus, closely attached to the needles either laterally or apically, at one side of the needle tips (comp Fig. 2C, bottom). The crystals do no disappear after clearing with xylene. As to their chemical composition, it may be calcium oxalate. Raphides are common in plants, and most raphides in plants consist of calcium oxalate. Shape and size of raphides in A. singularis are similar to the crystals in plants (comp. Coté 2009, Saadi & Modal 2011). Their function is usually considered to be a repellent against predation. We hypothesized a similar function in A. singularis (Schmelz et al. 2008), but this would imply that possible predators are able to distinguish between different species of enchytraeids. Further possible functions are related to calcium metabolism. Calcium is rare in tropical soils, and oxalate, abundantly produced by fungi, binds calcium. A capacity to ingest and to metabolize oxalate to bind calcium has been suggested for a Nearctic earthworm (Arctiostrotus sp.) (Spiers et al. 1986), and the North American earthworm Diplocardia mississippiensis Smith may selectively feed on fungi to derive calcium via the fungal oxalates (Lachnicht & Hendrix 2001). A similar function as a calcium-binding device may be assumed for the oxalate crystals in A. singularis, which may result from fungal grazing as well. On the other hand, the target may not be calcium but oxalate. In the strongly acid soil or freshwater sediment, a surplus of oxalate may be assimilated, increasing acidity in the coelomic fluid. Low pH is a problem for soil biota in the tropics (Lavelle et al. 1995). The binding of oxalate by calcium in the coelomocytes of A. singularis may therefore be a mechanism to regulate the pH in the body fluid, similar to one of the functions of the so-called calciferous glands in earthworms.



Figure 2. Achaeta singularis Schmelz, 2008. MZUSP #1243, micrographs of coelomocytes with and without raphides, at different magnifications. Scale bars = 200 μm in A, 50 μm in B, C.

Raphides are also present in sponges (Hooper & Van Soest 2006), here as aragonite crystals, and with a different shape. To our knowledge, raphides are unknown in other animal taxa. With more material sampled in the future, the chemical nature of raphides in *A. singularis* may be determined with certainty.

Raphides were present in only three specimens (out of seven) of the type series and therefore excluded from the list of species-distinguishing characters (Schmelz et al. 2008). However, their presence in all specimens of our collection here suggests that raphides should be included as a taxonomic character for A. singularis. All specimens of the type series are small juveniles, and it may take some time during growth and maturation to metabolize or to store calcium oxalate in the coelomocytes. We have observed a similar case in some European specimens of Marionina argentea (Michaelsen) sensu lato, a complex of semi-aquatic species (Rota 2013), characterized by strongly refractile bodies in the coelomocytes. Presence of these bodies is so constant that it is a diagnostic character of the species complex, but some very small specimens are without these bodies (Schmelz, pers. obs.). It seems that, as in A. singularis, these bodies are metabolites that are not yet present immediately after hatching of the worms from the cocoon. The chemical composition of the refractile bodies in *Marionina argentea* is unknown; but they are not calcium crystals, because they disappear during clearing with xylene.

Achaeta neotropica Černosvitov, 1937

Achaeta neotropica Černosvitov, 1937b: 154–157, Figs 16–26.

- Achaeta neotropica Cern.—Righi 1974: 127–129, Figs 1–6; Christoffersen 1979: 153–154, Figs 1–10; Righi 1981: 427. Schmelz *et al.* 2008: 14–21, Fig. 4.
- ?*Achaeta becki* Schmelz & Collado, 2005: 49–57, Figs 1–2, Table 1.

Material examined. MZUSP #1235, 1 juvenile specimen, Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão -Serrote, 22°39'39"S, 45°26'58.4"W, 20-08-2006, col.: Ana Emilia Siegloch.

Description. Length 3 mm, chaetae and pyriform glands absent, oesophageal appendages not seen, cuticle thick, anterior nephridia at 6/7 and 8/9, absent at 7/8, with terminal vesicle.

A. neotropica is possibly a complex of species (Schmelz *et al.* 2008). The specimen was identified mainly on account of the peculiar distribution of preclitellar nephridia.

Marionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1889) sensu lato

(Figure 3, Table 3)

- Enchytraeus argenteus Michaelsen, 1889: 15–16, Fig. 6. Pachydrilus (Marionina) argenteus (Michaelsen). Černosvitov 1937a: 293.
- *Marionina argentea* (Michaelsen). Nielsen & Christensen 1959: 113–114, Figs 138–141. Chalupský 1992: 145, Fig. 15. Rota 1995: 220. Schmelz & Collado 2010: 100, Fig. 39A.
- Marionina argentea (Michaelsen) sensu lato. Rota 2013: 136.

Material examined. MZUSP #1233, 1 adult specimen on a slide with 2 specimens (the other one being an unidentified juvenile specimen of enchytraeids, possibly *Hemienchytraeus* sp., see below). Ubatuba, Rio da Pipoca, 23°24'1.7"S, 45°6'47.9"W, 05-10-2007, col.: Ana Emilia Siegloch.

Description. Body length 1 mm, diameter c. 0.07 mm (0.07 mm in V, 0.09 mm in XI, 0.06 mm in XX). 20 segments. Body wall thin, mostly *ca*. 3 μ m thick. Prostomium and first two segments strongly contracted, with deep external transverse furrows (fixation artefact). Prostomial epithelium with frontal recess, location of head pore unknown. Chaetae two per bundle, absent laterally

at II, straight with ental hook, ectally slightly bent, length ca. 22 µm in anterior segments, 25 µm posteriorly. Pharyngeal glands dorsal in IV and V, elongate and ventral in VI. Brain shape unknown due to lateral position of worm, ventral nerve cord medullar, wide and lobed in II-IV. Shape of nephridia not distinguished; lobed tissue seen in nephridial positions in VIII and IX. Coelomocytes present, shape and size unknown. Dorsal blood vessel origin in XI or 1/2 XII, anterior bifurcation not visible. Gonadal region shifted one segment forward: Testes in X, male gland in XI, clitellum in XI-1/2 XII. Clitellum saddle-shaped, ventral border roughly at level of male glands; cells in ca. 14 dense, transverse rows, granulocytes ca. 8 µm wide, hyalocytes ca. 12 µm wide, roughly alternating with tendency to form longitudinal rows. Ventral borders of clitellum formed by one longitudinal row of hyalocytes on each side; each line interrupted by one granulocyte dorsally of male gland (Fig. 3). Seminal vesicle absent, developing sperm present dorsally in X. Sperm funnel and vas deferens not distinguished. Male glandular bulb spherical, not larger than clitellar gland cells, diameter 8-10 µm. Spermatheca small, consisting of short ectal duct and globular ampulla, the latter smaller than pharyngeal gland lobes, connected with oesophagus; further details (e.g. glands) not distinguished.



Figure 3. Marionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1889) sensu lato. Light-grey: brain and ventral nerve cord. Dark-grey: pharyngeal glands.

Remarks. Although several details were not distinguishable - brain shape, texture of coelomocytes, spermathecal glands, nephridia, sperm funnels - this specimen is safely assigned to Marionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1889) due to the peculiar chaetal pattern, together with small body size, low segment number, medullar ventral nerve cord, shape of the pharyngeal glands, small size of the reproductive organs, and low amounts developing sperm. Marionina argentea of (Michaelsen, 1889) sensu auctorum was recently split into four species by Rota (2013) and a fifth species was added in Martin et al. (2015) (Table 3). Among these five species under the umbrella of *M. argentea* sensu lato, the single specimen described here is most similar to M. deminuta Rota, 2013 (Table 3) by the small body size, the forward shift of the gonadal region by one segment, the small size of the male gland and the almost identical pattern of clitellar gland cells (compare Fig. 3 with Fig. 3B,C in Rota 2013), here especially the ventro-lateral row of hyalocytes, interrupted by one granulocyte at the level of the male gland. Hence, this specimen belongs either to *M. deminuta* or to a new species. This is the first record of Marionina argentea sensu lato from South America.

Marionina cf. seminuda Xie & Rota, 2001

Material examined. MZUSP #1237, 1 juvenile specimen, Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão - Celestina, 19-08-2006, col.: Ana Emilia Siegloch.

Description. Body length 2 mm, diameter 0.09 (V), 0.075 (XII), 0.08 (XX). 22 segments. Chaetae straight ectally, ental hook almost absent, 2 per bundle, laterals absent, ventrals from III (= absent in II). Cuticle conspicuous, 1.5 μ m thick. Brain apparently indented posteriorly. Ventral nerve cord medullar. Pharyngeal glands in IV, V with ventral lobes and unpaired dorsal lobe, in VI elongate, separate ventral lobes. No oesophageal appendages or intestinal diverticula seen. Preclitellar nephridia at 7/8, 9/10. Dorsal blood vessel from XII. Coelomocytes floppy, length 10–20 μ m, with pale blurred vesicles, coagulated masses of cells in anterior segments, but most of coelom

empty. Precursors of testis and ovary in XI and XII, respectively.

The peculiar distribution pattern of the chaetae is known only from M. seminuda Xie & Rota, 2001, a terrestrial species described from China. The other details of this juvenile specimens also agree with the description of M. seminuda, which hence may be a peregrine species. Sexually mature material is necessary to confirm the identification.

Guaranidrilus oiepe Righi, 1974

Guaranidrilus oiepe Righi, 1974: 140–141, Figs 32– 40. Righi 1981: 427–428. Coates & Diaz 1988: 779–780, Fig. 2.

Material examined. MZUSP #1232, 1 adult specimen, Picinguaba - Riacho Sabesp, Sao Paulo State, Brazil, 04-10-2007, col.: Ana Emilia Siegloch.

Description. Body length 4 mm, diameter 0.14 mm (V), 0.16 mm (XII, XX), 29 segments. Two chaetae per bundle absent at XII, ventral chaetae ca. 32 : 3 μ m, caudal chaetae ca. 56 : 5 μ m; *i.e.*, caudal chaetae almost twice as large as anterior chaetae. Epidermal gland cells present, pattern not distinguished. Body wall thin (*e.g.*, 8 μ m dorsally in XVI), cuticle 1.5–2 μ m thick. Secondary lobes of pharyngeal glands in VI. Oesophageal appendages absent. Intestinal diverticula in VII, directed anteriad only, no posterior projection. Dorsal blood vessel from XI or XII. Preclitellar nephridia 2 pairs, at 8/9 and 9/10. Coelomocytes sparse, with very fine, regular, blurred vesicles.

Clitellum saddle-shaped, well-developed, cell height dorso-laterally 16 μ m, on dorsal half hyalocytes and granulocytes, ventro-laterally only granulocytes, *ca.* 33 dense rows; hyalocytes contagious. Seminal vesicle absent. Sperm length *ca.* 50 μ m, heads *ca.* 20 μ m. Sperm funnel small, cylindrical, 30 μ m long, 20 μ m thick, collar high, not wider than funnel body. Vas deferens in tight isodiametric coils. Male pores on body surface, no male glands distinguished. Spermatheca small, elongate, bent in V, extending into VI. No accessory glands seen.

This specimen agrees with the description of G. oiepe Righi, 1974, except for the body size (length 5.7-8.5 mm, diameter ca. 0.25 at XII, ca. 0.2 in rest of body, 32-34 segments). However, a subsequent record of the species (Righi 1981) gives dimensions that agree better with our specimen (length 3.5-4.1 mm, diameter ca. 0.14 mm at XII, ca. 0.13 mm in rest of body, 31-32 segments). Number of preclitellar nephridia is unknown in G. oiepe, only the presence of the 1st nephridium at 8/9 is originally described. Apart from G. oiepe, only G. hoeferi Schmelz et al. 2011 has preclitellar nephridia in 8/9 and 9/10, in other species the 1st nephridium is placed more anteriorly. G. hoeferi differs by huge spermathecae and seminal vesicle, among other characters. G. oiepe was originally found in decaying wood inside humus-rich soil in Minas Gerais, and there are two more records from Brazil, from the States Rio de Janeiro (Righi 1975) and Mato Grosso (Righi 1981); this is the first record from São Paulo State.

Guaranidrilus sp. 1

Material examined. MZUSP #1234, 1 subadult specimen, Núcleo Santa Virgínia (São Luis do Paraitinga, SP), Ponte Três Paus, 31-10-2008, col. Ana Emilia Siegloch.

Description. Length 3 mm, 26 segments. Two chaetae per bundle, 60 µm long in caudal segments, 26 µm anteriorly. No epidermal gland cells seen. Cuticle 1.5-2 µm thick. Brain incised posteriorly. Pharyngeal glands all united dorsally. Presence or absence of oesophageal appendages not ascertained. Intestinal diverticula in VII, filling out segment. Dorsal blood vessel from IX or further back. Preclitellar nephridia at 6/7-8/9. Coelomocytes 12-18 µm long, filled with brown granules, cells very conspicuous against rest of body. Clitellum girdle-shaped, in c. 35 rows. Compact mass of cysts in XI dorsally. Spermatozoa short: ca. 40 µm long, heads ca. 10 µm, or longer. Sperm funnels small, 32 µm : 16 µm, collar as wide as funnel body. Vasa deferentia in tight isodiametric coils, male pores on body surface, no "penial bulb", clitellum thickened

around male pores. Spermathecae stump-like and very short, *ca*. 30 μ m long, diameter 10 μ m, possibly extending into VI.

Remarks. This specimen probably belongs to a new species. It is conspicuous by the strongbrown coelomocytes and the girdle-shaped clitellum. The only species of *Guaranidrilus* currently known with a girdle-shaped clitellum is *G. cingulatus* Schmelz *et al.*, 2011, a species with pale coelomocytes.

Guaranidrilus sp. 2

Material examined. MZUSP #1236, 1 juvenile specimen, Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão -Campo do Meio, 22°41'56.6"S, 45°29'16.8"W, 27-04-2006. MZUSP #1241, 1 juvenile specimen, Ubatuba - Rio do Veio, 23°21'35"S, 44°47'0,4"W, 03-10-2007. Col. Ana Emilia Siegloch.

Description. Length 3 mm. Chaetae *ca.* 50 μ m in caudal segments, 25 in anterior segments (MZUSP #1236), or 26 μ m anteriorly, 36 μ m posteriorly (MZUSP #1241). Cuticle thin (< 1 μ m). Secondary pharyngeal gland lobes in V and VI. Oesophageal appendages paired anteriorly in VI, small. Intestinal diverticula in VII. Preclitellar nephridia 3 pairs, from 6/7 to 8/9. Coelomocytes small, ca. 10 μ m long.

Remark. The two juvenile specimens of *Guaranidrilus* sp. 2 differ in the length of the chaetae and may belong to different species.

Guaranidrilus sp. 3

Material examined. MZUSP #1246, one adult specimen. Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão -Serrote, 22°39'39"S, 45°26'58.4"W, 15-02-2007, col. Ana Emilia Siegloch.

Description. Length 7 mm, diameter 0.2-0.25 mm. 36 segments. Head pore on prostomium. Chaetae 2 throughout, anteriorly ca. 40–45 µm long, in caudal segments 60–65 µm. Epidermal gland cells at least 6 rows dorsally in anterior segments; shape not distinguished. Body wall *ca*.

15–18 μm thick dorsally, cuticle *ca*. 2.5 μm thick, longitudinal muscle layer 8–10 μm. Septa not conspicuously thickened. Pharyngeal glands with secondary ventral lobes in VI (possibly also in V, small). Oesophageal appendages absent. Intestinal diverticula extending over 3 segments, VII–IX, with anterior and posterior projections. Dorsal blood vessel from XI. Preclitellar nephridia 4 pairs, 6/7-9/10. Coelomocytes concentrated at body ends, length *ca*. 30–50 μm, 1.5–2x as long as wide, with very fine blurred vesicles.

Clitellum saddle-shaped, ca. 33 rows, midventral interruption narrower than distance of male pores. Maximum cell height 18 µm dorsally and 14 µm ventrally around male pores. Seminal vesicle absent, few cysts dorsally in XI. Spermatozoa present on sperm funnel, length ca. 85 µm, heads 32 µm. Sperm funnel pear-shaped, ca. 60 µm long, ca. 1.5x as long as wide, tapering at both ends, the tapering being more pronounced ectally, towards vas deferens; collar narrower than funnel body. Vas deferens wound in tight isodiametric coils. Male pores on body surface, male glands small or absent, no "penial bulb". Spermatheca small, without sperm, extending into anterior region of VI only, length ca. 170 µm, ectal duct 16 µm wide, ental reservoir 24 µm wide, transition from ectal duct to ampulla inconspicuous. No accessory glands seen. Two mature eggs.

Remarks. The specimen agrees with most details of the original description of Guaranidrilus glandulosus Černosvitov, 1937 (Černosvitov 1937b), notably the large intestinal diverticula, so far unique in the genus (in other species the diverticula extend over 1 or 2 segments). However, G. glandulosus has large male glands ("bulbes péniales") around the male pores, so this specimen most probably belongs to a new species. Furthermore, G. glandulosus is incompletely known and in need of revision, because one important character is controversial: Oesophageal appendages are present in VI according to the original description ("peptonéphridies"), but they appear to be absent in the type material (Coates & Diaz 1988).

Enchytraeidae gen. sp.

Material examined. MZUSP #1233, one juvenile specimen, Ubatuba – Rio da Pipoca, 23°24'1.7"S, 45°6'27.9"W, 05-10-2007. MZUSP #1238, two juvenile specimens, Pindamonhangaba - Cachoeira Nilceia, 22°45'25.6"S, 45°28' 38.2"W, 28-09-2007. Col. Ana Emilia Siegloch.

Remarks. The three juvenile specimens have two strongly sigmoid chaetae per bundle and a medullar nerve cord. They may belong to *Hemienchytraeus*, but genus-diagnostic characters (*e.g.* oesophageal appendages) were not distinguished.

DISCUSSION

Achaeta singularis, Guaranidrilus oiepe and Marionina argentea sensu lato are new records for the State of São Paulo, and M. argentea is also new for South America. The latter, very common in Europe, is a complex of semi-aquatic species, often found in moist soil, at river banks, but also in river sediments (Rota 2013). As was mentioned above, among the five species currently distinguished within M. argentea sensu lato, the specimens resemble most M. deminuta Rota, 2013.

Better knowledge of freshwater enchytraeids in South America faces three challenges: First, the species diversity of enchytraeids in South America is still largely unexplored (Schmelz et al. 2013) and any sampling may result in collecting undescribed species. Second, taxonomic work with enchytraeids requires the investigation of living specimens. Third, identification of the aquatic species requires also knowledge of the terrestrial species, because many species commonly considered as terrestrial may survive or even reproduce in aquatic habitats, provided that no oxygen-deficiency occurs. In Europe, enchytraeids are species-rich and abundant in the ecotone between soil and freshwater (e.g., Erséus et al. 2005, Plum & Filser 2005, Beylich & Graefe 2007). It will be highly interesting to sampling this ecotone in tropical and subtropical Brazil and to compare the fauna with the aquatic and the

terrestrial one. For example, *A. singularis* was originally found on "terra firme" but it makes up almost half of the specimens from the freshwater samples. A sampling campaign along the moisture gradient from soil to freshwater should reveal the true moisture preferences of this species that was considered to be a remnant of the old autochthonous forest (Schmelz *et al.* 2008).

Another point of interest will be the percentage

of native vs. peregrine species in the aquatic enchytraeid assemblage. Among all taxa identified here, *M. argentea* and *M. cf. seminuda* may be considered as peregrine species, all others are likely native species.

Acknowledgments – The authors thank Gergely Boros, organizer of the 12 International Symposium on Enchytraeidae and editor of this Proceedings Volume. The most careful reading of two anonymous reviewers greatly helped to improve the manuscript.

Table 2. List of enchyt	traeid specimens	with MZUSP a	accession numbers.	sampling sites.	and identification r	esults.
		,				

MZUSP accession numbers	Sampling locality	Identification result
MZUSP 1232	Picinguaba - Riacho Sabesp	Guaranidrilus oiepe Righi, 1974
MZUSP 1233a,b	Ubatuba – Rio da Pipoca	a: <i>Marionina argentea</i> s.l. (Michaelsen, 1889) b: Enchytraeidae gen. sp.
MZUSP 1234	Núcleo Santa Virgínia (Săo Luis do Paraitinga, SP) Ponte Tres Paus	Guaranidrilus sp. 1
MZUSP 1235	Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão - Serrote	Achaeta neotropica Černosvitov, 1937
MZUSP 1236	Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão - Campo do Meio	Guaranidrilus sp. 2
MZUSP 1237	Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão - Celestina	Marionina cf. seminuda Xie & Rota, 2001
MZUSP 1238 a,b	Pindamonhangaba - Cachoeira Nilceia	a, b: Enchytraeidae gen. sp.
MZUSP 1239	Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão - Campo do Meio	Achaeta singularis Schmelz, 2008
MZUSP 1240	Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão - Camhambora	Achaeta singularis
MZUSP 1241	Ubatuba - Rio do Veio	Guaranidrilus sp. 2
MZUSP 1242	Parque Estadual Campos do Jordăo - Mimosinha	Achaeta singularis
MZUSP 1243a,b	Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão - Serrote	Achaeta singularis
MZUSP 1244	Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão - Casguilho	Achaeta singularis
MZUSP 1245	Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão - Camhambora	Achaeta singularis
MZUSP 1246	Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão - Serrote	Guaranidrilus sp. 3
MZUSP 1247	Parque Estadual Campos do Jordão - Campo do Meio	Achaeta singularis
MZUSP 1248	Parque Estadual Campos do Jordăo - Mimosinha	Achaeta singularis
MZUSP 1249	Parque Estadual Campos do Jordăo - Camhambora	Achaeta singularis

	Marionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1889) s. l. MZUSP #1233	Marionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1889) s.s. (Rota 2013)	Marionina mendax Rota, 2013	Marionina mimula Rota, 2013	<i>Marionina deminuta</i> Rota, 2013	<i>Marionina</i> sambugarae Schmelz, 2015
Body length	1 mm	1.8–2.4 mm	1.5–2.4 mm	1.5–1.7 mm	0.9–1.4 mm	1.5 mm
Chaetae, length	22–25 μm	16–32 μm	19–42 µm	18–38 µm	16–29 μm	28–38 μm
Pharyngeal glands in VI: dorsal lobe	absent	present	absent	present	present	present
Gonadal region	X–XII	XI–XIII	XI–XIII	XI–XIII	X–XII or	XI–XIII
Clitellum dorsally	present	present	present	present	XI–XIII present	absent
Clitellum, ventral margin	hyalocytes	granulocytes	granulocytes	unknown	hyalocytes	granulocytes
Male glandular bulb length	10 µm	29–37 µm	16–27 μm	32–48 µm	17–19 μm	20–32 µm

 Table 3. Comparison of specimen MZUSP #1233, identified as Marionina argentea s.l., with known species of the Marionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1889) species complex. Literature data from Rota (2013) and Martin et al. (2015).

REFERENCES

- ARNOTT, H. J. (1995): Calcium oxalate in fungi. In: KHAN, S.R. (Ed.) Calcium oxalate in biological systems, CRC Press, Boca Raton, p. 73–111.
- BEYLICH, A. & GRAEFE, U. (2007): Investigations on the enchytraeid fauna in floodplain soils of the Lower Middle Elbe. *Folia Facultatis scientiarum naturalium Universitatis Masarykianae Brunensis*, *Biologia*, 110: 107–122.
- BOTEA, F. (1987): Oligochaeta from Venezuela. In: DECU, V., ORGHIDAN, T., DANCAU, D., BORDON, C., LINARES, O., URBANI, F., TRONCHONI, J. & BOSQUE, C. (Eds.) Fauna hipogea y hemiedafica de Venezuela y de otros países de América del Sur, Editura Academiei Republicii Socialiste Romania, Bucarest, p. 67–72.
- BRINKHURST, R.O. & MARCHESE, M. (1989): Guide to the freshwater aquatic Oligochaeta of South and Central America. Asociación de Ciencias Naturales del Litoral, Santo Tomé, Argentina, 179 pp.
- BROWN, G.G., CALLAHAM, M.A., Jr., NIVA, C.C., FEI-JOO, A., SAUTTER, K.D., JAMES, S.W., FRAGOSO, C., PASINI, A., SCHMELZ, R. M. (2013): Terrestrial oligochaete research in Latin America: The impor-

tance of the Latin American Meetings on Oligochaete Ecology and Taxonomy. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 69: 2–12. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2012.12.006

- ČERNOSVITOV, L. (1937a): System der Enchytraeiden. Bulletin de l'Association Russe pour les recherches scientifiques à Prague (section des sciences naturelles et matématiques), 5: 263–295.
- ČERNOSVITOV, L. (1937b): Notes sur les Oligochaeta (Naididées et Enchytraeidées) de l'Argentine. Anales del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales, Buenos Aires, 39: 135–157.
- ČERNOSVITOV, L. (1939): The Percy Sladen Trust Expedition to Lake Titicaca in 1937. VI. Oligochaeta. *Transactions of the Linnean Society of London*, 1: 81–116.
- ČERNOSVITOV, L. (1941): Oligochaeta from various parts of the world. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London*, 111: 197–236.
- CHRISTOFFERSEN, M.L. (1979): Achaeta neotropica Černosvitov and A. iridescens sp. n. (Oligochaeta, Enchytraeidae) from Serra do Mar, São Paulo, Brazil. Zoologica Scripta, 8: 153–158.
- CHRISTOFFERSEN, M.L. (2007): A catalogue of aquatic microdrile oligochaetes (Annelida: Clitellata) from
South America. *Acta Hydrobiologica Sinica*, 31 Supplement: 59–86.

- CHRISTOFFERSEN, M.L. (2009): Species diversity and distributions of microdrile earthworms (Annelida, Clitellata, Enchytraeidae) from South America. *Zootaxa*, 2065: 52–68.
- COATES, K.A. & DIAZ, R.J. (1988): Description of *Guaranidrilus oregonensis* (Oligochaeta: Enchytraeidae) from North America, with additional comments on the genus. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington*, 101: 773–783.
- COTÉ, G.G. (2009): Diversity and distribution of idioblasts producing calcium oxalate crystals in *Dieffenbachia seguine* (Araceae). *American Journal of Botany*, 96: 1245–1254. doi: 10.3732/ajb.0800276
- DÓZSA-FARKAS, K. (1995): *Enchytraeus dudichi* sp. n., a new fragmenting *Enchytraeus* species from Iran (Enchytraeidae, Oligochaeta). *Opuscula Zoologica Budapest*, 27–28: 41–44.
- ERSÉUS, C., ROTA, E., TIMM, T., GRIMM, R., HEALY, B. & LUNDBERG, S. (2005): Riverine and riparian clitellates of three drainages in southern Sweden. *Annales de Limnologie, Toulouse*, 41: 183–194.
- GORNI, G.R. & ALVES, R.D.G. (2008): Oligochaeta (Annelida: Clitellata) em córregos de baixa ordem do Parque Estadual de Campos do Jordão (São Paulo - Brasil). *Biota Neotropica*, 8: 161–165. doi: 10.1590/s1676-06032008000400016
- HOOPER, J.N.A. & VAN SOEST, R.W.M. (2006): Systema Porifera. A Guide to the Classification of Sponges. Kluwer Academic, New York, 1707 pp.
- HORNER, H.T. & WAGNER, B.L. (1995): Calcium oxalate formation in higher plants. In: KHAN, S.R. (Ed.) Calcium oxalate in biological systems, CRC Press, Boca Raton, p. 53–72.
- LACHNICHT, S. L. & HENDRIX, P.F. (2001): Interaction of the earthworm *Diplocardia mississippiensis* (Megascolecidae) with microbial and nutrient dynamics in a subtropical Spodosol. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 33: 1411–1417. doi: 10.1016/s0038-0717(01)00049-9
- LAVELLE, P., CHAUVEL, A. & FRAGOSO, C. (1995): *Faunal activity in soils*. In: DATE, R.A.E.A. (Ed.) Plant Soil Interactions at Low pH, Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, p. 201–211.
- MARTIN, P., SCHMELZ, R.M. & DOLE-OLIVIER, M.-J. (2015): Groundwater oligochaetes (Annelida, Clitellata) from the Mercantour National Park

(France), with the descriptions of one new genus and two new stygobiont species. *Zoosystema*, 37: 551–569. doi: 10.5252/z2015n4a2

- MARTÍNEZ-ANSEMIL, E. & GIANI, N. (1986): Algunos oligoquetos acuáticos de Bolivia. *Oecologia aquatica*, 8: 107–115.
- MICHAELSEN, W. (1889): Oligochaeten des Naturhistorischen Museums in Hamburg. I. Jahrbuch der Hamburgischen Wissenschaftlichen Anstalten, 6: 1–17.
- NEUHAUS, B., SCHMID, T. & RIEDEL, J. (2017): Collection management and study of microscope slides: Storage, profiling, deterioration, restoration procedures, and general recommendations. *Zootaxa*, 4322 (1): 1–173. doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.4322.1.1
- NIELSEN, C.O. & CHRISTENSEN, B. (1959): The Enchytraeidae. Critical revision and taxonomy of European species (Studies on Enchytraeidae VII). *Natura Jutlandica*, 8–9: 1–160.
- NIELSEN, C.O. & CHRISTENSEN, B. (1963): The Enchytraeidae. Critical revision and taxonomy of European species. Supplement 2. *Natura Jutlandica*, 10: 1–19.
- PLUM, N.M. & FILSER, J. (2005): Floods and drought: response of earthworms and potworms (Oligochaeta: Lumbricidae, Enchytraeidae) to hydrological extremes in wet grasslands. *Pedobiologia*, 49: 443–453. doi: 10.1016/j.pedobi.2005.05.004
- RIGHI, G. (1974): Notas sobre os Oligochaeta, Enchytraeidae do Brasil. Papéis Avulsos de Zoologia. Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo, 28: 127–145.
- RIGHI, G. (1975): Algumas Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta) Brasileiras. Ciência e Cultura. São Paulo (Journal of the Brazilian Association for the Advancement of Science), 27: 143–150.
- RIGHI, G. (1981): Notas sobre Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta) brasileiras. *Revista Brasileira de Biologia*. *Rio de Janeiro*, 41: 427–430.
- RODRIGUES, L.F.T., SILVEIRA LEITE, F. & ALVES, R.D.G. (2016): Influence of bryophyte biomass and organic matter quantity on the abundance and richness of oligochaetes in forest streams with different phytophysiognomies in southeastern Brazil. *Journal of Limnology*, 75: 340–346. doi: 10.4081/jlimnol.2016.1284
- RODRIGUES, L.F.T., JABOUR VESCOVI ROSA, B.F., LOBO, H., CAMPOS DIVINO, A. & ALVES, R.D.G. (2015): Diversity and distribution of oligochaetes in

tropical forested streams, southeastern Brazil. *Journal of Limnology*, 74: 433–443. doi: 10.4081/jlimnol.2015.1024

- ROTA, E. (2013): How many lookalikes has *Marionina* argentea (Michaelsen, 1889) (Annelida: Clitellata: Enchytraeidae)? Three new species described from morphological evidence. *Zoologischer Anzeiger*, 252: 123–137. doi: 10.1016/j.jcz.2012.05.001
- SAADI, A.I. & MODAL, A.K. (2011): Studies on the calcium oxalate crystals of some selected aroids (Araceae) in eastern India. Advances in Bioresearch, 2: 134–143.
- SCHMELZ, R.M. & COLLADO, R. (2005) Achaeta becki sp. nov. (Oligochaeta: Enchytraeidae) from Amazonian forest soils. Zootaxa, 1084: 49–57.
- SCHMELZ, R.M. & COLLADO, R. (2007): Revision of Hemienchytraeus stephensoni (Cognetti, 1927) (Enchytraeidae, Oligochaeta, Annelida). Folia Facultatis scientiarum naturalium Universitatis Masarykianae Brunensis, Biologia, 110: 67–85.
- SCHMELZ, R.M. & COLLADO, R. (2010): A guide to European terrestrial and freshwater species of Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta). *Soil Organisms*, 82: 1–176. PDF (accessed 29-07-2017)
- SCHMELZ, R.M., COLLADO, R. & RÖMBKE, J. (2008): Mata Atlântica enchytraeids (Paraná, Brazil): The

genus Achaeta (Oligochaeta, Enchytraeidae). Zootaxa, 1809: 1–35.

- SCHMELZ, R.M., COLLADO, R. & RÖMBKE, J. (2009): Benefits from ecological study methods to taxonomy of enchytraeids in southern Mata Atlântica. *Pesquisa agropecuaria brasileira, Brasilia*, 44: 861–867.
- SCHMELZ, R.M., COLLADO, R. & RÖMBKE, J. (2011): Mata Atlântica enchytraeids (Enchytraeidae, Oligochaeta): Description of a new genus, *Xetadrilus* gen. nov., with three new species, and four new species of *Guaranidrilus* Černosvitov. *Zootaxa*, 2838: 1–29.
- SCHMELZ, R.M., NIVA, C.C., RÖMBKE, J. & COLLADO, R. (2013): Diversity of terrestrial Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta) in Latin America: Current knowledge and future research potential. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 69: 13–20. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2012.12.012
- SPIERS, G.A., GAGNON, D., NASON, G.E., PACKEE, E.C. & LOUSIER, J.D. (1986): Effects of importance of indigenous earthworms on decomposition and nutrient cycling in coastal forest ecosystems. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 16: 983–989.
- XIE, Z. & ROTA, E. (2001): Four new terrestrial species of *Marionina* (Clitellata, Enchytraeidae) from China and re-examination of *M. hoffbaueri* Möller. *Journal of Natural History*, 35: 1417–1431. doi: 10.1080/002229301317067610

First records of *Parergodrilus heideri* ("Polychaeta": Parergodrilidae) and *Hrabeiella periglandulata* ("Polychaeta": Hrabeiellidae) from Slovakia and new records of both species from Czechia

J. Schlaghamerský 1* & M. Bílková 1

¹Jiři Schlaghamerský & Martina Bílková, Masaryk University, Faculty of Science, Department of Botany and Zoology, Kotlářská 2, 611 37 Brno, Czech Republic *Corresponding author: jiris@sci.muni.cz

Abstract. In the course of a study on microannelids of spring fens and adjacent grasslands in the Western Carpathians (within Czechia and Slovakia), conducted in spring and autumn of 2015 and 2016, the two terrestrial, soil-dwelling polychaetes *Parergodrilus heideri* and *Hrabeiella periglandulata* were recorded for the first time in Slovakia at six sites and one site, respectively. The find of *P. heideri* in the eastern part of Slovakia represents the second eastern-most published record within its known European range (only a recent record from Estonia lies further in the east). Within Czechia, *P. heideri* (hitherto known from a single site in the north of Bohemia) was found at four sites and *H. periglandulata* at three sites, all in north-eastern Moravia close to the Polish and Slovak borders.

Keywords. Annelida, grassland, fen, wetland, Western Carpathians, soil fauna.

INTRODUCTION

nly two truly soil-dwelling non-clitellate annelids are known, *i.e.* Parergodrilus heideri Reisinger, 1925 and Hrabeiella periglandulata Pižl & Chalupský, 1984. Non-clitellate means that they have been traditionally placed in the taxon Polychaeta, which is, however, considered paraphyletic and therefore given in-between quotation marks elsewhere in this text. Also members of the Aeolosomatidae (formerly placed within the clitellate "Oligochaeta", now as one of the two taxa constituting Aphanoneura, also within "Polychaeta") are found in soil. However, these aeolosomatid species are apparently semiaquatic, being active in the water-filled soil pores, and the very same species are more frequently found in aquatic habitats. This is not the case with the above-mentioned species, though one of them, P. heideri, has also been found in water-logged soil and ground water (Martínez-Ansemil & Parapar 2009, Stoch et al. 2011). After some confusion about its taxonomic position in the years after its description (Reisinger 1925), P. heideri was placed in the small family Parergodrilidae (Reisinger 1960) together with one other species, *Stygocapitella subterranea* Knöllner, 1934 (living in sediments of the marine littoral and in coastal groundwater).

There is no close phylogenetic relationship between the Parergodrilidae and *H. periglandulata* (Jördens *et al.* 2004). *H. periglandulata* had been listed in scientific works without assignation to any family, until Christoffersen (2012) formally erected the monotypic family Hrabeiellidae. For an up-to-date view on the phylogenetic position (yet not fully resolved) of the above-mentioned taxa see Weigert and Bleidorn (2016).

Both species were originally described from Central Europe: *P. heideri* from the vicinity of Graz in Styria, Austria (Reisinger 1925), *H. periglandulata* from South Bohemia, Czechia (Pižl & Chalupský 1984). Since then our knowledge about their distribution has increased substantially. An overview of the occurrence of *P. heideri* in Europe was given by Rota *et al.* (2010). The species has been found from north-eastern Spain

urn: lsid:zoobank.org:pub:744A9871-B9E2-468B-B188-51046805D4C7 *published: 02, November 2017* HU ISSN 2063-1588 (online), HU ISSN 0237-5419 (print) *http://dx.doi.org/10.18348/opzool.2017.S2.37*

in the west to northern Croatia in the east and from southern Sweden in the north to southern Italy. Outside of Europe it was recorded in Korea (Dózsa-Farkas & Hong 2010) and within North America in the states of Minnesota and Wisconsin, USA (Schlaghamerský & Frelich 2012). An overview of our current knowledge on the occurrence of *H. periglandulata* in Europe was published by Dózsa-Farkas & Schlaghamerský (2013), showing a distribution from north-western Spain in the west to north-western Romania in the east and from southern Sweden in the north to central Italy in the south. This work furthermore rejected ideas about the presence of more than one species in Europe, to be discriminated based on chaetal ultrastructure. Outside of Europe, H. periglandulata was also found at a site in Korea (Dózsa-Farkas & Hong 2010). The publication of further finds of both species outside of Europe by the first author of the present paper is under preparation.

In the present paper we report on the first records of both species in Slovakia. In the case of P. heideri this is based on finds at multiple localities. Whereas H. periglandulata had been reported from five sites in three areas of Czechia, four of which are located in Bohemia and one in the eastern part of the country, in Moravia (Schlaghamerský & Šídová 2009; Dózsa-Farkas & Schlaghamerský 2013), P. heideri was hitherto known from a single locality in Czechia, more exactly the Giant Mountains (Krkonoše) in northern Bohemia (this single published record, lacking details on the locality, was rather hidden in a paper about the fauna of Enchytraeidae and Parergodrilidae of Sweden: Chalupský 1992). In the present paper we report on three additional sites of occurrence for each of the two species within Czechia, this time in eastern Moravia, close to the Slovak and Polish border. The fact that we found both species at several sites and in considerable numbers also allows us to present some additional information and thoughts on the species' habitat preferences.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Specimens of both species were found during a large scale sampling of annelids of non-forest

micro-wetlands (spring fens of different levels of pH and mineral richness) and adjacent grasslands (meadows or pastures) in the Western Carpathians, conducted in 2015 and 2016 in the easternmost part of Czechia and a substantial part of Slovakia. This included 21 pairs of wetland and grassland in Slovakia, each sampled once in spring (April - May) and once in autumn (September - October). Microannelids were sampled by a cylinder-shaped steel corer with a circular area of 17 cm² to the depth of 9–15 cm (depending of the penetrability of the sediments or soil). Five cores were taken at each site-habitat combination at each sampling date. The obtained cores were subdivided into 3-cm thick layers. These were put in plastic bags and kept cool during transport and subsequent storage in the laboratory (at 4 °C). Annelids were extracted by wet funnel extraction without heating (48 h, cooling by a water bath, retrieval of a first batch of extracted annelids and water replacement after the first 24 hours), sorted out under a preparatory binocular microscope, stored in water-filled Petri dishes (at 4 °C, for a short time), and identified under a compound laboratory microscope. Due to the high number of enchytraeids in the samples, the processing of grassland soil cores from a given site was stopped when at least 140 microannelid specimens from at least five soil cores, covering both the spring and autumn seasons, were extracted and identified. Microannelid numbers in the wetland samples were substantially lower and thus all fen sediment cores were processed. The absolute numbers reported here for the two habitats, fen and grassland, are therefore not based on the same sampling effort.

RESULTS

The geographic situation of the sites where either of the two species was recorded is presented in Figure 1. For the geographic coordinates and further details about the localities and the numbers of recorded specimens see Table 1.

Parergodrilis heideri was found at six sites in Slovakia (31 specimens in total, all females) from the Kysuce Highlands in the north-west of the



Figure 1. Map with localities of new records of *Parergodrilus heideri* (Parergodrilidae) and *Hrabeiella periglandulata* (Hrabeiellidae) in the Western Carpathians

country to the Volov Hills in the east. Furthermore we found *P. heideri* also at four sites in north-eastern Moravia, Czechia (15 specimens in total), one of which was situated in the Silesian Beskids (Slezské Beskydy) in the north-eastern corner of Czechia just at the border to Poland and the other two in the Moravian-Silesian Beskids (Moravskoslezské Beskydy), *ca* 1.6 km north and 2.5 km north-west of the border to Slovakia, respectively. Of the total number of *P. heideri* specimens, 31 were found in fen sediments (68% in the 0–3 cm layer, 26% in the 3–6 cm layer) and 15 in grassland soil (87% in the 0–3 cm layer, 13% in the 3–6 cm layer).

Hrabeiella periglandulata was found at three sites in Czechia (16 specimens, some with yolky eggs, several dead upon examination), situated in the Silesian and Moravian Beskids and at a single site within Slovakia (4 specimens), the latter situated in the Turzovka Highlands (Turzovská vrchovina), part of the western Beskids within the Outer Western Carpathians, *ca* 1 km east of the border between Czechia and Slovakia. Specimens were exclusively obtained from soil samples, *i.e.* none from fen sediments. Vertical distribution in the upper 15 cm was rather even, with a moderate drop with depth (25% both in the 0–3 cm and 3–6 cm layers, 20% in the 6–9 cm layer, 15% both in the 9-12 cm and 12-15 cm layers). The site in Slovakia differed from those in Czechia in that it was not a true grassland but a logged area of spruce forest, with some standing dead spruce trees and logging stumps, and rather sparsely afforested with young saplings of silver fir (Abies alba) and beech (Fagus sylvatica). The ground floor was overgrown with grass (predominantly Avenella flexuosa) and common bilberry (Vacci*nium myrtillus*), partially also mosses, with visible traces of red deer grazing. In general, the upper 3-6 cm of the soil profile were made up of an upper layer of decomposing grass and a lower layer of spruce needles in a more advanced stage of decomposition (F- and H-layers), followed by mineral soil, sometimes including rotten pieces of wood. The depth of the organic layer derived from forest litter varied, in some samples reaching down to ca 10 cm depth.

DISCUSSION

Neither of the two species has been reported from Slovakia before. In particular in the case of *H. periglandulata*, this might seem surprising, as there are records from all neighbouring countries, except the Ukraine, and also from north-eastern Romania (Dózsa-Farkas & Schlaghamerský 2013). The closest previously published site of occurrence is situated in southern Poland (Dumnicka & Rożen 2002), *i.e.* the western-most part of Niepołomice forest east of Cracow (Kraków), *ca* 135 km to the north-east from the present site. The closest locality in Czechia known prior to the present article was from a municipal forest of the city of Brno, 150 km to the west-southwest (Schlaghamerský & Šídová 2009).

To our knowledge, P. heideri has neither been reported from Poland, nor from Lithuania, Latvia, Belarus, Ukraine or Russia. However, it was recently found in Estonia (Kõlli et al. 2015), which has shifted the eastern border of its known distribution area within Europe substantially to the east. From Czechia it had been reported only from the north of Bohemia (Chalupský 1992), close to the Polish border, whereas there were so far no records from Moravia. There is also no published record from Hungary, despite intensive research on soil-dwelling microannelids conducted there. However, in 2012 it was found in the Kőszeg Mountains close to the Austrian border (unpublished record, Dózsa-Farkas, pers. com.). There are no records from the Balkans. Our find of P. heideri at Teplá Voda in the Volov Hills (eastern Slovakia) thus represents the second eastern-most published record within its known European range. Taking into account the species' confirmed occurrence in other regions of the Holarctic, i.e. eastern Asia and North America, the lacking records from many regions within Europe are most probably an effect of the lack of studies on soil-living microannelids (or, more generally, semiaquatic soil fauna).

Both *H. periglandulata* and *P. heideri* have been mostly found by enchytraeid researchers using some type of wet extraction from soil. Dry extraction methods, such as those used for microarthropods, do not allow to obtain intact specimens. Furthermore, tiny specimens belonging to taxa that are not the focus of the given researcher usually go unnoticed. Even in the case of studies on enchytraeids, the approach might differ among researchers. Whereas some have been paying attention to the "odd worm" in their samples, others might not have bothered spending time on specimens not belonging to their group of interest. A fair number of studies on enchytraeids have been conducted in Poland, but few in the countries east of it. Before the present study Slovakia also remained almost unexplored in terms of its enchytraeid fauna, the only more comprehensive inventory being published by the Polish researcher Kasprzak (1986) on enchytraeid assemblages of forest sites in the Little Carpathians (Malé Karpaty), a small mountain range in the south-west of the country (see also Chalupský 1988).

Originally, P. heideri had been found in organic soil layers of montane beech forests and it was reported to avoid waterlogged soils (Reisinger 1925). In contrast, Rota et al. (2010) suggested that it might spread through waterlogged soils along hydrographic systems. Enchytraeids had been studied at four montane beech-fir forests in the Moravian-Silesian Beskids, rather close to the new localities of P. heideri presented above, but P. heideri was not found there (Schlaghamerský 2012). It has to be said that the scope of that study was rather limited in terms of the numbers of soil cores taken and the numbers of microannelids obtained. However, P. heideri was also not found during studies of enchytraeids and other microannelids in 10 grasslands and three broadleaved forests in the White Carpathians, including two montane beech forests, both close to the border to Slovakia (Schlaghamerský & Kobetičová 2006, Schlaghamerský et al. 2007, Tajovský et al. 2008, Schlaghamerský 2010). Hrabeiella periglandulata was also not encountered during these studies.

This shows that albeit both polychaetes are surely more common than shown by the limited number of records, they are by no means omnipresent. Also in the present study both species were only found in a limited subset of the sampled sites. Records of *P. heideri* obtained during the present study confirm that it occurs indeed in terrestrial as well as semiaquatic habitats. It is worth mentioning that many enchytraeid individuals belonging to species that are generally considered terrestrial were also extracted from the studied fen sediments. The presence of *P. heideri* in substrates of pH values around 7 or even above is in line with the characterization given by Graefe & Schmelz (1999), but we found the species also in soils with a pH as low as 4.6. As the numbers of evaluated soil or sediment cores from fens and grasslands were not identical, a direct evaluation of its habitat preferences (fen vs. grassland) is not possible. In the case of *H. periglandulata*, however, its complete lack from the wetland portions of the investigated sites indicates that this species avoids waterlogged substrate, though another reason might be the avoidance of higher pH values. Our fens were generally rich in minerals and of higher pH than the soils of the adjacent terrestrial habitats. This correlation of pH and moisture levels in our set of sites hampers a comparison of pH preferences between the two species.

Vertical distribution of both species in the soil or sediment profile probably matches the distribution of organic matter and, in particular in the case of the fen sediments, the availability of oxygen, but data on these variables are not available for the individual layers.

 Table 1. Overview of localities, given from west to east, where Parergodrilus heideri (Parergodrilidae) and Hrabeiella periglandulata (Hrabeiellidae) were recorded in 2015 or 2016, with information on each site (habitat type and pH – two values correspond to records from two habitat types) and the numbers of specimens obtained (N P. h. and N H. p., respectively, two values correspond to records from the two habitat types); numbering of localities corresponds to site numbers in Figure 1, CZ and SK shows if the site is located in Czechia or Slovakia.

Locality	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)	Geomorphological Mesoregion	Habitat	pH (H ₂ O)	N P.h	N <i>H.p</i>
1) Podgruň (CZ)	49°29'10"	18°28'23"	Moravian-Silesian Beskids (Moravskoslezské Beskydy)	Pasture	4.5	-	5
2) Obidová (CZ)	49°31'04"	18°31'26"	Moravian-Silesian Beskids (Moravskoslezské Beskydy)	Mineral-poor fen with calcitolerant <i>Sphagnum</i> spp./meadow	7.7/4.3	2/0	0/10
3) Jančíkovci(SK)	49°29'29"	18°33'00"	Turzov Highland (Turzovská vrchovina)	Forest clearcut with grass, moss and bilberry	3.8	-	4
4) Kyčmol (CZ)	49°30'47"	18°37'27"	Moravian-Silesian Beskids (Moravskoslezské Beskydy)	Pasture	4.6	1	-
5) Vřesová stráň (CZ)	49°30'16"	18°44'44"	Moravian-Silesian Beskids (Moravskoslezské Beskydy)	Pasture	5.31	1	-
6) Bukovec (CZ)	49°32'57"	18°51'30"	Moravian-Silesian Beskids (Moravskoslezské Beskydy)	Mineral-rich fen/ wet pasture	8.5/5.5	9/2	0/1
7) Vychylovka (SK)	49°23'03"	19°06'05"	Kysuce Highland (Kysucká vrchovina)	Tall herb meadow	6.3	1	-
8) Chrastě at Dúbrava (SK)	49°02'14"	19°31'31"	Tatras: Liptov Basin (Liptovská kotlina)	Mineral-rich fen	7.4	11	-
9) Potôčky (SK)	49°06'29"	19°48'44"	Tatras: Tatra Foothills (Tatranské podhorie)	Dry pasture	4.9	1	-
10) Liptovská Teplička (SK)	48°57'47"	20°06'16"	Low Tatras (Nízke Tatry)	Mineral-rich fen	7.5	4	-
11) Meandre Hrona (SK)	48°49'34	20°09'51"	Low Tatras (Nízke Tatry)	Drier margin of alluvial meadow	6.6	7	-
12) Tichá Voda (SK)	48°46'50"	20°35'50"	Volov Hills (Volovské vrchy)	Mineral-poor fen with calcitolerant <i>Sphagnum</i> spp./ adjacent dry grassland	6.7/4.9	5/2	-

Acknowledgements – Our study was funded by the Czech Science Foundation, grant No. 15-15548S "Annelids of isolated micro-wetlands: from water to soil". We are thankful to our research team members Michal Horsák, Vendula Polášková, Jana Schenková, Standa Němejc (all Dept. of Botany and Zoology, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University) and Václav Pižl (Institute of Soil Biology, Biology Centre of the Czech Academy of Sciences, České Budějovice), who assisted in tasks such as site selection, logistics, field and lab work.

REFERENCES

- CHALUPSKÝ, J. (1988): Czechoslovak Enchytraeids (Oligochaeta, Enchytraeidae). II. Catalogue of species. Věstník Československé společnosti zoologické, 52: 81–95.
- CHALUPSKÝ, J. (1992): Terrestrial Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta) and Parergodrilidae (Polychaeta) from Sweden, with description of a new enchytraeid species. *Zoologica Scripta*, 21: 133– 150. doi: 10.1111/j.1463-6409.1992.tb00316.x
- CHRISTOFFERSEN, M.L. (2012): Phylogeny of basal descendants of cocoon-forming annelids (Clitellata). *Turkish Journal of Zoology*, 36 (1): 95–119. doi: 10.3906/zoo-1002-27
- DÓZSA-FARKAS, K. & HONG, Y. (2010): Three new *Hemienchytraeus* species (Enchytraeidae, Oligochaeta, Annelida) from Korea, with first records of other enchytraeids and terrestrial polychaetes (Annelida). *Zootaxa*, 2406: 29–56. doi: 10.5281/zenodo.194222
- DÓZSA-FARKAS, K. & SCHLAGHAMERSKÝ, J. (2013): Hrabeiella periglandulata (Annelida: "Polychaeta" – do apparent differences in chaetal ultrastructure indicate the existence of several species in Europe? Acta Zoologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae, 59 (2): 143–156.
- DUMNICKA, E. & ROŻEN, A. (2002): The first record of the terrestrial polychaete *Hrabeiella periglandulata* Pižl & Chalupský, 1984, in Poland, with a note on anatomy and ecology. *Fragmenta Faunistica, Warszawa*, 45: 1–7.
- GRAEFE, U. & SCHMELZ, R. (1999): Indicator values, strategy types and life forms of terrestrial Enchytraeidae and other microannelids. In. SCHMELZ, R.M. & SÜHLO, K. (Eds.) Newsletter on Enchytraeidae 6. Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Enchytraeidae, Osnabrück, Germany. Universitätsverlag Rasch,Osnabrück, p. 59–67.

- JÖRDENS, J., STRUCK, T. & PURSCHKE, G. (2004): Phylogenetic inference regarding Parergodrilidae and *Hrabeiella periglandulata* ('Polychaeta', Annelida) based on 18S rDNA, 28S rDNA and COI sequences. *Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research*, 42 (4): 270–280. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0469.2004.00265.x
- KASPRZAK, K. (1986): Enchytraeids (Oligochaeta, Enchytraeidae) of the Little Carpathians. In. NOSEK, J. (Ed.) The Soil Fauna of the Little Carpathians. Results of Research Program MAB, Bratislava: Institute of Experimental Biology and Ecology, Slovak Academy of Sciences, p. 71–75.
- KÕLLI, R., GRAEFE, U. & TAMM, I. (2015): Abundance and species richness of microannelids in humus cover of Estonian forest soils. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 26 (1): 24–29. (In Estonian with English Summary).
- MARTÍNEZ-ANSEMIL, E. & PARAPAR, J. (2009): Primera cita en la península Ibérica del poliqueto terrestre *Parergodrilus heideri* Reisinger, 1925 (Polychaeta, Parergodrilidae). *Graellsia*, 65 (2): 235– 240. doi: 10.3989/graellsia.2009.v65.i2.152
- PIŽL, V. & CHALUPSKÝ, J. (1984): Hrabeiella periglandulata gen. et sp. n. (Annelida) – A curious worm from Czechoslovakia. Věstník československé Společnosti zoologické, 48: 291–295.
- REISINGER, E. (1925): Ein landbewohnender Archiannelide. (Zugleich ein Beitrag zur Systematik der Archianneliden). Zeitschrift für Morphologie und Ökologie der Tiere, 3 (2/3): 197–254.
- REISINGER, E. (1960): Die Lösung des Parergodrilus-Problems. Zeitschrift für Morphologie und Ökologie der Tiere, 48: 517–544.
- ROTA, E., NICOLA, F. DE, & BARGAGLI, R. (2010): *Parergodrilus heideri* Reisinger, 1925 (Annelida: Polychaeta) from a holm oak wood in an extinct volcano of southern Italy. *Zootaxa*, 2687: 65–68.
- SCHLAGHAMERSKÝ, J. (2010): The small annelids (Annelida: Enchytraeidae, Rhyacodrilinae, Aelosomatidae) in soils of three forests in the White Carpathians (Czech Republic). Acta Societatis Zoologicae Bohemicae, 74 (1–2): 103–115.
- SCHLAGHAMERSKÝ, J. (2012): Terrestrial assemblages of small annelids (Clitellata: Enchytraeidae, Naididae) in beech-fir old growths of the Beskids Protected Landscape Area (Czechia) – results of a rapid assessment. Landbauforschung – vTi Agri-

culture and Forestry Research, Sonderheft/Special Issue 137: 39–44.

- SCHLAGHAMERSKÝ, J. & KOBETIČOVÁ, K. (2006): The impact of cattle pasture on small annelids (Annelida: Enchytraeidae, Tubificidae, Aeolosomatidae) in grasslands of the White Carpathians (Czech Republic). *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 41: 305–309. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2006.07.037
- SCHLAGHAMERSKÝ, J. & ŠÍDOVÁ, A. (2009): Dynamics and vertical distribution of a *Hrabeiella periglandulata* (Annelida) population in South Moravia, Czech Republic. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, 44 (8): 917–921. doi: 10.1590/S0100-204X2009000800017
- SCHLAGHAMERSKÝ, J., ŠÍDOVÁ, A. & PIŽL, V. (2007): From mowing to grazing: Does the change in grassland management affect soil annelid assemblages? *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 43 (1): 72–78. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2007.08.054

- SCHLAGHAMERSKÝ, J. & FRELICH, J. E. (2012): First records of *Parergodrilus heideri* (Annelida: "Polychaeta") from North America. *Zootaxa*, 3498: 81–86.
- STOCH, F., GERECKE, R., PIERI, V., ROSSETTI, G. & SAMBUGAR, B. (2011): Exploring species distribution of spring meiofauna (Annelida, Acari, Crustacea) in the south-eastern Alps. *Journal of Limnology*, 70 (Suppl. 1): 65–76. doi: 10.3274/JL11-70-S1-05
- TAJOVSKÝ, K., BALÍK, V., HÁNĚL, L., STARÝ, J., SCHLAGHAMERSKÝ, J., PIŽL, V., STAŠIOV, S. & RESL, K. (2008): Vývoj půdní fauny na zatravňovaných plochách na lokalitě Výzkum / Soil fauna development at restored sites. In. JONGEPIEROVÁ, I. (Ed.) Louky Bílých Karpat /Grasslands of the White Carpathian Mountains, ZO ČSOP Bílé Karpaty, Veselí nad Moravou, p. 410–415.
- WEIGERT, A. & BLEIDORN, C. (2016): Current status of annelid phylogeny. Organisms Diversity & Evolution, 16: 345–362. doi: 10.1007/s13127-016-0265-7

How to deal with cryptic species in Enchytraeidae, with recommendations on taxonomical descriptions

R.M. Schmelz^{1,2}, A. Beylich^{3*}, G. Boros^{4*}, K. Dózsa-Farkas^{5*}, U. Graefe^{6*} Y. Hong^{7*}, J. Römbke^{8*}, J. Schlaghamerský^{9*}, S. Martinsson^{10°}

¹Rüdiger M. Schmelz, ECT Ökotoxciologie GmbH, Böttgerstr. 2-14, 65439 Flörsheim, Germany. Email: rmschmelz@gmail.com

²University of A Coruña, Science Faculty, GIBE, Evolutionary Biology Research Group, CICA, As Carballeiras s/n, Campus de Elviña, 15071 A Coruña, Spain

³Anneke Beylich, IFAB Institute for Applied Soil Biology GmbH, Tornberg 24a, 22337 Hamburg, Germany

 ⁴Gergely Boros, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3, H-837 Tihany, Hungary
 ⁵Klára Dózsa-Farkas, Eötvös Loránd University, Department of Systematic Zoology and Ecology, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C,H-1117 Budapest, Hungary.

⁶Ulfert Graefe[•] IFAB Institute for Applied Soil Biology GmbH, Tornberg 24a, 22337 Hamburg, Germany ⁷Yong Hong, Department of Agricultural Biology, College of Agriculture & Life Science, Chonbuk National University, Jeonju, Republic of Korea

⁸Jörg Römbke, ECT Ökotoxiologie GmbH, Böttgerstr. 2-14, 65439 Flörsheim, Germany

⁹ Jiri Schlaghamerský, Department of Botany and Zoology, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

¹⁰Svante Martinsson, Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Box 463, SE-405 30 Göteborg, Sweden. E-mail: svante.martinsson@bioenv.gu.se, svante880@gmail.com

**Co-authors contributed equally* [©]*Corresponding author*

Abstract. During the 12th International Symposium on Enchytraeidae, held in Tihany, Hungary (27–29 June 2016), the participants discussed cryptic species, *i.e.*, species that are morphologically so similar that they are classified as the same species (Bickford *et al.* 2007), and how to deal with them taxonomically. Here we summarise the discussion together with a few additional comments, and we give recommendations for species descriptions in Enchytraeidae.

Keywords. Annelids, morphospecies, sequencing.

INTRODUCTION

 \mathbf{S} pecies are basic biological units, and the first step in the exploration of biodiversity. Species are also entities of generalisation: information from different studies of individuals of the same species can be generalised to that species, but not necessarily for a more inclusive taxon, *e.g.*, a genus or a family. However, for this generalisation it is important that the specimens are correctly identified to species, and species are correctly delimited, to avoid, for example, that several species differing in various properties, *e.g.* ecological or physiological, are included under the same name. Both correct identification of previously named species, and the naming and description of new taxa are crucial steps for describing the biota of the world, and also to ensure that scientists mean the same thing when using a species name. Taxonomic names are also needed to link species to data, produced in different studies, so that they can be related in various analyses. If data (*e.g.* ecological, morphological, and molecular) cannot be linked to formal species

urn: lsid:zoobank.org:pub:31CB3EFA-C13B-443D-9902-ED3FA8D9C9EE *published: 02, November 2017* HU ISSN 2063-1588 (online), HU ISSN 0237-5419 (print) *http://dx.doi.org/10.18348/opzool.2017.S2.45*

and well-referenced names, these data will lose much of their value. The proper naming and description of species is therefore essential.

Enchytraeids have traditionally been studied alive using light microscopy, and the morphological investigation of whole worms, either alive or fixed, is still the basis for the identification of specimens and descriptions of new species. However, with the introduction of widely accessible molecular methods, notably the sequencing of DNA 'barcode' fragments and refined analytical tools, a new standard set of data has become available to recognize and to delimit species. DNA sequences often confirmed the distinctions drawn between morphologically defined species (Klinth et al. 2017, De Wit & Erséus 2010), but in some cases they showed that species described on the basis of morphological differences are in fact synonyms (Dózsa-Farkas et al. 2012). More importantly, they also revealed the existence of cryptic species, *i.e.* species that, so far, cannot be differentiated with morphology-based methods (Martinsson & Erséus 2014, Matamoros et al. 2012).

It is important to note that cryptic species have been known in enchytraeids for more than half a century, based on karyology (Christensen 1961), protein patterns (Brockmeyer 1991, Christensen et al. 1992, Schmelz 2003, Westheide & Graefe 1992) or other techniques, but they were never formally recognized and described, with the notable exception of Enchytraeus crypticus Westheide & Graefe, 1992. A list in Collado et al. (2012) contains 40 enchytraeid species as candidates for species complexes; they include almost all commonly cited species. Formal recognition of cryptic species has increased with the establishment of DNA sequencing as standard taxonomic method. There are currently four described truly cryptic species-pairs in Enchytraeidae (Table 1) and we expect many more to come. Cryptic species cannot be distinguished using the traditional and widely-used method of studying the morphology using light microscopy. Therefore, a discussion on how cryptic species should be treated was held during the symposium.

During the discussion, the participants agreed that cryptic species are distinct evolutionary lineages, which deserve recognition in a classificatory system. There is a growing body of evidence that cryptic species may differ in ecological and physiological properties, and therefore the separation of cryptic lineages within morphospecies can be important when such species are used as models in ecology, ecotoxicology and physiology (see Feckler et al. 2013, Römbke et al. 2016). ('Morphospecies' is used here to denote mainly named species, described and identified in the traditional way, using morphological characters. Our use differs from the one in ecology, where 'morphospecies' often means morphologically distinguishable but unnamed species of unknown identity.) It was also agreed that a morphospecies that comprises an assemblage of cryptic species still deserves recognition even though it cannot be considered, due to reproductive barriers within, as one biological species any more. The reasons are not only practical but also biological: The assemblage of cryptic species (i.e. the morphospecies) may form a monophyletic group and may have common ecological properties that are different from the rest of the species in the genus. Morphospecies that turn out to be polyphyletic assemblages, however, should be abandoned. It should be noted that this consensual opinion differs from previous practice, where either the cryptic species or the morphospecies was discarded (Christensen 1961, Sturmbauer et al. 1999, Gustafsson et al. 2009, James et al. 2010).

However, opinions differed as to how cryptic lineages should be recognised. Two options included the use of informal categories:

 Maintain the species name of the morphospecies and denote the cryptic species appending a series of alphanumerical codes to the name of the morphospecies;

- Give full species rank to the cryptic species and denote the morphospecies with the old name plus an epitheton like "*sensu lato*", or "species group" or "species complex".

	Habitat	Type of difference	Morphological differences
Enchytraeus variatus Bouguenec & Giani, 1987 Enchytraeus crypticus Westheide & Graefe, 1992	compost, soil	Isozyme patterns, total protein patterns (Brockmeyer 1991) DNA-RFLPs (Schlegel et al. 2009) CIE, crossed immuno- electrophoresis (Gabrich et al. 1991) RAPD-PCR (Schirmacher et al. 1998)	Ultrastructure of spermatozoa (Westheide et al. 1991)
<i>Grania bekkouchei</i> Prantoni, De Wit & Erséus, 2016 <i>Grania cryptica</i> Prantoni, De Wit & Erséus, 2016	marine sediment	DNA sequences (Prantoni et al. 2016)	none
Chamaedrilus/Cognettia* sphagnetorum (Vejdovský, 1878) Chamaedrilus pseudosphagnetorum Martinsson, Rota & Erséus, 2015a	soil	DNA sequences (Martinsson and Erséus 2014, Martinsson et al. 2015b)	none
<i>Grania ovitheca</i> Erséus, 1977 <i>Grania occulta</i> De Wit & Erséus, 2010	marine sediment	DNA sequences (De Wit and Erséus 2010)	none
Enchytraeus bigeminus Nielsen & Christensen, 1963 Enchytraeus japonensis Nakamura, 1993	compost, soil	Isozyme patterns, Total protein patterns (Schmelz et al. 2000)	Male sexual glands (species with predominantly asexual repoduction) (Schmelz et al. 2000)
Chamaedrilus/Cognettia glandulosus (Michaelsen, 1888) Chamaedrilus varisetosus Martinsson & Erséus, 2015b	soil, aquatic sediments	DNA sequences (Martinsson and Erséus 2014, Martinsson et al. 2015a)	Body size, chaetal numbers (Martinsson et al. 2015a)

 Table 1. Cryptic species pairs in Enchytraeidae. Included are also species pairs with morphological differences inconclusive or difficult to access.

* Priority of *Cognettia* or *Chamaedrilus* awaits ruling by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature, see http://www.iczn.org, Case 3689.

Two further options excluded informal categories and promoted the integration of the taxic diversity into the Linnaean system:

- Maintain the species rank for the morphospecies and use the subspecies rank for the cryptic species.

- Give full species rank for the cryptic species and a supraspecific rank (*e.g.*, subgenus), for the morphospecies.

All of these options have their pros and cons. Using the morphospecies with an alphanumerical code to represent the different cryptic lineages will let us continue using the morphospecies as taxonomical units in inventories, species lists etc., but there is the risk that the knowledge about the cryptic lineages is ignored, as they are not formally recognised and described taxa. Whereas if cryptic lineages were formally described as species, identification of specimens to species based on morphology would become impossible, and specimens could only be identified to species groups or species "*sensu lato*". However, the cryptic lineages would at least be recognised as species, and could thereby be included in counts of biodiversity and be seen as different units. A drawback of this option is the possible confusion caused by the same name used with two different meanings, either *sensu lato* (morphospecies) and *sensu stricto* (cryptic species).

Informal ranks and categories have the advantage of being flexible but the disadvantage of not being regulated, which may promote confusion in the meaning of names; they should therefore be considered only as an interim solution. The preferable full integration of the diversity into the Linnaean system of names, however, faces other problems: The use of the subspecies category for cryptic species would contradict the traditional concept of subspecies as morphologically distinguishable populations of a species that replace each other geographically (Mallet 2007). In fact, cryptic species fulfil all criteria of being 'species', regardless which species concept is applied here (Bickford et al. 2007). On the other hand, a rank elevation of morphospecies to subgenus level would create considerable classificatory and nomenclatural instability: First, it would necessitate a complete reorganization of the classificatory architecture of a genus, because the subgeneric category cannot be applied selectively and hence affects all species of a genus. Second, each morphospecies elevated to subgeneric rank (the type species of the genus excepted) would need a new subgeneric name. The same problems would arise, mutatis mutandis, with the elevation of morphospecies to genus rank. To conclude, solutions to this classificatory problem are not straightforward and may differ from case to case.

Another important question is what evidence at the level of genetic markers is necessary to decide whether specimens belong to the same species or to different species, and whether a species is undescribed or not. Traditionally the characters used are morphological, both external and internal, but molecular data are becoming more and more common as the base for taxonomical decisions. Also ecological and physiological data, if available, can aid in the species delimitation process. The most commonly available genetic marker is the mitochondrial gene cytochrome C subunit I (COI) that is used as the barcode for animals (Hebert et al. 2003). However, if used alone, COI will often overestimate the number of species, and it should be used with caution and in combination with other data (Dasmahapatra et al. 2010). As a broad rule of thumb, in clitellates, if two cluster differ with more than 10% uncorrected genetic distance, *i.e.*, if more than 10% of the nucleotides differ between the two lineages, they are likely to belong to different species, and if they differ with less than 5% they are likely to belong to one species (Rougerie et al. 2009, Römbke et al. 2016, but see Giska et al. 2015,

Martinsson & Erséus 2017 for exceptions). More support is, however, needed in order to make a robust delimitation. Other commonly used markers are the nuclear Histone H3 (H3) and the ribosomal internally transcribed spacer region (ITS) consisting of ITS1, 5.8S and ITS2. H3 has been recommended as a secondary barcode for Enchytraeidae (Schmelz *et al.* 2014), and both H3 and ITS have good discriminatory power and will in most cases separate closely related species.

A third problem with cryptic species was discussed at the symposium: When cryptic species are detected within a morphospecies and are described formally according to nomenclatural rules (ICZN 1999), one lineage should bear the name of the morphospecies, notably the one to which the name-bearing type of the morphospecies belongs. However, finding the correct lineage may be difficult because type material is lost or in a state of preservation that does not allow extraction of DNA; both cases are very common in enchytraeids. A possible solution is to get fresh material from the type locality for sequencing, and in that way tie the name to a genetic lineage. However, in many cases the type localities are vague or missing; in these cases it should be sufficient to use material from the wider area where it can be supposed that the original material was collected. As a further complication, however, more than one cryptic lineage may be present at the type locality or in the wider area. To conclude, the choice of the name-bearing lineage is often a decision based on probabilities, and the task is to raise the probability-level as much as possible. For example, in case that small morphological differences exist between the candidate lineages, the one that fits the original description best should be chosen to bear the name of the old morphospecies. If uncertainty is too high, there remains the radical solution of dismissing the old name as "nomen dubium".

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the consensus that both morphological characters and molecular markers are important for species-level taxonomy in enchytraeids, we recommend: 1. The erection of new species should include a good morphological description with illustrations of the important taxonomic characters and also a reference to molecular markers that are informative at the species level: at least one, but preferably two markers, one being mitochondrial (*e.g.*, COI), one nuclear (*e.g.*, ITS, H3).

2. DNA sequences should be generated from at least one of the type specimens, preferably the holotype or a syntype specimen, to link the sequence permanently with the name. However, some of the paratypes and other reference specimens should also be sequenced to avoid errors and to allow estimates of variability.

3. When species are erected based on only one set of data (*i.e.*, either morphological characters alone or DNA sequences alone), the retrieval of missing or additional data should be made possible by appropriate fixation and preservation of at least some specimens of the type series. This means, for DNA, at the present state of knowledge, the use of ethanol as preservation liquid at concentrations higher than 70%.

4. Sequencing is also recommended in specimens that form the basis of redescriptions and in those that are elected as neotypes in taxonomic revisions.

5. Of each sequenced specimen, the anterior part of the animal should be retained as a voucher and deposited in a public collection. (In enchytraeids, most of the taxonomically informative structures are located in the anterior body part.)

We understand that it will not always be possible to extract and sequence DNA from the specimens used as the basis for a new species description, *e.g.*, due to fixation methods, old age and bad storage or due to other factors, but whenever possible we recommend that DNA-sequence-data should be included in future descriptions of enchytraeids.

Acknowledgements –We thank the participants of the 12^{th} International Symposium on Enchytraeidae (Tihany,

Hungary (27–29 June 2016)) for their contributions when discussing this issue.

REFERENCES

- BICKFORD, D., LOHMAN, D.J., SODHI, N.S., NG, P.K., MEIER, R., WINKER, K., INGRAM, K.K. & DAS, I. (2007): Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 22: 148–155. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2006.11.004
- BROCKMEYER, V. (1991): Isozymes and general protein patterns for use in discrimination and identification of *Enchytraeus* species (Annelida, Oligochaeta). *Zeitschrift für zoologische Systematik* und Evolutionsforschung, 29: 343–361. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0469.1991.tb00457.x
- CHRISTENSEN, B. (1961): Studies on Cyto-Taxonomy and Reproduction in the Enchytraeidae. *Hereditas*, 47: 387–450. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-5223.1961.tb01782.x
- CHRISTENSEN, B., HVILSOM, M. & PEDERSEN, B.V. (1992): Genetic variation in coexisting sexual diploid and parthenogenetic triploid forms of *Fridericia galba* (Enchytraeidae, Oligochaeta) in a heterogeneous environment. *Hereditas*, 117: 153–162. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-5223.1992.tb00169.x
- COLLADO, R., HASS-CORDES, E. & SCHMELZ, R.M. (2012): Microtaxonomy of fragmenting *Enchytrae-us* species using molecular markers, with a comment on species complexes in enchytraeids. *Turkish Journal of Zoology*, 36: 85–94. doi: 10.3906/zoo-1002-70
- DASMAHAPATRA, K.K., ELIAS, M., HILL, R.I., HOFF-MAN, J.I. & MALLET, J. (2010): Mitochondrial DNA barcoding detects some species that are real, and some that are not. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 10: 264–273. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02763.x
- DE WIT, P. & ERSÉUS, C. (2010): Genetic variation and phylogeny of Scandinavian species of *Grania* (Annelida: Clitellata: Enchytraeidae), with the discovery of a cryptic species. *Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research*, 48: 285– 293. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0469.2010.00571.x
- DÓZSA-FARKAS, K., PORCO, D. & BOROS, G. (2012): Are *Bryodrilus parvus* Nurminen, 1970 and *Bryodrilus librus* (Nielsen and Christensen, 1959) (Annelida: Enchytraeidae) really different species? A revision based on DNA barcodes and morphological data. *Zootaxa*, 3276: 38–50.

- FECKLER, A., SCHULZ, R. & BUNDSCHUH, M. (2013): Cryptic lineages-same but different? *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*, 9: 172–173. doi: 10.1002/ieam.1370
- GABRICH, A., JAROS, P.P. & BROCKMAYER, V. (1991): Application of immunological methods for the taxonomic study of two selected animal taxa: *Tisbe* (Crustacea, Copepoda) and *Enchytraeus* (Annelida, Oligochaeta). *Zeitschrift für zoologische Systematik* und Evolutionsforschung, 29: 381–392.
- GISKA, I., SECHI, P. & BABIK, W. (2015): Deeply divergent sympatric mitochondrial lineages of the earthworm *Lumbricus rubellus* are not reproductively isolated. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 15: 217. doi: 10.1186/s12862-015-0488-9
- GUSTAFSSON, D.R., PRICE, D. A. & ERSÉUS, C. (2009): Genetic variation in the popular lab worm *Lumbriculus variegatus* (Annelida: Clitellata: Lumbriculidae) reveals cryptic speciation. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 51: 182–189. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2008.12.016
- HEBERT, P.D., CYWINSKA, A., BALL, S.L. & DEWAARD, J.R. (2003): Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society Biological Sciences Series B*, 270: 313–321. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2002.2218
- ICZN (1999): International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, London, The International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature.
- JaMES, S.W., PORCO, D., DECAENS, T., RICHARD, B., ROUGERIE, R. & ERSÉUS, C. (2010): DNA barcoding reveals cryptic diversity in *Lumbricus terrestris* L., 1758 (Clitellata): resurrection of *L. herculeus* (Savigny, 1826). *PLoS ONE*, 5: e15629. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0015629
- KLINTH, M. J., MARTINSSON, S. & ERSÉUS, C. (2017): Phylogeny and species delimitation of North European *Lumbricillus* (Clitellata, Enchytraeidae). *Zoologica Scripta*, 46: 96–110. doi: 10.1111/zsc.12187
- MALLET, J. (2007): Subspecies, semispecies, superspecies. In. LEVIN, D.A. (Ed.) *Encyclopedia of Biodiversity*. vol 5, Online update 1,. Oxford, Elsevier.
- MARTINSSON, S. & ERSÉUS, C. (2014): Cryptic diversity in the well-studied terrestrial worm *Cognettia sphagnetorum* (Clitellata: Enchytraeidae). *Pedobiologia*, 57: 27–35. doi: 10.1016/j.pedobi.2013.09.006

- MARTINSSON, S. & ERSÉUS, C. (2017): Cryptic speciation and limited hybridization within *Lumbricus* earthworms (Clitellata: Lumbricidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 106: 18–27. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2016.09.011
- MARTINSSON, S., ROTA, E. & ERSÉUS, C. (2015a): On the identity of *Chamaedrilus glandulosus* (Michaelsen, 1888) (Clitellata, Enchytraeidae), with the description of a new species. *Zookeys*, 501: 1–14. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.501.9279
- MARTINSSON, S., ROTA, E. & ERSÉUS, C. (2015b): Revision of *Cognettia* (Clitellata, Enchytraeidae): reestablishment of *Chamaedrilus* and description of cryptic species in the *sphagnetorum* complex. *Systematics and Biodiversity*, 13: 257–277. doi: 10.1080/14772000.2014.986555
- MATAMOROS, L., ROTA, E. & ERSÉUS, C. (2012): Cryptic diversity among the achaetous *Marionina* (Annelida, Clitellata, Enchytraeidae). *Systematics* and Biodiversity, 10: 509–525. doi: 10.1080/14772000.2012.723640
- PRANTONI, A.L., DE WIT, P. & ERSÉUS, C. (2016): First reports of *Grania* (Clitellata: Enchytraeidae) from Africa and South America: molecular phylogeny and descriptions of nine new species. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 176: 485–510. doi: 10.1111/zoj.12333
- ROUGERIE, R., DECAËNS, T., DEHARVENG, L., PORCO, D., JAMES, S.W., CHANG, C.-H., RICHARD, B., PO-TAPOV, M., SUHARDJONO, Y. & HEBERT, P.D.N. (2009): DNA barcodes for soil animal taxonomy. *Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira*, 44: 789–802. doi: 10.1590/S0100-204X2009000800002
- RÖMBKE, J., AIRA, M., BACKELJAU, T., BREUGELMANS, K., DOMÍNGUEZ, J., FUNKE, E., GRAF, N., HAJI-BABAEI, M., PÉREZ-LOSADA, M., PORTO, P.G., SCHMELZ, R.M., VIERNA, J., VIZCAÍNO, A. & PFEN-NINGER, M. (2016): DNA barcoding of earthworms (*Eisenia fetida/andrei* complex) from 28 ecotoxicological test laboratories. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 104: 3–11. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2015.02.010
- SCHIRMACHER, A., SCHMIDT, H. & WESTHEIDE, W. (1998): RAPD-PCR investigations on sibling species of terrestrial *enchytraeus* (Annelida: Oligochaeta). *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology*, 26: 35–44.
- SCHLEGEL, M., STEINBRÜCK, G., KRAMER, M. & BROCKMEYER, V. (2009): Restriction fragment

patterns as molecular markers for species identification and phylogenetic analysis in the genus *Enchytraeus* (Oligochaeta)1. *Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research*, 29: 362– 372. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0469.1991.tb00458.x

- SCHMELZ, R.M. (2003): Taxonomy of Fridericia (Oligochaeta, Enchytraeidae). Revision of species with morphological and biochemical methods. Abhandlungen des Naturwissenschaftlichen Vereins in Hamburg (Neue Folge), 38: 1–415 + 37 Figs.
- SCHMELZ, R. M., COLLADO, R. & MYOHARA, M. (2000): A Taxonomic Study of *Enchytraeus japonensis* (Enchytraeidae, Oligochaeta): Morphological and Biochemical Comparisons with *E. bigeminus*. *Zoological Science*, 17: 505–516. doi: 10.2108/0289-0003(2000)17[505:atsoej]2.0.co;2
- SCHMELZ, R. M., VIERNA, J., VIZCAINO, A., PERINA, A., COLLADO, R. & RÖMBKE, J. (2014): Two are better than one: COI and H3 as DNA barcodes for

enchytraeids. Poster presented at: 10th International Symposium on Earthworm Ecology, June 22–27, 2014, Athens, Georgia, USA

- STURMBAUER, C., OPADIYA, G.B., NIEDERSTÄTTER, H., RIEDMANN, A. & DALLINGER, R. (1999): Mitochondrial DNA reveals cryptic oligochaete species differing in cadmium resistance. *Molecular Biology* and Evolution, 16: 967–974.
- WESTHEIDE, W. & GRAEFE, U. (1992): Two new terrestrial *Enchytraeus* species (Oligochaeta, Annelida). *Journal of Natural History*, 26: 479–488. doi: 10.1080/00222939200770311
- WESTHEIDE, W., PURSCHKE, G. & MIDDENDORF, K. (1991): Spermatozoal ultrastructure of the taxon *Enchytraeus* (Annelida, Oligochaeta) and its significance for species discrimination and identification. *Zeitschrift für zoologische Systematik und Evolutionsforschung*, 29: 323–342. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0469.1991.tb00456.x

First record of terrestrial Enchytraeidae (Annelida: Clitellata) in Versailles palace's park, France

J. AMOSSÉ¹, G. BOROS^{2,3}, S. BART¹, A.R.R. PÉRY¹ & C. PELOSI^{1*}

¹Joël Amossé, Sylvain Bart, Alexandre R.R. Péry, Céline Pelosi, UMR ECOSYS, INRA, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, 78026, Versailles, France

²Gergely Boros, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, Institute of Ecology and Botany, 2163Vácrátót, Hungary

³Szent István University, Department of Zoology and Animal Ecology, 2100 Gödöllő, Hungary

*Corresponding author: UMR1402 INRA AgroParisTech ECOSYS, Bâtiment 6, RD 10, 78026

Versailles cedex, France. Tel: (+33)1.30.83.36.07; Fax: (+33)1.30.83.32.59.

E-mail address: celine.pelosi@versailles.inra.fr

Abstract. France can be qualified as *terra incognita* regarding terrestrial enchytraeids because very little data has been recorded so far in this country. In spring and autumn 2016, enchytraeid communities were investigated in a loamy soil in a meadow located in the park of Versailles palace, France. In total, twenty four enchytraeid species were identified, belonging to six different genera i.e. eleven *Fridericia* species, four *Enchytraeus* species, four *Achaeta* species, two *Buchholzia* species, two *Marionina* species and one *Enchytronia* species. According to the published data, this was one of the highest diversity found in a meadow in Europe.

Keywords. Enchytraeids, Potworms, Soil fauna, Annelids, Oligochaeta, Meadow.

INTRODUCTION

Despite their key role in soils (Didden 1993), enchytraeids (Annelida: Clitellata) are so far poorly studied in many countries worldwide. To our knowledge, and except a few species recorded in Schmelz & Collado (2010), no data have been published on enchytraeid communities in France, *i.e.*, based on a literature search in the ISI Web of Knowledge, using the "All Databases" option, with the formula: '(enchytr* or potworm*) and (France or French) in Topics'.

Although some studies assessed enchytraeid community structures in grasslands, meadows and pastures, studying the influence of grazing (Schlaghamerský *et al.* 2007), liming (Davidson *et al.* 2004), fertilization (Sokołowska & Seniczak 2005) or pesticides (Clements *et al.* 1987, Forsters *et al.* 1992, Martin 1975), most of them only reported a total abundance of enchytraeids (*e.g.* Clements *et al.* 1987, Francini *et al.* 2014, Van Vliet *et al.* 2006), without any details on species

diversity. Yet, several authors recommended identifying the individuals at least at the genus level and if possible at the species level (Pelosi & Römbke 2016). Indeed, indicators at the genus or species level appeared to be more sensitive to land use than total abundance. Moreover, taxonomic diversity provides key information on the requirements and sensitivity of the different species to environmental conditions, land use and management.

The objective of this study was to record the diversity of enchytraeids in a meadow in France and to compare it with other data in Europe.

MATERIAL AND METHODS Study site

Samples were collected in a meadow (about 1 ha, Figure 1.) located in the park of Versailles palace, France (48°48'31''N, 2°05'26''E), which has not been cultivated since 1994. Before that time, it was conventionally cultivated with *e.g.*

urn: lsid:zoobank.org:pub:1A649E5D-BC69-4DAE-83C9-77AD068943E9 *published: 24 January 2018* HU ISSN 2063-1588 (online), HU ISSN 0237-5419 (print) *http://dx.doi.org/10.18348/opzool.2017.S2.53*



Figure 1. Meadow located in the park of Versailles palace.

barley, oats, beans, corn and wheat. The climate is oceanic temperate, with a mean annual temperature of 11 °C and a mean annual rainfall of 660 mm. The soil is a deep Luvisol (FAO soil classification) developed on loess. The homogeneity of the physicochemical characteristics in the experimental site was verified by random sampling a soil core (0–20 cm depth) at seven different locations in the site (Table 1.).

Table 1. Physicochemical characteristics of the soil at the experimental site ($n = 7, \pm$ Standard Deviation)

Parameters	Meadow soil
Texture (USDA, 1975)	Loamy soil
Clay (%)	22.9 ± 0.7
Silt (%)	48.0 ± 1.3
Sand (%)	29.1 ± 1.2
pH _{H2O}	7.5 ± 0.2
$C_{org} (g kg^{-1})$	18.9 ± 1.0
$N_{tot} (g kg^{-1})$	1.5 ± 0.1
$C_{\text{org}}/N_{\text{tot}}$	12.7 ± 0.3
$P_2O_5 (g kg^{-1})$	0.08 ± 0.01
CaCO ₃ (g kg ⁻¹)	23.3 ± 8.1
Cu _{tot} (mg.kg ⁻¹)	25.2 ± 1.6

Sampling and identification

Ninety-six soil cores were sampled both in April and November 2016, following a regular grid of 60×40 m and using a split soil corer (diameter of 5 cm) at 0-5 cm and 5-10 cm depths. Each sample was transferred separately into a plastic bag and stored at 4°C. Enchytraeids were extracted using wet funnel extractors under a light from incandescent light bulbs. Soil samples were heated up for 3 hours (O'Connor 1959, Kobetičová & Schlaghamerský 2003). All the individuals were kept in Petri dishes in tap water and counted. Adult and sub-adult individuals were identified at the species level under a light microscope (Olympus BX53) up to 400× magnification according to the key of Schmelz and Collado (2010).

RESULTS

In total, twenty four species of enchytraeids were identified, belonging to 6 genera. Species are listed below, with available information on their presence in Europe, habitat and life strategy (based on Graefe & Schmelz 1999 and Schmelz & Collado 2010):

Achaeta bohemica (Vejdovský, 1879): widespread, fresh soils, absent in wet habitats, slightly acid to slightly alkaline conditions, never in strongly acid soils, *K*-strategist, soil dweller.

Achaeta iberica Graefe, 1989: found in Spain, Italy (Schmelz and Collado 2000), and Switzerland (Amossé *et al.* 2016), only known from the original description.

Achaeta pannonica Graefe, 1989: widespread, fresh soils, absent in wet habitats, slightly acid to slightly alkaline conditions, never in strongly acid soils, *K*-strategist, soil dweller.

Achaeta unibulba Graefe, Christensen & Dózsa-Farkas, 2005: widespread.

Buchholzia appendiculata (Buchholz, 1862): common and widespread in neutral to slightly acidic soils, r/F (fragmenting)-strategist, litter dweller.

Buchholzia fallax Michaelsen, 1887: wide-spread.

Enchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovský, 1879: widespread, very common, species complex, not in strongly acidic soils, *r*-strategist, OF (F-horizon dweller) and soil dweller.

Enchytraeus bulbosus Nielsen & Christensen, 1963: widespread, not in strongly acidic soils, *r*-strategist, OF and soil dweller.

Enchytraeus christenseni Dózsa-Farkas, 1992: widespread but not common, wet habitats, not in strongly acidic soils, *r*-strategist, OF and soil dweller.

Enchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 1961: widespread, not in strongly acidic soils, *r*-strategist, OF and soil dweller.

Enchytronia parva Nielsen & Christensen, 1959: common and widespread in neutral to moderately acidic soils, probably a species complex, soil dweller, stress tolerant species adapted to acidity stress.

Fridericia bulboides Nielsen & Christensen, 1959: widespread and common, slightly acid to slightly alkaline conditions, never in strongly acid soils, *K*-strategist, soil dweller

Fridericia christeri Rota & Healy, 1999: Northern half of Europe, very common in Germany.

Fridericia galba (Hoffmeister, 1843): widespread and very common in Central Europe, slightly acid to slightly alkaline conditions, never in strongly acid soils, *K*-strategist, soil dweller.

Fridericia isseli Rota, 1994: widespread and common.

Fridericia maculata Issel, 1905: widespread, probably a species complex, slightly acid to slightly alkaline conditions, never in strongly acid soils, *K*-strategist, soil dweller.

Fridericia nix Rota, 1995: Italy, Germany.

Fridericia paroniana Issel, 1904: widespread and common, slightly acid to slightly alkaline conditions, never in strongly acid soils, *K*-strategist, soil dweller. Fridericia tuberosa Rota, 1995: moist soil, widespread.

Fridericia ulrikae Rota & Healy, 1999: Northern and Central Europe.

Fridericia viridula Issel, 1904: found in Ireland, The Netherlands, and Italy.

Fridericia glandifera Friend, 1911: few records in England, Denmark, Germany and France.

Marionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1889): very common and widespread, wet sites also aquatic, slightly salt-tolerant, slightly acid to slightly alkaline conditions, never in strongly acid soils, *K*-strategist, soil dweller.

Marionina communis Nielsen & Christensen, 1959: widespread, fresh soils but not wet, slightly acid to slightly alkaline conditions, never in strongly acid soils, *K*-strategist, OF dweller.

A total of 5 633 and 3 390 individuals were identified in Spring 2016 and in Autumn 2016, respectively. The number of individuals and the percentage of enchytraeid species are presented in Table 2. In Spring, the three most abundant species were *E. buchholzi* (23,6 %), *F. galba* (14,4 %), and *F. isseli* (13,2 %). In autumn, the three most abundant species were *En. parva* (12,7%), *F. christeri* (12,2 %), and *E. buchholzi* (11,8 %).

DISCUSSION

This study, in which twenty four species were found, represents the first record of enchytraeid's community in France. Schmelz & Collado (2010) reported only four to five species identified in France: *Guaranidrilus europaeus* Healy, 1979; *Enchytraeus varithecatus* Bouguenec & Giani, 1987; *Enchytraeus doerjesi* Westheide & Graefe, 1992; *Fridericia glandifera* Friend, 1911 and *Fridericia stephensoni* Moszyński, 1933 (need to be confirmed). A bit more than 200 species are listed in the key for terrestrial enchytraeids of Europe (Schmelz & Collado 2010). Among them, 126 have been sampled in Germany (Römbke *et al.* 2013), and 50 of them can be classified as common for Central Europe (Didden *et al.* 1997).

		Spring 2016		Autumn 2016			
Genus	Species	No. of individuals	% abundance	No. of individuals	% abundance		
Achaeta	bohemica	0	0.00	20	0.59		
Achaeta	iberica	311	5.52	186	5.49		
Achaeta	pannonica	12	0.21	34	1.00		
Achaeta	unibulba	13	0.23	28	0.83		
Buchholzia	appendiculata	238	4.23	120	3.54		
Buchholzia	fallax	27	0.48	9	0.27		
Enchytraeus	buchholzi	1330	23.61	399	11.77		
Enchytraeus	bulbosus	97	1.72	29	0.86		
Enchytraeus	christenseni	32	0.57	0	0.00		
Enchytraeus	lacteus	2	0.04	0	0.00		
Enchytronia	parva	441	7.83	432	12.74		
Fridericia	bulboides	0	0.00	31	0.91		
Fridericia	christeri	426	7.56	412	12.15		
Fridericia	galba	813	14.43	397	11.71		
Fridericia	glandifera	0	0.00	2	0.06		
Fridericia	isseli	745	13.23	386	11.39		
Fridericia	maculata	10	0.18	55	1.62		
Fridericia	nix	17	0.30	30	0.88		
Fridericia	paroniana	319	5.66	320	9.44		
Fridericia	tuberosa	254	4.51	270	7.96		
Fridericia	ulrikae	152	2.70	102	3.01		
Fridericia	viridula	62	1.1	23	0.68		
Marionina	argentea	244	4.33	37	1.09		
Marionina	communis	3	0.05	4	0.12		
	NI	85	1.51	64	1.89		
	Total	5633	100 %	3390	100 %		

Table 2. Enchytraeid density (ind.m⁻²) per species and per season (Spring and Autumn 2016).Nomenclature follows Schmelz & Collado (2010).

When looking at the published literature on enchytraeid communities in grasslands, meadows and pastures, only forty publications could be found. Among them, some were performed under tropical climates, in Brazil (*e.g.* Schmelz *et al.* 2008). Schlaghamerský & Kobetičová (2005) studied Enchytraeidae, Tubificidae, Aeolosomatidae in a field experiment in the Czech Republic during meadow restoration on arable land and in a well-preserved meadow nearby. They found 22 species of Enchytraeidae in the meadow and considered it as a relatively high diversity. The same authors also studied two sites in the White Carpathians (Czechia) at four dates during one year (Schlaghamerský & Kobetičová 2006). Each sites consisted of one meadow and one cattle pasture. They found respectively 16 and 17 enchytraeid species in the two meadows. The high percentages of *Fridericia* spp. in all plots were considered to correspond with their rather low soil acidity. In the present study, despite the neutral pH, we also found many *Fridericia* individuals and eleven out of twenty four species belonged to this genus. To our knowledge, the highest diversity reported in a meadow was 23 species (Schlaghamerský *et al.* 2007) in White Carpathians (Czechia), with twelve *Fridericia* species. The diversity found in our study was close to these results and thirteen species were in common. Some species such as *F. galba, E. buchholzi* or *M. argentea* appeared to be relatively well represented in the different meadows of the published studies. Contrarily, some others, such as *F. christeri* are more rarely found.

Regarding enchytraeid species distribution in European countries and soil characteristics (pH, soil water and Corg contents), the species found in our study are in accordance with the observations of Schmelz & Collado (2010) and Graefe & Schmelz (1999). We found horizontal heterogeneity in the meadow concerning the occurrence of the different species of enchytraeids. This was probably due to the variations in soil properties in the plot (e.g. soil moisture, temperature, vegetation). For example, numerous M. argentea were found in few soil cores and absent in others. This could be mainly explained by wetter soil conditions in one part of the plot. By contrast, species such as F. galba, F. isseli, F. christeri, E. buchholzi or En. parva were observed in most of soil samples. Few species were mainly found in the soil layer at 5-10 cm depth such as Achaeta spp. or F. nix. Those observations confirm Graefe & Schmelz study (1999) as they indicated that A. bohemica and A. pannonica are known to be soil dwellers. However, no information was found about F. nix habitat requirements.

We found a total of five *r*-strategists (*Enchytraeus spp.* and *B. appendiculata*) including one fragmenting species (*B. appendiculata*) among the sampled species. We also observed numerous specimens of *Buchholzia sp.* with two chaetae, which is unusual except for very young specimens just hatched from cocoons and not "born" by fragmentation (Schmelz pers. observ.). DNA test will confirm if a new species of *Buchholzia* has been found.

Acknowledgements – This study was funded by ANSES (French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety), which provided a post-doctoral grant to Joël Amossé. We greatly thank Franck Brulle (ANSES), Arnaud Conrad (ANSES), Jean-Pierre Pétraud and Jodie Thénard (INRA) for their useful advices on the experimental design. We also thank the staff of the INRA UMR ECOSYS of Versailles who took part in the soil core sampling and extraction for enchytraeid community, soil temperature and soil moisture measurements (F. Poiroux, V. Etiévant, J.-P. Pétraud, G. Delarue, C. Laurent and A. Vincent). Research and travel grant for Gergely Boros was financed by Campus France (Balaton project).

REFERENCES

- AMOSSÉ, J., DÓZSA-FARKAS, K., BOROS, G., ROCHAT, G., SANDOZ, G., FOURNIER, B., MITCHELL, E.A.D. & LE BAYON, R.C. (2016): Patterns of earthworm, enchytraeid, and nematode diversity and community structure in urban soils of different ages. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 73: 46–58. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2016.01.004
- CLEMENTS, R.O., BENTLEY, B.R. & NUTTALL, R.M. (1987): The invertebrate population and response to pesticide treatment of two permanent and two temporary pastures. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 111(2): 399–407. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7348.1987.tb01467.x
- DAVIDSON, D.A., BRUNEAU, P.M.C., GRIEVE, I.C. & WILSON, C.A. (2004): Micromorphological assessment of the effect of liming on faunal excrement in an upland grassland soil. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 26(3): 169–177. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2004.01.006
- DIDDEN, W.A.M. (1993): Ecology of terrestrial Enchytraeidae. *Pedobiologia*, 37: 2–29.
- DIDDEN, W.A.M., FRUEND, H.L. & GRAEFE, U. (1997): *Enchytraeids*. In. BENCKISER, G. (Ed.) Fauna in soil ecosystems. Recycling processes, nutrient fluxes and agricultural production. Dekker, M., Inc. New York, p. 135–172.
- FORSTER, B., RÖMBKE, J. & KNACKER, T. (1992): Comparison of the effects of pesticides on soil microflora and mesofauna in two different ecosystems. In. ANDERSON, J.P.E., ARNOLD, D.J., LEWIS, F. & TORSTENSSON, L. (Eds.) Proceedings of the international symposium on environmental aspects of pesticide microbiology. Sigtuna, Sweden, p. 234–239.
- FRANCINI, G., LIIRI, M., MANNISTO, M., STARK, S., KYTOVIITA, M.M. (2014): Response to reindeer grazing removal depends on soil characteristics in low Arctic meadows. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 76: 14– 25. doi: <u>10.1016/j.apsoil.2013.12.003</u>

- GRAEFE, U. & SCHMELZ, R.M. (1999): Indicator values, strategy types and life forms of terrestrial Enchytraeidae and other microannelids. In. SCHMELZ, R.M. & SÜHLO, K. (Eds.) Newsletter on Enchytraeidae 6, Prodeecings of the 3th International Symposium on Enchytraeidae. Universitätsverlag Rasch, Osnabrück, p. 59–67.
- KOBETICOVA, K. & SCHLAGHAMERSKÝ, J. (2003): On the efficiency of three schemes of enchytraeid wet funnel extraction. In. DIDDEN, W A. M. & VAN VLIET, P. (Eds.) Newsletter on Enchytraeidae 8. Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Enchytraeidae, Wageningen University, Wageningen, p. 25–31.
- MARTIN, N.A. (1975): Effect of four insecticides on the pasture ecosystem: IV. Enchytraeidae and Diptera larvae heat-extracted in water-filled funnels. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research*, 18: 313–315. doi: 10.1080/00288233.1975.10423650
- O'CONNOR, F.B. (1959): Extraction of enchytraeid worms from a coniferous forest soil. *Nature*, 175: 815–816. doi: 10.1038/175815b0
- PELOSI, C. & RÖMBKE, J. (2016) Are Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta, Annelida) good indicators of agricultural management practices? *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 100: 255–263. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.06.030
- RÖMBKE, J. JÄNSCH, S., HÖFER, H., HORAK, F., ROB-NICKOLL, M., RUSSELL, D., BURKHARDT, U. & TOSCHKI, A. (2013): State of knowledge of enchytraeid communities in German soils as a basis for biological soil quality assessment. *Soil Organisms*, 85: 123–146.
- SCHLAGHAMERSKÝ, J. & KOBETIČOVÁ, K. (2005): A small annelid community (Enchytraeidae, Tubificidae, Aeolosomatidae) during meadow restoration

on arable land and in a nearby well-preserved meadow. *Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of Sciences, Biology, Ecology*, 54(4): 323–330.

- SCHLAGHAMERSKÝ, J. & KOBETIČOVÁ, K. (2006): The impact of cattle pasturage on small annelids (Annelida: Enchytraeidae, Tubificidae, Aeolosomatidae) in grasslands of the White Carpathians (Czech Republic). *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 42: 305–309. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2006.07.037
- SCHLAGHAMERSKÝ, J., SIDOVA, A. & PIŽL, V. (2007): From mowing to grazing: Does the change in grassland management affect soil annelid assemblages? *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 43: 72–78. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2007.08.054
- SCHMELZ, R.M. & COLLADO, R. (2010): A guide to European terrestrial and freshwater species of Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta). *Soil Organisms*, 82(1): 1–176.
- SCHMELZ, R.M., COLLADO, R. & RÖMBKE, J. (2008): Mata Atlântica enchytraeids (Parana, Brazil): The genus Achaeta (Oligochaeta, Enchytraeidae). *Zootaxa*, 1809: 1–35. doi: <u>10.5281/zenodo.182758</u>
- SOKOŁOWSKA, L. & SENICZAK, S. (2005): The effect of cattle liquid manure fertilization on alternating grassland and some groups of soil mesofauna. *Folia Biologica-Krakow*, 53: 133–137. doi: <u>10.3409/173491605775789407</u>
- UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA) (1975): Soil taxonomy: a basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. Soil Conservation Service, Agriculture Handbook No. 436. Washington DC, 754 pp.
- VAN VLIET, P.C.J. & DE GOEDE, R.G.M. (2006): Effects of slurry application methods on soil faunal communities in permanent grassland. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 42: 348–353. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2006.09.004

Annelids of beech forests on basaltic bedrock: findings from two forest reserves in Germany

A. BEYLICH & U. GRAEFE

Anneke Beylich & Ulfert Graefe, IFAB Institut für Angewandte Bodenbiologie GmbH, Tornberg 24a, 22337 Hamburg, Germany. Corresponding author: anneke.beylich@ifab-hamburg.de

Abstract. The annelid communities (microannelids and earthworms) of woodruff beech forest in two Strict Forest Reserves on moderately base-rich soil (basaltic bedrock) were studied. For the Weiherskopf (Hesse) Strict Forest Reserve, a reference site that was under forest management was included in the investigation. While the investigations at Weiherskopf took place in 2014, the Nonnenstromberg (North Rhine-Westphalia) Strict Forest Reserve was studied already 1991/1993. Site conditions at Weiherskopf and Nonnenstromberg were similar in many respects, apart from the fact that at both Weiherskopf sites several heavy storms had caused extensive windthrow in 1990, resulting in different amounts of deadwood at the sites. Despite this, the species composition was similar at the studied sites (Sørensen Similarity Index between 0.73 and 0.83). At all three sites a species-rich annelid community was present, the majority of species indicating either slightly or moderately acid soil conditions. Among the microannelid species with the highest frequency were *Hrabeiella periglandulata*, *Enchytronia parva* and *Buchholzia appendiculata*. At all sites, the same humus form (F-mull) was developed, being closely linked to the presence of endogeic and anecic earthworms. Possible distinguishing features between annelid communities on basalt and calcareous bedrock respectively are discussed.

Keywords. Enchytraeidae; earthworms; deciduous forest; humus form; Dendrobaena pygmaea; Hrabeiella periglandulata.

INTRODUCTION

Beech forests are an important component of the natural vegetation of Central Europe and currently constitute approximately 15 % of the forested area in Germany (BMEL 2016). According to trophic soil conditions two main groups of beech forests can be distinguished: 1. forests on oligo- to mesotrophic soils, being poor in bases, with moder humus forms, and 2. forests on base-rich meso- to eutrophic soils with mull humus forms (Bohn & Gollub 2007). Since the 1980s, investigations on earthworms and microannelids in beech forest soils have focused on oligotrophic, acid forest soils, triggered by concerns about increasing anthropogenic acidification (Beck 1987, Römbke 1989). Some studies include base-rich sites for comparison (Graefe 1990, Schaefer & Schauermann 1990, Schoch-Bösken & Greven 1987), but investigations dealing chiefly with microannelid communities in beech

forests on base-rich soils are rather limited (Mellin 1988, Schlaghamerský 2010). Among base-rich soils, those on basaltic bedrock (siliceous) often differ in soil properties like pH from those on limestone and other calcareous parent materials due to the different mineral composition of the parent material. Thus, we distinguish moderately base-rich soils (on basalt) from base-rich soils (on calcareous bedrock) in this study.

Old growth beech forests can display high biodiversity (Assmann *et al.* 2007). Strict forest reserves are, besides core zones of national parks and biosphere reserves, the only areas in Germany, where natural forest development can proceed undisturbed by management and resource use (Wolf & Striepen 2007). More than 700 strict forest reserves have been established in Germany since 1970 to study forest development at minimized human impact.

urn: lsid:zoobank.org:pub:E49D47A1-1AAE-4D82-9022-6E31F20321C6 published: 11, May 2018 HU ISSN 2063-1588 (online), HU ISSN 0237-5419 (print) http://dx.doi.org/10.18348/opzool.2017.S2.59 We investigated microannelids and earthworms of woodruff beech forests in two strict forest reserves with moderately base-rich soils on basaltic bedrock. The Nonnenstromberg (North Rhine-Westphalia) Strict Forest Reserve was investigated already in 1991/1993 with a focus on the impact of soil acidification. At the Weiherskopf (Hesse) Forest Reserve the occurrence of several heavy storms in 1990 caused extensive windthrow. In 2014 the investigation of microannelids and earthworms was undertaken as part of a study to assess the possible impact of deadwood management on the ecosystem. However, this aspect is not within the main focus of the present publication.

The present contribution aims at characterizing the annelid community of beech forests on basaltic parent material concerning a) species diversity and typical species composition, and b) indicators of soil acidity, life-form types and strategy-types of species. The results enable a comparison with communities of other types of beech forests, *e.g.* on calcareous bedrock.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study sites

The Weiherskopf Strict Forest Reserve is situated in the Vogelsberg region in the Federal State of Hesse in Germany. In this area, two sites were investigated that had been affected by severe windthrow 24 years before the investigation. In the strict forest reserve, the deadwood had not been removed (site WK-DW), while deadwood had partly been taken out at the reference site (WK-RF), which is situated about 600 m south of WK-DW outside the strict forest reserve. The forest community is woodruff beech forest (Galio odorati-Fagenion); often a variant with woodbarley is dominating (NW-FVA 2015). At both sites, the forest at present forms an irregular pattern of patches with the original beech population (age \geq 100 years) and patches with young-growth forest, that developed since the windthrow (< 25 years) and consisting apart from beech mainly of Norway maple and ash.

The Nonnenstromberg (NB) Strict Forest Reserve belongs to the Siebengebirge region in the Federal State of North Rhine-Westphalia in Germany. The trees in the old growth beech forest had an age of about 110 years in the year of investigation. The forest community is woodruff beech forest (*Galio odorati-Fagenion*), with woodruff and wood melick dominating.

Information on principal site conditions and soil properties is given in Table 1. The humus form was specified according to the German soil classification (Ad-hoc-AG Boden 2005). An OH horizon, which would be an identifier for moder and mor humus forms, was lacking at most sample points, while an OF horizon was mostly well developed. The humus form was thus classified as F-mull, being an intermediate humus form between L-Mull (OL-layer only) and Moder (OL-, OF- and OH-layers). Information on the soil type was transferred from the German classification to categories of the world reference base for soil resources (IUSS Working Group WRB 2015). As both systems show fundamental differences, translation of soil types is not always consistent. Thus, in Table 1 the soil type is given according to both classification systems.

Sampling

At the WK Strict Forest Reserve 10 sample points for each of the two sites, WK-DW and WK-RF, were selected on the nodes of a regular grid of 100×100 m. At the NB Strict Forest Reserve, 8 sample points were selected along a transect of about 200 m at the north-facing slope and 6 sample points each along two transects of about 150 m at the south-facing slope of the central hill (total of 20 sample points). All three transects were running roughly in north-south direction.

The soil faunistic analyses included earthworms and microannelids. Soil samples for microannelids were taken with a split soil corer (diameter 5 cm) to a total sampling depth of 10 cm. The core samples were divided into 4 subsamples of 2.5 cm thickness to assess the vertical

 Table 1. Characterization of the study sites Weiherskopf (WK) and Nonnenstromberg (NB) (Data sources: Bundesanstalt für Landwirtschaft und Ernährung 2007, Landesbetrieb Wald und Holz Nordrhein-Westfalen 2018). Soil types of the German classification transferred according to IUSS Working Group WRB (2015).

	WK	NB		
Coordinates	N 50°22'16'' E 9°26'34'' WK-DW N 50°21'34'' E 9°27'05'' WK-RF	N 50°41'8'' E 7°13'12''		
Parent material	basalt with loess cover of variable thickness	basalt with loess cover of variable thickness		
Height [m.a.s.l.]	310–410 m	240–335 m		
Annual precipitation [mm]	969 mm	844 mm		
Mean annual temperature [°C]	7.7°C	9.4°C		
Vegetation	egetation old-growth forest: <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> with few <i>Quercus petraea;</i> young-growth forest: mainly <i>Acer platanoides,</i> <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>			
Forest community	Woodruff-Beechwood	Woodruff-Beechwood		
Strict forest reserve since	1989	1989 (nature protection area since 1965)		
pH (KCl)	5.5–5.6 (humus layer) 4.2–4.4 (mineral topsoil)	4.1–4.7 (mineral soil)		
pH (H ₂ O)	6.0–6.1 (humus layer) 5.3–5.6 (mineral topsoil)	5.3–6.3 (mineral soil)		
Texture	silt loam	silty clay loam		
Soil type (WRB)	Luvisol, Stagnic Luvisol	Cambisol		
Soil type (German classification)	Pseudogley-Parabraunerde	Braunerde, partly Pseudogley- Braunerde		
Humus form	mainly F-Mull, partly transition to moder	mainly F-Mull, partly transition to moder		

distribution of microannelids. The sub-samples were extracted over 48 h by a wet-funnel technique without heating, changing the water once after 12-24 h. The extracted animals were counted and identified in vivo, following the keys of Nielsen & Christensen (1959), Schmelz (2003) and Schmelz & Collado (2010). Microannelid samples were vertically divided at fixed depth levels and not at humus horizon boundaries, as this enables the calculation and comparison of mean abundance per m² for these depth levels at all three sites independently from the varying thickness of humus horizons. To link the occurrence of species to specific horizons and to compare humus layer thickness, at the WK sites, for each microannelid core sample the organic horizons, their thickness and their distribution over the above mentioned vertical sub-samples were recorded. For the NB site only the thickness of the humus layer in total was measured.

The earthworms were sampled by formalin extraction in combination with hand-sorting and Kempson extraction (ISO 23611-1, 2006). Fomalin extraction was performed on a soil surface area of 0.25 m². For hand-sorting two samples were taken at each sample point using a corer of 250 cm² to a depth of 10 cm. The samples were hand-sorted in the laboratory and subsequently underwent a Kempson extraction to be sure that all individuals were found. Earthworms were fixed in NOTOXhistoTM and determined accord-

ing to Sims & Gerard (1985). Earthworm biomass was determined for fixed animals with gut content. The earthworm results comprise abundance, biomass, species composition and dominance of life form types (epigeic, endogeic, anecic).

Sampling took place in the Weiherskopf (WK) Forest Reserve at the end of April 2014. The Nonnenstromberg (NB) Forest Reserve was investigated in October 1991 (microannelids and earthworms) and April 1993 (earthworms only). At NB the first earthworm sampling was performed without formalin extraction as anecic earthworms had not been expected due to the relatively low pH (NB1991). The results, *i.e.* the occurrence of endogeic earthworms and the presence of mull humus forms, indicated the possible presence of anecic earthworms. Thus a second sampling including also formalin extraction was performed at 10 out of the original 20 sampling points in 1993 (NB1993), as hand-sorting and Kempson extraction are less effective for deepburrowing species. These 10 sampling points covered the north-facing slope (4 samples) as well as the south-facing slope (6 samples).

Samples were taken irrespective of the deadwood present to varying degrees at the sample points, *i.e.* we did not sample decaying deadwood or soil below it or in its immediate surroundings at any of the sites.

Data analysis

The results were analyzed with respect to species composition, total abundance and vertical distribution of the community as well as dominance and frequency of species. Functional traits of individual species, as strategy type and acidity indicator group, were assigned according to Graefe & Schmelz (1999). The originally ninestep scale of acidity indicator values presented in Graefe & Schmelz (1999) was here condensed to three acidity indicator groups: indicators of strong acidity (acidity indicator values 1-3), indicators of moderate acidity (acidity indicator values 4-6) and indicators of slight acidity (acidity indicator values -6)

value 7). In terms of strategy types, indicators of strong and moderate acidity together are termed as A-strategists, while all k-strategists, *i.e.* persistent species with a low reproduction rate, are indicators of slight acidity. R-strategists are opportunistic species with a high reproduction rate (sexual or by fragmentation) and also belong to the indicators of slight acidity (Graefe & Schmelz 1999).

Statistical analyses were performed with SYSTAT 13. Data were checked for normality with the Shapiro-Wilk Test. Data were not normally distributed in some cases. This was partly due to the occurrence of several sample points with no earthworm findings. Square root transformation did not improve the situation. Thus, differences in abundance and earthworm biomass between sites were checked for significance with the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis Test, followed by Conover-Inman Test for all pairwise comparisons.

Similarity of species composition was assessed with the Sørensen Similarity Index (Mühlenberg 1989), calculated according to: QS=2*C / (A+B), where A and B are the numbers of species in sites A and B, respectively, and C is the number of species shared by the two sites. QS ranges between 0 and 1, where 1 represents an identical species composition of two communities. As the index uses just presence / absence data, the species lists for earthworms for NB1991 and NB1993 were pooled.

RESULTS

Species composition and quantitative parameters

At the two sites of the Weiherskopf Strict Forest Reserve the same 9 earthworm species were found. All three life-form types were represented (Table 2). The only anecic species *Lumbricus terrestris* was found with low abundance and frequency. Total earthworm abundance was very similar at both sites. The total earthworm biomass did not differ significantly either (p < 0.005). The two samplings at the Nonnenstromberg Strict Forest Reserve yielded significantly lower abundance data for earthworms (p < 0.005). The earthworm biomass was on a similar level as at the Weiherskopf sites when, at the second sampling at the Nonnenstromberg site (NB1993), formalin extraction was applied and the anecic species *Lumbricus terrestris* was found. The species found at NB were generally the same ones as at WK, except from *Octolasion tyrtaeum* which was missing at NB.

The species number of microannelids ranged between 27 (NB) and 34 (WK-DW). The majority of species belonged to the family Enchytraeidae, whereas two species, *Hrabeiella periglandulata* and *Parergodrilus heideri* are Polychaeta. The latter only occurred at WK-DW, while *Hrabeiella periglandulata* was present at all three sites with high frequency and abundance (Table 3). Among the enchytraeid species occurring most frequently and at all three sites were *Buchholzia appendiculata, Stercutus niveus, Enchytronia parva, Enchytraeus christenseni* and *Enchytraeus buchholzi*. Among the frequent species are two that have not been formally described so far, but are known to the authors from investigations on soil monitoring sites in Germany. These are given as *Enchytronia* sp. (sept) and *Achaeta* sp. (dzwi) in Table 3. *Achaeta* sp. (affi) belongs also into this category. This species occurs only in a few samples, but in very high numbers (WK-DW and NB).

The total enchytraeid abundance was similar at WK-RF and NB, but more than twice as high at WK-DW (p < 0.05), although variability at WK-DW was comparatively high due to the agglomerated occurrence of *Achaeta* sp. (affi).

 Table 2. Species composition of earthworms at the studied sites. F: frequency, A: abundance, B: biomass. ^{a, b}: abundance or biomass data with different exponents differ significantly.WK-DW: Weiherskopf with deadwood, WK-RF: Weiherskopf reference site, NB1991: Nonnenstromberg, sampling 1991 without formalin extraction, NB1993: Nonnenstromberg, sampling 1993 with formalin extraction

Site		WK-DW			WK-RF			NB1991			NB1993	
	F	A ind. m ⁻²	B g m ⁻²	F	A ind. m ⁻²	B g m ⁻²	F	A ind. m ⁻²	B g m ⁻²	F	A ind. m ⁻²	B g m ⁻²
epigeic species												
Dendrobaena octaedra (Savigny, 1826)	80%	30.0	2.2	70%	30.0	2.2				20%	4.0	0.4
Dendrobaena pygmaea (Savigny, 1826)	70%	23.6	0.4	60%	15.6	0.3	15%	5.0	0.1			
Dendrodrilus rubidus (Savigny, 1826)	20%	4.0	0.4	20%	2.4	0.3	20%	7.0	0.3	10%	2.0	0.3
Lumbricus rubellus Hoffmeister, 1843	10%	4.0	0.1	10%	2.0	5.5				30%	5.2	3.3
endogeic species												
Aporrectodea caliginosa (Savigny, 1826)	70%	16.8	7.6	90%	42.0	15.4	30%	8.0	1.6	50%	14.4	7.4
Aporrectodea limicola (Michaelsen, 1890)	40%	4.8	0.9	80%	26.8	2.1				30%	2.8	0.3
Aporrectodea rosea (Savigny, 1826)		20.0	2.1	40%	6.0	0.8	10%	3.0	0.1	20%	2.4	0.3
Octolasion tyrtaeum (Savigny, 1826)	100 %	36.4	5.4	80%	16.0	2.6						
anecic species												
Lumbricus terrestris Linnaeus, 1758	20%	2.0	4.0	10%	0.4	0.9				40%	3.2	5.6
Total arithmetic mean		141.6 ^a	23.1 ^a		141.2 ^a	30.1 ^a		23.0 ^b	2.1 ^b		34.0 ^b	17.7 ^a
standard deviation		58.7	20. 5		77.9	33.2		36.9	3.6		26.1	20.5
Species number	9			9			4			7		

 Table 3. Species composition of microannelids at the studied sites. F: frequency, AB: abundance. WK-DW: Weiherskopf with deadwood, WK-RF: Weiherskopf reference site, NB: Nonnenstromberg. AcInd: Acidity indicator group, str: indicator of strong acidity, m: indicator of moderate acidity, sli: indicator of slight acidity. Informal species name with acronym in brackets: Species not formally described, but known to the authors. ^{a, b}: abundance data with different exponents differ significantly

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Enchytracidae U $-$ 40% 16 x Cernesvistoviella atrata (Bretscher, 1903) 20% 7 - 40% 16 str Carentis splagnetorum (Vejdovský, 1878) 40% 13 30% 9 35% 18 str Achaetas camerani (Cognetti, 1899) 10% 6 10% 48 - str Achaetas p. (afft) 20% 726 - 25% 334 m Cognetitis cognetiti (Issel, 1905) 10% 4 - 25% 18 m Connorella cambrensis (O'Connor, 1963) 20% 70 50 - m Marionina simillima Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 10% 1 - - m Mesenchytraeus glanduloux (Levinsen, 1884) 30% 5 30% 6 20% 10 m Enchytronia p. (Sept) 884) 20% 6 - 60% 24 m Connorella tubifera Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 40% 42 30%
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Cognettia sphagnetorum (Vejdovský, 1878) 40% 13 30% 9 35% 18strAchaeta camerani (Cognetti, 1899) 10% 6 10% 4-ttMesenchytraeus pelicensis Issel, 1905- 10% 1-strAchaeta sp. (affi) 20% 726- 25% 334 mCognettia cognetti (Issel, 1905) 10% 4mCoconnorella cambrensis (O'Connor, 1963) 20% 9 30% 50% -mAchaeta sp. (glin) 40% 79 50% 50 -mMarionina simillina Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 10% 1mMesenchytraeus glandulosus (Levinsen, 1884) 30% 5 30% 6 20% 10mEnchytronia parva Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 70% 100 90% 77 95% 193 mEnchytronia ps. (sept) 80% 8 50% 44 15% 115 mAchaeta banica (Vejdovský, 1879) 50% 21 30% 8 75% 2 sliAchaeta banica (Vejdovský, 1879) 50% 21 30% 8 75% 2 sliAchaeta banica (Vejdovský, 1879) 50% 10% 1 - 5% 1 sliEnchytraeus pendiculata (Buchholz, 1862) 80% 8 30% 3 - sli Enchytraeus huchholzi Vejdovský, 1879 40% 21 60% 16
Achaeta camerani (Cognetti, 1899)10%610%48-strMesenchytraeus pelicensis Issel, 1905-10%1-strCognettia cognettii (Issel, 1905)10%4mCornorella cambrensis (O'Connor, 1963)20%112-25%334mAchaeta sp. (glin)40%726-25%118mAchaeta sp. (glin)40%1230%1230%17mBarchytraeus norvegicus Abrahamsen, 196920%930%1230%17mMarionina simillima Nielsen & Christensen, 195910%1mMesenchytraeus glandulosus (Levinsen, 1884)30%530%620%10mEnchytronia sp. (sept)80%1850%1865%42mCoconnorella tubifera Nielsen & Christensen, 195940%4230%4615%115mAchaeta zo (Levinsen, 1884)20%6-60%24mmOconnorella tubifera Nielsen & Christensen, 200510%1-5%1sliAchaeta zo (Levinsen, 1884)00%5190%345slisliEnchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovský, 187940%2160%151slisliEnchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovský, 187940%2160%151slisliEnchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovský, 187940%1-sl
Mesenchytraeus pelicensis Issel, 1905 - 10% 1 - str Achaeta sp. (affi) 20% 726 - 25% 334 m Oconnorella cambrensis (O'Connor, 1963) 20% 112 - 25% 118 m Achaeta sp. (glin) 40% 79 50% 50 - m Enchytraeus sonroregicus Abrahamsen, 1969 20% 9 30% 12 30% 17 m Marionina simillima Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 10% 1 - - m Enchytraeus glandulosus (Levinsen, 1884) 30% 5 30% 6 20% 10 m Enchytronia parva Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 70% 100 90% 77 95% 193 m Connorella tubifera Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 40% 42 30% 48 55% 42 m Oconnorella tubifera Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 40% 21 30% 8 75% 92 sli Achaeta unibulba Graefe, Dózsa-Farkas & Christensen, 2005 10% 1 - 5%
Achaeta sp. (aff) 20% 726 - 25% 334 m Cognettia cognettii (ssel, 1905) 10% 4 - - m Coconnorella cambrensis (O'Connor, 1963) 20% 112 - 25% 118 m Achaeta sp. (glin) 40% 79 50% 50 - m Marionina simillima Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 10% 1 - - m Mesenchytraeus glandulosus (Levinsen, 1884) 30% 5 30% 12 30% 17 m Mesenchytraeus glandulosus (Levinsen, 1884) 30% 5 30% 6 20% 10 m Enchytronia sp. (sept) 80% 18 50% 18 65% 42 m Oconnorella tubifera Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 40% 42 30% 46 15% 115 m Achaeta unibulba Graefe, Dózsa-Farkas & Christensen, 2005 10% 1 - 5% 1 sli Achaeta unibulba Graefe, Dózsa-Farkas & Christensen, 2005 10% 107 60% 51 90% 345
Cognettia cognettii (Issel, 1905)10%4mOconnorella cambrensis (O'Connor, 1963)20%112-25%118mAchaeta sp. (glin)40%7950%50-mMarionina simillima Nielsen & Christensen, 195910%1mMarionina simillima Nielsen & Christensen, 195910%1mMesenchytraeus glandulosus (Levinsen, 1884)30%530%620%10mEnchytronia parva Nielsen & Christensen, 195970%10090%7795%193mEnchytronia parva Nielsen & Christensen, 195970%10090%7795%193mConnorella tubifera Nielsen & Christensen, 195940%4230%4615%11mAchaeta banica (Veidovský, 1879)50%2130%875%92sliAchaeta banica (Veidovský, 1879)50%2130%875%92sliBuchholzia appendiculara (Buchholz, 1862)80%82100%1855%20sliEnchytraeus buchholzi Veidovský, 187940%2160%1855%20sliEnchytraeus buchholzi Veidovský, 187940%2160%1855%20sliEnchytraeus buchholzi Veidovský, 187940%2160%1855%1sliEnchytraeus buchholzi Veidovský, 187940%2160%65
Oconnorella cambrensis (O'Connor, 1963) 20% 112 $ 25\%$ 118 mAchaeta sp. (glin) 40% 79 50% 50 $-$ mEnchytraeus norvegicus Abrahamsen, 1969 20% 9 30% 12 30% 17 mMarionina simillima Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 10% 1 $ -$ mEnchytronia parva Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 70% 100 90% 77 95% 193 mEnchytronia sp. (sept) 80% 18 50% 42 m 75% 42 mFridericia striata (Levinsen, 1884) 20% 6 $ 60\%$ 24 mOconnorella tubifera Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 40% 42 30% 46 15% 115 mAchaeta bohemica (Vejdovský, 1879) 50% 21 30% 8 75% 92 sliAchaeta polencia (Vejdovský, 1879) 70% 107 60% 51 90% 345 sliEnchytraeus buchholz i Vejdovský, 1879 40% 21 60% 18 55% 20 sliEnchytraeus buchholz i Stensen 1062sa-Farkas, 1992 100% 72 80% 65 60% 59 sliEnchytraeus buchholz, 1862) 40% 10% $ 5\%$ 1 sliEnchytraeus buchholz, 1862) 40% 10% 1 $ 5\%$ 1 sliEnchytraeus buchholz, 1862) 40%
Achaeta sp. (glin) 40% 79 50% 50 - m Enchytraeus norvegicus Abrahamsen, 1969 20% 9 30% 12 30% 17 m Marionina simillima Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 10% 1 - - m Mesenchytraeus glandulosus (Levinsen, 1884) 30% 5 30% 6 20% 10 m Enchytronia parva Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 70% 100 90% 77 95% 193 m Connorella tubifera Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 40% 42 30% 46 15% 115 m Achaeta bohemica (Vejdovský, 1879) 50% 21 30% 8 75% 92 sli Achaeta unibulba Graefe, Dózsa-Farkas & Christensen, 2005 10% 1 - 5% 1 sli Buchholzi appendiculata (Buchholz, 1862) 80% 82 100% 18 55% 20 sli Enchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovský, 1879 40% 21 60% 18 55% 20 sli Enchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovský, 1879 4
Enchytraeus norvegicus Abrahamsen, 1969 20% 9 30% 12 30% 17 mMarionina simillima Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 10% 1 mMesenchytraeus glandulosus (Levinsen, 1844) 30% 5 30% 6 20% 10 mEnchytronia parva Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 70% 100 90% 77 95% 193 mEnchytronia sp. (sept) 80% 18 50% 18 65% 42 mPridericia striata (Levinsen, 1884) 20% 6 - 60% 24 mOconnorella tubifera Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 40% 42 30% 46 15% 115 mAchaeta bohemica (Vejdovský, 1879) 50% 21 30% 8 75% 92 sliAchaeta sp. (dzwi) 70% 107 60% 51 90% 345 sliBuchholzia appendiculata (Buchholz, 1862) 80% 82 100% 168 60% 151 sliEnchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovský, 1879 40% 21 60% 18 55% 20 sliEnchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 1961 5% 1 sliEnchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 1961sliFridericia bisetosa (Levinsen, 1884) 60% 11 -sliFridericia bisetosa (Levinsen, 1884) 60% 11 0% 1 -sli <tr< tr="">Frid</tr<>
Marionina simillimaNielsen & Christensen, 1959 10% 1 $ -$ mMesenchytraeusglandulosus(Levinsen, 1884) 30% 5 30% 6 20% 10 mEnchytroniagr. (sept) 80% 18 50% 6 $ 60\%$ 24 mEnchytroniagr. (sept) 80% 18 50% 18 65% 42 mFridericiastriata(Levinsen, 1884) 20% 6 $ 60\%$ 24 mOconnorellatubiferaNielsen & Christensen, 1959 40% 42 30% 8 75% 92 sliAchaetabohmica(Vejdovský, 1879) 50% 21 30% 8 75% 92 sliAchaetasp. (dzwi) 00% $10^ -5\%$ 1 slisliBuchholziaappendiculata(Buchholz, 1862) 80% 82 100% 168 60% 151 sliEnchytraeusbuchholziVejdovský, 1879 40% 21 60% 18 55% 20 sliEnchytraeusbuchholziaVejdovský, 1879 40% 21 60% 1 $ 5\%$ 1 sliEnchytraeusbuchholziaVejdovský, 1879 40% 21 60% 18 55% 20 sliEnchytraeusbuchholziaVejdovský, 1879 40% 21 60% 16 1 $ sli$ Enc
Mesenchytraeus glandulosus (Levinsen, 1884) 30% 5 30% 6 20% 10 m Enchytronia parva Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 70% 100 90% 77 95% 193 m Enchytronia sp. (sept) 80% 18 50% 18 65% 42 m Fridericia striata (Levinsen, 1884) 20% 6 $ 60\%$ 24 m Ocomorella tubifera Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 40% 42 30% 46 15% 115 m Achaeta bohemica (Vejdovský, 1879) 50% 21 30% 8 75% 92 sliAchaeta unibulba Graefe, Dózsa-Farkas & Christensen, 2005 10% 1 $ 5\%$ 1 sliBuchholzia appendiculata (Buchholz, 1862) 80% 82 100% 168 60% 345 sliEnchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovský, 1879 40% 21 60% 18 55% 20 sliEnchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 1961 $ 5\%$ 1 sliEnchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 1961 $ -$ Enchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 1961 $ -$ <
Enchytronia parva Nielsen & Christensen, 195970%10090%7795%193mEnchytronia sp. (sept)80%1850%1865%42mFridericia striata (Levinsen, 1884)20%6-60%24mOconnorella tubifera Nielsen & Christensen, 195940%4230%4615%115mAchaeta bohemica (Vejdovský, 1879)50%2130%875%92sliAchaeta unibulba Graefe, Dózsa-Farkas & Christensen, 200510%1-5%1sliAchaeta sp. (dzwi)70%10760%5190%345sliBuchholzia appendiculata (Buchholz, 1862)80%82100%16860%151sliEnchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovský, 187940%2160%1855%20sliEnchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 19615%1sliEnchytronia sp. (holo)40%4910%1-sliFridericia benti Schmelz, 200240%830%3-sliFridericia galba (Hoffmeister, 1843)30%1140%55%1sliFridericia acnutata Issel, 190220%1110%1-sliFridericia agalba (Hoffmeister, 1843)30%11410%2sliFridericia agalba (Hoffmeister, 1843)50%11410%4sliFriderici
Enchytronia sp. (sept)80%1850%1865%42mFridericia striata (Levinsen, 1884)20%6-60%24mOconnorella tubifera Nielsen & Christensen, 195940%4230%4615%115mAchaeta bohemica (Vejdovský, 1879)50%2130%875%92sliAchaeta unibulha Graefe, Dózsa-Farkas & Christensen, 200510%1-5%1sliAchaeta sp. (dzvi)70%10760%5190%345sliBuchholzita appendiculata (Buchholz, 1862)80%82100%16860%151sliEnchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovský, 187940%2160%1855%20sliEnchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 19615%1sliEnchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 1961slisliFridericia benti Schmelz, 200240%4910%1-sliFridericia galba (Hoffmeister, 1843)30%1140%55%1sliFridericia isseli Rota, 1994-20%7-slisliFridericia aniraflores Sesma & Dózsa-Farkas, 199350%1110%1-sliFridericia aniraflores Sesma & Dózsa-Farkas, 199350%11410%2slisliFridericia aniraflores Sesma & Dózsa-Farkas, 199350%11410%1-sli<
Pridericia striata (Levinsen, 1884)20%6-60%24mOconnorella tubifera Nielsen & Christensen, 195940%4230%4615%115mAchaeta bohemica (Vejdovský, 1879)50%2130%875%92sliAchaeta unibulba Graefe, Dózsa-Farkas & Christensen, 200510%1-5%1sliAchaeta yp. (dzwi)70%10760%5190%345sliBuchholzia appendiculata (Buchholz, 1862)80%82100%1855%20sliEnchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovský, 187940%2160%1855%20sliEnchytraeus christenseni Dózsa-Farkas, 1992100%7280%6560%59sliEnchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 19615%1sliFridericia benti Schmelz, 200240%4910%1-sliFridericia bistosa (Levinsen, 184)60%1660%2545%14sliFridericia isseli Rota, 1994-20%7-sliFridericia argala (Hoffmeister, 1843)30%1140%55%1sliFridericia argala (Jost1904-10%1-sliFridericia argala (Hoffmeister, 1843)30%11450%1410%2sliFridericia argala (Bota, 199520%1110%1-sli<
Oconnorella ubijera Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 40% 42 30% 46 15% 115 mAchaeta bohemica (Vejdovský, 1879) 50% 21 30% 8 75% 92 sliAchaeta unibulba Graefe, Dózsa-Farkas & Christensen, 2005 10% 1 $ 5\%$ 1 sliAchaeta sp. (dzwi) 70% 107 60% 51 90% 345 sliBuchholzia appendiculata (Buchholz, 1862) 80% 82 100% 168 60% 151 sliEnchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovský, 1879 40% 21 60% 18 55% 20 sliEnchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovský, 1879 40% 21 60% 65 60% 59 sliEnchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 1961 $ 5\%$ 1 sliFridericia benti Schmelz, 2002 40% 8 30% 3 $-$ sliFridericia connata Bretscher, 1902 40% 12 90% 44 15% 6 sliFridericia asseli Rota, 1994 $ 20\%$ 7 $-$ sli 5% 1 sliFridericia maculata Issel, 1905 20% 114 50% 14 10% 2 5% 1 sliFridericia arganeta (Mothelsen, 1884) 10% 114 50% 14 10% 2 $ 5\%$ 1 sliFridericia arganeta (Michaelsen, 1884) 10% 10% 10% $ -$ <
Achaeta bohemica (Vejdovský, 1879)50%2130%875%92sliAchaeta unibulba Graefe, Dózsa-Farkas & Christensen, 200510%1-5%1sliAchaeta sp. (dzwi)70%10760%5190%345sliBuchholzia appendiculata (Buchholz, 1862)80%82100%16860%151sliEnchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovský, 187940%2160%1855%20sliEnchytraeus christenseni Dózsa-Farkas, 1992100%7280%6560%59sliEnchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 1961-5%1sliEnchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 1961-5%1sliFridericia benti Schmelz, 200240%4910%1-sliFridericia bisetosa (Levinsen, 1884)60%1660%2545%14sliFridericia galba (Hoffmeister, 1843)30%1140%55%1sliFridericia maculata Issel, 190520%1110%-slisliFridericia maraflores Sesma & Dózsa-Farkas, 199350%11450%1410%2sliFridericia aparoniana Issel, 1904-10%1-slisliFridericia agalba (Miller, 19715%1sliFridericia agalba (Miller, 19715%1sliFridericia aganoniana Issel, 190
Achaeta unibulba Graefe, Dózsa-Farkas & Christensen, 200510%1-5%1sliAchaeta unibulba Graefe, Dózsa-Farkas & Christensen, 200510%1-5%1sliAchaeta sp. (dzwi)70%10760%5190%345sliBuchholzia appendiculata (Buchholz, 1862)80%82100%16860%151sliEnchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovský, 187940%2160%1855%20sliEnchytraeus christenseni Dózsa-Farkas, 1992100%7280%6560%59sliEnchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 19615%1sliFridericia benti Schmelz, 200240%830%3-sliFridericia connata Bretscher, 190240%1290%4415%6sliFridericia aglaba (Hoffmeister, 1843)30%1140%55%1sliFridericia aseli Rota, 1994-20%7-slisliFridericia maraflores Sesma & Dózsa-Farkas, 199350%11410%1-sliFridericia paroniana Issel, 190520%1110%1-sliFridericia paroniana Issel, 1904-10%115%4sliFridericia maraflores Sesma & Dózsa-Farkas, 199350%11410%2sliFridericia agla (Moffmeister, 1878)10%5s
Achaeta sp. $(dzwi)$ Dota Talke Community, DotaTowTowTowTowTowTowTowTowTowBuchholzia appendiculata (Buchholz, 1862) 80% 82 100% 168 60% 151 sliBuchholzia appendiculata (Buchholz, 1862) 80% 82 100% 168 60% 151 sliEnchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovský, 1879 40% 21 60% 18 55% 20 sliEnchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 1961 5% 1 sliEnchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 1961 5% 1 sliFridericia benti Schmelz, 2002 40% 49 10% 1 -sliFridericia bisetosa (Levinsen, 1884) 60% 16 60% 25 45% 14 sliFridericia galba (Hoffmeister, 1902 40% 12 90% 44 15% 6 sliFridericia galba (Hoffmeister, 1843) 30% 11 40% 5 5% 1 sliFridericia maculata Issel, 1905 20% 11 10% $ 5\%$ 1 sliFridericia paroniana Issel, 1904- 10% 1 $ 5\%$ 1 sliFridericia paroniana Issel, 1904- 10% 1 15% 4 sliFridericia sp. juv. 70% 124 100% 125 90% 63 sliFridericia ap. juv. 70% 1
Buchholzia appendiculata (Buchholz, 1862) 80% 82 100% 161 60% 151 sli Enchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovský, 1879 40% 21 60% 18 55% 20 sli Enchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovský, 1879 40% 21 60% 18 55% 20 sli Enchytraeus christenseni Dózsa-Farkas, 1992 100% 72 80% 65 60% 59 sli Enchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 1961 - - 5% 1 sli Enchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 1961 - - 5% 1 sli Fridericia benti Schmelz, 2002 40% 8 30% 3 - sli Fridericia bisetosa (Levinsen, 1884) 60% 16 60% 25 45% 14 sli Fridericia galba (Hoffmeister, 1843) 30% 11 40% 5 5% 1 sli Fridericia galba (Hoffmeister, 1843) 30% 11 40% 5 5% 1 sli Fridericia galba (Hoffmeister, 1843) 30% 11
BackminichterBackminichterBackminichterBackminichterBackminichterBackminichterBackminichterBackminichterBackminichterBackminichterBackminichterEnchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovský, 187940%2160%1855%20sliEnchytraeus christenseni Dózsa-Farkas, 1992100%7280%6560%59sliEnchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 19615%1sliEnchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 19615%1sliFridericia bisetosa (Levinsen, 1884)60%1660%2545%14sliFridericia galba (Hoffmeister, 1843)30%1140%55%1sliFridericia isseli Rota, 1994-20%7-slisliFridericia miraflores Sesma & Dózsa-Farkas, 199350%11450%1410%2sliFridericia qi nielseni Möller, 19715%1sliFridericia waldenstroemi Rota & Healy, 1999-10%1
Encloyin deus christenseni Dózsa-Farkas, 1992100%2130%1650%2650%Enchytraeus christenseni Dózsa-Farkas, 1992100%7280%6560%59sliEnchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 19615%1sliEnchytronia sp. (holo)40%4910%1-sliFridericia benti Schmelz, 200240%830%3-sliFridericia bisetosa (Levinsen, 1884)60%1660%2545%14sliFridericia issela Clevinsen, 1884)60%1290%4415%6sliFridericia galba (Hoffmeister, 1843)30%1140%55%1sliFridericia isseli Rota, 1994-20%7-sliFridericia maculata Issel, 190520%1110%1-sliFridericia a formation and Issel, 1904-10%11-sliFridericia a formation and Issel, 1904-10%115%4sliFridericia a suta (Eisen, 1878)10%5sliHenlea nasuta (Eisen, 1878)10%5sliMarionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1884)10%1sliMesenchytraeus armatus (Levinsen, 1884)10%1sliStercutus niveus Michaelsen, 188880%5160%7045%14sli
Encloyin data bin storbul bots at rate as, 1922100%1250%00%50%13Enchytraeus lacteus Nielsen & Christensen, 19615%1sliEnchytronia sp. (holo)40%4910%1-sliFridericia benti Schmelz, 200240%830%3-sliFridericia bisetosa (Levinsen, 1884)60%1660%2545%14sliFridericia connata Bretscher, 190240%1290%4415%6sliFridericia galba (Hoffmeister, 1843)30%1140%55%1sliFridericia isseli Rota, 1994-20%7-sliFridericia maculata Issel, 190520%1110%1-sliFridericia afflores Sesma & Dózsa-Farkas, 199350%11450%1410%2sliFridericia af nielseni Möller, 19715%1sliFridericia avaldata Issel, 1904-10%115%4sliFridericia sp. juv.70%124100%12590%63sliFridericia sp. juv.70%124100%12590%63sliHenlea nasuta (Eisen, 1878)10%5sliMarionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1884)10%1sliMesenchytraeus armatus (Levinsen, 1884)10%1sliSti
Enchytratis iteratis friedricEnchytratis iteratisEnchytratis iteratisEnchytratis iteratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratisEnchytratis
Encloy10%110%111Fridericia benti Schmelz, 200240%830%3-sliFridericia bisetosa (Levinsen, 1884)60%1660%2545%14sliFridericia connata Bretscher, 190240%1290%4415%6sliFridericia galba (Hoffmeister, 1843)30%1140%55%1sliFridericia isseli Rota, 1994-20%7-sliFridericia maculata Issel, 190520%1110%1-sliFridericia miraflores Sesma & Dózsa-Farkas, 199350%11450%1410%2sliFridericia paroniana Issel, 1904-10%115%4slisliFridericia sp. juv.70%124100%12590%63sliFridericia sp. juv.70%124100%12590%63sliHenlea nasuta (Eisen, 1878)10%5sliMarionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1889)30%830%265%1sliMesenchytraeus armatus (Levinsen, 1884)10%1sliStercutus niveus Michaelsen, 188880%5160%7045%14sli
Fridericia bisetosa (Levinsen, 1884) 60% 16 60% 25 45% 14 sli Fridericia connataBretscher, 1902 40% 12 90% 44 15% 6 sli Fridericia galba (Hoffmeister, 1843) 30% 11 40% 5 5% 1 sli Fridericia isseli Rota, 1994 $ 20\%$ 7 $ sli$ Fridericia maculata Issel, 1905 20% 11 10% 1 $ sli$ Fridericia mirafloresSesma & Dózsa-Farkas, 1993 50% 114 50% 14 10% 2 sli Fridericia paronianaIssel, 1904 $ 5\%$ 1 sli Fridericia sp. juv. 70% 124 100% 125 90% 63 sli Fridericia sp. juv. 70% 124 100% 125 90% 63 sli Marionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1889) 30% 8 30% 26 5% 1 sli Mesenchytraeus armatus (Levinsen, 1884) 10% 1 $ sli$ Stercutus niveus Michaelsen, 1888 80% 51 60% 70 45% 14 sli
Fridericia connataBretscher, 1902 40% 12 90% 44 15% 6 sli Fridericia galba(Hoffmeister, 1843) 30% 11 40% 5 5% 1 sli Fridericia isseliRota, 1994 $ 20\%$ 7 $ sli$ Fridericia maculataIssel, 1905 20% 11 10% 1 $ sli$ Fridericia mirafloresSesma & Dózsa-Farkas, 1993 50% 114 50% 14 10% 2 sli Fridericia f. nielseniMöller, 1971 $ 5\%$ 1 sli Fridericia paronianaIssel, 1904 $ 10\%$ 1 15% 4 sli Fridericia sp. juv. 70% 124 100% 125 90% 63 sli Henlea nasuta(Eisen, 1878) 10% 5 $ sli$ Marionina argentea(Michaelsen, 1889) 30% 8 30% 26 5% 1 sli Stercutus niveusMichaelsen, 1888 80% 51 60% 70 45% 14 sli
Indeficit Commun Dietsendi, 199210%1290%1119%631Fridericia galba (Hoffmeister, 1843) 30% 11 40% 55%1sliFridericia isseli Rota, 1994- 20% 7-sliFridericia maculata Issel, 1905 20% 11 10% 1-sliFridericia miraflores Sesma & Dózsa-Farkas, 1993 50% 114 50% 14 10% 2sliFridericia paroniana Issel, 1904 5% 1slisliFridericia waldenstroemi Rota & Healy, 1999- 10% 1 15% 4sliFridericia sp. juv. 70% 124 100% 125 90% 63 sliHenlea nasuta (Eisen, 1878) 10% 5sliMarionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1889) 30% 8 30% 26 5% 1sliMesenchytraeus armatus (Levinsen, 1884) 10% 1slisliStercutus niveus Michaelsen, 1888 80% 51 60% 70 45% 14 sli
Fridericia isseliRota, 1994-20%7-sliFridericia maculataIssel, 190520%1110%1-sliFridericia mirafloresSesma & Dózsa-Farkas, 199350%11450%1410%2sliFridericia cf. nielseniMöller, 19715%1sliFridericia paronianaIssel, 1904-10%115%4sliFridericia waldenstroemiRota & Healy, 1999-10%2-sliFridericia sp. juv.70%124100%12590%63sliHenlea nasuta(Eisen, 1878)10%5sliMarionina argentea(Michaelsen, 1889)30%830%265%1sliMesenchytraeus armatus(Levinsen, 1884)10%1slisliStercutus niveusMichaelsen, 188880%5160%7045%14sli
Fridericia maculata Issel, 1905 $20%$ 11 $10%$ 1 $ sli$ $Fridericia miraflores Sesma & Dózsa-Farkas, 199350%11450%1410%2sliFridericia cf. nielseni Möller, 1971 5%1sliFridericia paroniana Issel, 1904 10%115%4sliFridericia waldenstroemi Rota & Healy, 1999 10%2 sliFridericia sp. juv.70%124100%12590%63sliHenlea nasuta (Eisen, 1878)10%5 sliMarionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1889)30%830%265%1Mesenchytraeus armatus (Levinsen, 1884)10%1 sliStercutus niveus Michaelsen, 188880%5160%7045%14sli$
Fridericia miraflores Sesma & Dózsa-Farkas, 1993 $20%$ 11 $10%$ 1 $10%$ 2 sli $Fridericia miraflores Sesma & Dózsa-Farkas, 199350%11450%1410%2sliFridericia f. nielseni Möller, 19715%1sliFridericia paroniana Issel, 1904-10%115%4sliFridericia waldenstroemi Rota & Healy, 1999-10%2-sliFridericia sp. juv.70%124100%12590%63sliHenlea nasuta (Eisen, 1878)10%5sliMarionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1889)30%830%265%1sliMesenchytraeus armatus (Levinsen, 1884)10%1slisliStercutus niveus Michaelsen, 188880%5160%7045%14sli$
Fridericia cf. nielseni Möller, 1971 $ 50%$ 14 $10%$ 2 $31i$ $Fridericia paroniana Issel, 1904$ $ 10%$ 1 $15%$ 4 sli $Fridericia waldenstroemi Rota & Healy, 1999$ $ 10%$ 2 $ sli$ $Fridericia sp. juv.$ $70%$ 124 $100%$ 125 $90%$ 63 sli $Henlea nasuta (Eisen, 1878)$ $10%$ 5 $ sli$ $Marionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1889)$ $30%$ 8 $30%$ 26 $5%$ 1 sli $Mesenchytraeus armatus (Levinsen, 1884)$ $10%$ 1 $ sli$ $Stercutus niveus$ Michaelsen, 1888 $80%$ 51 $60%$ 70 $45%$ 14 sli
Friderica (j. meisem Molel, 1971) -10% 1 5% 1 5% Fridericia paroniana Issel, 1904- 10% 1 15% 4 sliFridericia waldenstroemi Rota & Healy, 1999- 10% 2 -sliFridericia sp. juv. 70% 124 100% 125 90% 63 sliHenlea nasuta (Eisen, 1878) 10% 5 sliMarionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1889) 30% 8 30% 26 5% 1 sliMesenchytraeus armatus (Levinsen, 1884) 10% 1 sliStercutus niveus Michaelsen, 1888 80% 51 60% 70 45% 14 sli
Fridericia waldenstroemi Rota & Healy, 1999 $ 10\%$ 1 15% 4 shi Fridericia sp. juv. $ 10\%$ 2 $ sli$ Henlea nasuta (Eisen, 1878) 10% 5 $ sli$ Marionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1889) 30% 8 30% 26 5% 1 sli Mesenchytraeus armatus (Levinsen, 1884) 10% 1 $ sli$ Stercutus niveus Michaelsen, 1888 80% 51 60% 70 45% 14 sli
Fridericia sp. juv. 70% 124 100% 125 90% 63 sli Henlea nasuta (Eisen, 1878) 10% 5 - - sli Marionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1889) 30% 8 30% 26 5% 1 sli Mesenchytraeus armatus (Levinsen, 1884) 10% 1 - - sli Stercutus niveus Michaelsen, 1888 80% 51 60% 70 45% 14 sli
Henlea nasuta (Eisen, 1878) 10% 5 - sli Marionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1889) 30% 8 30% 26 5% 1 sli Mesenchytraeus armatus (Levinsen, 1884) 10% 1 - - sli Stercutus niveus Michaelsen, 1888 80% 51 60% 70 45% 14 sli
Marionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1889) 30% 8 30% 26 5% 1 sli Mesenchytraeus armatus (Levinsen, 1884) 10% 1 - - sli Stercutus niveus Michaelsen, 1888 80% 51 60% 70 45% 14 sli
Martonina argenea (Wienacisci, 1887) 50% 50% 50% 20 5% 1 Sit Mesenchytraeus armatus (Levinsen, 1884) 10% 1 - - sli Stercutus niveus Michaelsen, 1888 80% 51 60% 70 45% 14 sli
Stercutus niveus Michaelsen, 1888 80% 51 60% 70 45% 14 sli
Stercutus niveus inicitatistii, 1888 80/0 51 00/0 /0 45/0 14 511
Dalvahaata
1 orgenaeta Hrabaialla narialandulata Dizl & Chalunský 1084 100% 220 00% 127 00% 175 m
Hrabelella perigianaulata Pizi & Chalupsky, 1984 100% 259 90% 157 90% 175 III
Furregournus neueri Keisingei 1925 10% - - Sil Traci 0000 1020 1027
$\frac{10038}{10.38} = \frac{1038}{1038} = \frac{1038}{10$
I otalind. marithmetic mean $106,341^{\circ}$ $52,865^{\circ}$ $46,7/9^{\circ}$ standard deviation $104,070$ $20,162$ $22,241$
Standard deviation 104,777 50,102 25,341 Snecies number 34 28 27

Acidity indicator groups, strategy types and life-form types

The biomass proportion of the three life-form types of earthworms is illustrated in Figure 1 (left). The percentage of epigeic species was below 30 % at all sites. The proportion of endogeic species was equal at the two WK sites.

For the microannelids, we differentiate between the strategy types r-, A- and k-strategists (Figure 1, centre). In the category r-strategists, species with a potentially high reproduction rate are pooled, *i.e.* most *Enchytraeus* species and Buchholzia appendiculata. This group showed a comparatively high percentage at WK-RF, due to a high dominance of Buchholzia appendiculata at this site (16%). However, the microannelid community was generally dominated by A-strategist species at all three sites. At WK-DW and NB they represented more than 50% of the species, to which Achaeta sp. (affi) contributed the greatest part. At WK-RF k-strategist species reached almost the percentage of A-strategists. To the category A-strategist belong indicators of moderate as well as of strong acidity. Figure 1 (right) differentiates according to the three groups of acidity indicators given in Table 3. It becomes evident that the A-strategists include mainly indicators of moderate acidity here, while indicators of strong acidity had by far the smallest proportion of the three indicator groups at all investigated sites.

Humus profiles and vertical distribution of microannelids

The humus layer of the soil profile was restricted to the uppermost microannelid subsample (0-2.5 cm) at all three sites (Figure 2, data not shown for NB). The microannelid activity showed generally a decline in the deeper subsamples (figure 3). At site WK-RF the border between humus layer and mineral soil was located within the uppermost sub-sample, where the highest microannelid density was found. At WK-DW and NB the microannelid density was highest in the second depth layer. At these both sites, also the border between humus layer and mineral soil was located slightly deeper than at WK-DW and often coincided with the border between first and second sub-sample. The high abundance in the 2.5-5 cm layer at WK-DW and NB was partly produced by the mass occurrence of Achaeta sp. (affi) in this layer in single samples. Some species concentrated in specific horizons: Buchholzia appendiculata occurred most numerously in the uppermost layer, which consisted mainly of the organic layer. In contrast, other species, as Hrabeiella periglandulata and Stercutus niveus occurred predominantly in the mineral topsoil (A-horizon).



Figure 1. Life-form types (left), strategy types (centre) and acidity indicator types (right). Percentage of biomass (earthworms) or abundance (microannelids). In the diagrams, the parameter complementing the shown values to 100% is: anecic biomass (left), k-strategists (centre) and indicators of moderate acidity (right). Strategy types according to Graefe & Schmelz (1999). WK-DW: Weiherskopf with deadwood, WK-RF: Weiherskopf reference site, NB1991: Nonnenstromberg, sampling 1991 without formalin extraction of earthworms, NB1993: Nonnenstromberg, sampling 1993 with formalin extraction of earthworms



Figure 2. Humus profiles of the two Weiherskopf study sites at the 10 sample points and arithmetic means of horizon thickness (right column). L: undecayed litter, OF: fragmented litter, OH: humified litter, Ah: mineral topsoil, B: subsurface horizon. WK-DW: Weiherskopf with deadwood, WK-RF: Weiherskopf reference site.



Figure 3. Vertical distribution of microannelids at the study sites. WK-DW: Weiherskopf with deadwood, WK-RF: Weiherskopf reference site, NB: Nonnenstromberg.

Sørensen Similarity Index

The Sørensen Similarity Index was computed for the whole annelid community as well as for microannelids only. Taking into account all annelid species it took values between 0.83 and 0.78, while the exclusion of earthworms from the index yielded slightly lower values (Table 4). While the species spectra of WK-DW / WK-RF and WK-DW / NB overlap almost to the same degree, the similarity between WK-RF and NB was slightly lower.

 Table 4. Sørensen Similarity Index of the investigated sites.

 WK-DW: Weiherskopf with deadwood, WK-RF: Weiherskopf reference site, NB: Nonnenstromberg.

Earthworms and microannelids:	Sørensen Index			
WK-DW vs WK-RF	0.83			
WK-DW vs NB	0.82			
WK-RF vs NB	0.78			
Microannelids only:				
WK-DW vs WK-RF	0.77			
WK-DW vs NB	0.79			
WK-RF vs NB	0.73			

DISCUSSION

While the site WK-DW had been strict forest reserve for 25 years at the time of investigation, the Nonnenstromberg strict forest reserve was only established two years before the first investigation at the site NB. However, this site had been part of a nature protection area for several decades before, which also implies reduced human impact. We thus consider the sites WK-DW and NB similar concerning their protection status for \geq 25 years. Site WK-RF is still under forest management, which includes deadwood removal to some extent. Amounts of deadwood varied strongly horizontally at all sites. At the Weiherskopf sites, windthrow had been patchy, WK-DW being more affected by it than WK-RF. In our

opinion, a correlation of deadwood presence with the zoological data would have required the assessment of deadwood stocks and distribution at each of the sample points, but this was not part of our research contract. Thus, the possible influence of windthrow and deadwood management on species composition, abundance and biomass data of earthworms and microannelids was not explored in detail. As we took soil-samples and did not sample specifically under or in the vicinity of decaying deadwood, we assume that the soil properties were principal determining factors for community composition.

Species composition of earthworms was very similar at the three investigated sites. The much lower earthworm abundance at the Nonnenstromberg site indicates that the habitat conditions might not have been optimal at the sampling occasions in 1991 and 1993. Also comparison with other studies shows that the abundance data for the Nonnenstromberg site were rather low (Bonkowski 1991). Whether soil properties or other factors, as e.g. unfavourable weather conditions (drought) have been the reason, is hardly possible to detect more than 20 years after the investigation. When we encounter a very low abundance, this can relate to lower species numbers. Species we would expect might then be seemingly absent, because they were not covered by the sampling, e.g. due to sparseness or inactivity. This might have been the case for Octolasion tyrtaeum at site NB.

For microannelids the significantly higher abundance at WK-DW is mainly due to high numbers of *Achaeta* sp. (affi) at one sample point. This mass occurrence, which contributed more than one third to the total abundance of this site, was probably caused by the slightly lower pH at this point, as *Achaeta* sp. (affi) is an indicator of moderate acidity. A mass development of this species occurs to a lesser extend also at NB and has been encountered elsewhere as well (Graefe 2004).

With respect to community characterization we consider quantitative parameters, like abun-

dance, that can show high short-term variability, less relevant than parameters linked to the species composition, as proportion of indicator groups or occurrence of functional key species. In this respect, the communities at the three investigated sites shared characteristics that indicate similar soil habitat conditions. As has been repeatedly demonstrated, the characteristics of soil fauna communities are closely linked to humus form development (Jabiol et al. 1995, Graefe & Beylich, 2006). At the investigated sites, all three life form types of earthworms were present. Anecic and endogeic earthworm species are considered main actors in the formation of mull humus forms. In addition to these, Stercutus niveus among the microannelids is characteristic for the decomposer community type typical for forest soils with mull humus forms according to Graefe (1993). At the studied sites we found a variant of this community type, including a high dominance of endogeic earthworms, along with a reduced presence of anecic earthworms. Further the generally quite rare species Dendrobaena pygmaea occurred regularly. Among microannelids a high dominance of Hrabeiella periglandulata and the occurrence of several species that are indicators of moderate acidity characterized the community type variant at the studied sites. Given pH values below 5 in the mineral topsoil, indicators of slight acidity, as anecic earthworms and most Fridericia species, are near the lower limits of their pH_(CaCl2) tolerance range (Graefe & Beylich 2003), even when we consider that measurement in CaCl₂-solution would produce slightly higher values than measurement in KCl-solution as used in the present study.

Due to the low frequency of anecic earthworms, the incorporation of organic matter into the soil was limited at the studied sites, resulting in the formation of an OF-layer of partly fragmented organic material. Thus, the main humus form was an F-Mull (Ad-hoc-AG Boden 2005). Although there were patches with a thin OHhorizon, suggesting a transition to moder humus forms, we always found numerous microannelids to a depth of 10 cm, indicating a biologically active A-horizon, being an integral part of mull humus forms (Jabiol *et al.* 1995). A closer look at the vertical distribution of microannelids in relation to the border between the humus layer and the mineral soil suggests a high decomposition activety just in this border zone. The high dominance of the r-strategist *Buchholzia appendiculata* in the uppermost layer is related to this. The preference of this species for the litter layer (OL- and OFlayer) is well established (Dózsa-Farkas 1992, Graefe & Schmelz 1999). The fact that *Fridericia* species, all of which are considered indicators of only slight acidity, showed the highest dominance in the uppermost layer, could relate to the fact that the organic layer had higher pH-values than the mineral topsoil.

The high species diversity associated with a considerable proportion of species tolerating at least moderate acidification distinguishes the community on basalt from that of limy soils on calcareous bedrock. Along a gradient from basalt to limestone, Schlaghamerský (1998) found a higher species number on basalt than on limestone, with Cognettia sphagnetorum and Enchytronia parva (indicators of strong and moderate acidity respectively according to Graefe & Schmelz 1999) showing significantly lower densities in the calcareous soil. At the same sites, Bonkowski's (1991) investigations on earthworms vielded a high diversity. The species Dendrobaena pygmaea showed a lower biomass on limestone than on basalt. Whether differences in species dominance were due to the lime content or rather differences in other factors could not be ascertained. The fact that soils on calcareous bedrock are frequently shallow and running dry readily may cause problems in relating community characteristics directly to the presence of lime. Our own investigations in the "Hünstollen" Strict Forest Reserve in Lower Saxony on calcareous soil on limestone showed a similarly high annelid diversity as in the current study (26 species), but hardly indicators of moderate acidity (Beylich et al. 1995). Notably, the most common species at our current study sites on basalt indicating moderate acidification, i.e. Enchytronia parva and Hrabeiella periglandulata, did not occur at the "Hünstollen" site. However, Mellin

(1988) found in his investigations in beech forests on limestone a microannelid community extremely rich in species, including also Enchytronia parva and other indicators of moderate acidity, although the pH (KCl) was mostly between 5 and 6 and thus slightly higher than at our study sites on basalt. Anyhow, also soils on limestone can show advanced acidification favouring a broad species spectrum comprising species with varying pH preferences. Schoch-Bösken & Greven (1987) found 24-26 species in a beech forest on limestone in the Egge Mountains (Germany), ranging from indicators of strong to slight acidity (mean pH (CaCl₂) 4.1-4.3). Apparently, it is difficult to draw a clear line between the annelid community of moderately base-rich soils on basaltic bedrock and the community of base-rich soils on calcareous bedrock. There rather seems to be a smooth transition between both, depending on the degree of acidification of the soil.

For faunistic studies on other animal groups in strict forest reserves, Sørensen values of > 60%are considered as "high" (Dorow 2014). The similarity of the three investigated sites on basalt according to the Sørensen Index is thus quite striking, supporting the conclusion that comparable site conditions led to the development of similar annelid communities. The high similarity also shows that, at least within the given sampling design, differences concerning community composition between the two Weiherskopf sites due to different deadwood management are not traceable. Although the inclusion of the above mentioned studies on basalt or limestone by other researchers into the calculation of Sørensen Indices would have been interesting, it was not undertaken, as the revision of species and description of new species during the last decades makes direct comparison of species lists in particular cases difficult (e.g. Schmelz 2003).

Corresponding to the delineated variant of decomposer community, also botanists distinguish within beech forests with mull humus forms (Galio-odorati Fagenion) between communities on moderately base-rich soils as opposed to those on calcareous soils (Ellenberg 1986). Both, the decomposer community as well as the plant community typical for moderately base-rich soils free of calcium carbonate apparently do not comprise distinct character species, but are characterized by the co-occurrence of indicators of slight and moderate acidity. On the other hand, the decomposer community of forest sites with moder humus forms is characterized by indicator species for strong acidity among the microannelids and the lack of endogeic and anecic earthworms, as outlined by Graefe et al. (2002). While reference ranges concerning abundance, biomass and species number for earthworms and microannelids have been published for these acid forest sites with moder humus forms in Beylich & Graefe (2009), no such values were proposed for moderately base-rich and limy sites so far due to the comparatively low number of relevant studies.

According to the Red List of Earthworms Germany (BfN 2016), the species *Dendrobaena pygmaea* is considered very rare, though unthreatened. An enquiry at the public database Edaphobase (Burkhardt *et al.* 2014) rendered only about twenty entries for this species in Germany, mostly in mixed deciduous forests, never in grassland or agricultural sites. We consider this species as one of the few species occurring predominantly in moderately base-rich to base-rich forest soils. The species *Aporrectodea limicola* is classified as rare in the Red List, and endangered to unknown degree, a more precise categorization being impeded by insufficient data.

We conclude that beech forests on basalt with F-mull are associated with a specific type of decomposer community, including also some rare species. Comparison with communities on calcareous bedrock shows considerable overlap in species composition. Characterization of both types could be strengthened by comparative studies. Data on site properties were kindly provided by Dr. Marcus Schmidt (NW-FVA), Uta Schulte (Wald und Holz NRW) and Martin Dworschak (Geologischer Dienst NRW).

REFERENCES

- AD-HOC-AG BODEN (2005): Bodenkundliche Kartieranleitung. 5th edition, Hannover, pp. 438.
- ASSMANN, T., DREES, C., SCHRÖDER, E., SSYMANK, A. (2007): Mythos Artenarmut - Biodiversität von Buchenwäldern. *Natur und Landschaft*, 82 (9/10): 401–406.
- BECK, L. (1987): Untersuchungen zur Struktur und Funktion der Bodenfauna eines Buchenwaldes. Abhandlungen und Berichte des Naturkundemuseums Görlitz, 60 (1): 19–28.
- BEYLICH, A., FRÜND, H.-C. & GRAEFE, U. (1995): Environmental Monitoring of Ecosystems and Bioindication by Means of Decomposer Communities. *Newsletter on Enchytraeidae* 4: 25–34.
- BEYLICH, A. & GRAEFE, U. (2009): Investigations of annelids at soil monitoring sites in Northern Germany: reference ranges and time-series data. *Soil Organisms*, 81(2): 175–196.
- BFN (BUNDESAMT FÜR NATURSCHUTZ) (ed.) (2016):
 Rote Liste und Gesamtartenliste der Regenwürmer (Lumbricidae et Criodrilidae) Deutschlands. In.
 BFN (BUNDESAMT FÜR NATURSCHUTZ) (ed.) Rote Liste gefährdeter Tiere, Pflanzen und Pilze Deutschlands, Band 4: Wirbellose Tiere (Teil 2).
 Münster (Landwirtschaftsverlag). Naturschutz und Biologische Vielfalt 70(4): 565–590.
- BMEL (BUNDESMINISTERIUM FÜR ERNÄHRUNG UND LANDWIRTSCHAFT) (ed.) (2016): Der Wald in Deutschland. Ausgewählte Ergebnisse der dritten Bundeswaldinventur. 52 pp. <u>www.bundeswald</u> <u>inventur.de/fileadmin/SITE_MASTER/content/Dok</u> <u>umente/Downloads/BMEL_Wald_Broschuere.pdf</u> accessed: 30 January 2018
- BOHN, U. & GOLLUB, G. (2007): Buchenwälder als natürliche Vegetation in Europa. *Natur und Landschaft*, 82(9/10): 391–397.
- BONKOWSKI, M. (1991): Verteilung der Regenwürmer (Lumbricidae) eines Buchenwaldes in einem Gradienten Basalt-Kalk II. Untersuchungen zur Biomasse. Berichte des Forschungszentrums Waldökosysteme, Reihe B, 22: 370–372.
- BUNDESANSTALT FÜR LANDWIRTSCHAFT UND ERNÄH-RUNG (2007): Datenbank Naturwaldreservate in

Acknowledgements – The study at the site Weiherskopf was part of the Research Program in Strict Forest Reserves of the federal state of Hesse (Germany) and was supported by the Nordwestdeutsche Forstliche Versuchsanstalt (NW-FVA). The research at the Nonnenstromberg Strict Forest Reserve was supported by the former LÖLF, now succeeded by the Landesbetrieb Wald und Holz Nordrhein-Westfalen.

Deutschland. <u>www.naturwaelder.de/</u> accessed 30 January 2018

BURKHARDT, U., RUSSELL, D.J., DECKER, P., DÖHLER, M., HÖFER, H., RÖMBKE, J., TROG, C., VORWALD, J., WURST, E. & XYLANDER, W.E.R. (2014): The Edaphobase project of GBIF-Germany – A new online soil-organism zoological data warehouse. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 83: 3–12. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2014.03.021 Query of data

doi: <u>10.1016/j.apsoil.2014.03.021</u> Query of data 2017/05/02 at: <u>https://portal.edaphobase.org/</u>

- DOROW, W.H.O. (2014): Die Hautflügler (Hymenoptera) des Naturwaldreservats Kinzigaue (Hessen). Untersuchungszeitraum 1999-2001. In. BLICK, T.; DOROW, W.H.O. & KÖHLER, G. (eds.) Kinzigaue. Zoologische Untersuchungen 1999-2001, Teil 2. *Naturwaldreservate in Hessen*, 13: 59–159.
- DÓZSA-FARKAS, K. (1992): Über die vertikale Verteilung der Enchytraeiden (Oligochaeta: Enchytraeidae) in einem Hainbuchen-Eichenwald Ungarns. *Opuscula Zoologica Budapest*, 25: 61–74.
- ELLENBERG, H. (1986): Vegetation Mitteleuropas mit den Alpen. Ulmer, Stuttgart, 989 pp.
- GRAEFE, U. (1990): Untersuchungen zum Einfluß von Kompensationskalkung und Bodenbearbeitung auf die Zersetzerfauna in einem bodensauren Buchenwald- und Fichtenforst-Ökosystem. In. GEHRMANN, J. (ed.) Umweltkontrolle am Waldökosystem. Forschung und Beratung. Reihe C. Münster-Hiltrup, Landwirtschaftsverlag, p. 232–241.
- GRAEFE, U. (1993): Die Gliederung von Zersetzergesellschaften f
 ür die standorts
 ökologische Ansprache. Mitteilungen der Deutschen Bodenkundlichen Gesellschaft, 69: 95–98.
- GRAEFE, U. (2004): Das vertikale Verteilungsmuster der Kleinringelwurmzönose als Indikator der Prozessdynamik im Humusprofil. Mitteilungen der Deutschen Bodenkundlichen Gesellschaft, 103: 27–28
- GRAEFE, U. & BEYLICH, A. (2003): Critical values of soil acidification for annelid species and the decomposer community. DIDDEN, W. & VAN VLIET, P. (eds.) Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Enchytraeidae, Wageningen, The Netherlands. *Newsletter on Enchytraeidae*, 8: 51– 55.
- GRAEFE, U. & BEYLICH, A. (2006): Humus forms as tool for upscaling soil biodiversity data to land-

scape level? Mitteilungen der Deutschen Bodenkundlichen Gesellschaft 108: 6–7.

- GRAEFE, U., ELSNER, D.-CHR., GEHRMANN, J. & STEM-PELMANN, I. (2002): Schwellenwerte der Bodenversauerung für die Bodenbiozönose. *Mitteilungen der Deutschen Bodenkundlichen Gesellschaft*, 98: 39–40.
- GRAEFE, U. & SCHMELZ, R.M. (1999): Indicator values, strategy types and life forms of terrestrial Enchytraeidae and other microannelids. *Newsletter* on Enchytraeidae, 6: 59–67.
- ISO 23611-1 (2006): *Soil quality Sampling of soil invertebrates - Part 1*: Hand-sorting and formalin extraction of earthworms. ISO, Geneva.
- IUSS WORKING GROUP WRB (2015): World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2014, update 2015. International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps. World Soil Resources Reports No. 106. FAO, Rome.
- JABIOL. B, BRETHES, A., PONGE, J.-F., TOUTAIN, F. & BRUN, J.-J. (1995): L'humus sous toutes ses formes. École Nationale du Génie Rural des Eaux et Forêts, Nancy, France, 62 pp.
- LANDESBETRIEB WALD UND HOLZ NORDRHEIN-WESTFALEN: Nonnenstromberg, Naturwaldzelle 60. www.wald-und-holz.nrw.de/wald-in-nrw/naturwald zellen/nonnenstromberg/ accessed 30 January 2018
- MELLIN, A. (1988): Untersuchungen zur Autökologie und Funktion von Enchytraeiden, Tubificiden und Aelosomatiden (Annelida, Oligochaeta) im Ökosystem Kalkbuchenwald. Ernst-August-Universität Göttingen, PhD Thesis, 297 pp.
- MÜHLENBERG, M. (1989): *Freilandökologie*. Quelle & Meyer Heidelberg, Wiesbaden. 2. edition, 430 pp.
- NIELSEN, C.O. & CHRISTENSEN, B. (1959): The Enchytraeidae, critical revision and taxonomy of European species. *Natura Jutlandica*, 8–9: 1–160.
- NW-FVA (Nordwestdeutsche Forstliche Versuchsanstalt) (ed.) (2015): *Hessische Naturwaldreservate im Portrait*: Weiherskopf. Göttingen, 43 pp.
- RÖMBKE, J. (1989): Zur Biologie eines Buchenwaldbodens. 12. Die Enchytraeiden. *Carolinea*, 47: 55–92.
- SCHAEFER, M. & SCHAUERMANN, J. (1990): The soil fauna of beech forests: comparison between a mull and a moder soil. *Pedobiologia*, 34: 299–314.

- SCHLAGHAMERSKÝ, J. (1998): The enchytraeids of a beech forest on a basalt-limestone gradient. In. PIŽL, V. & TAJOVSKÝ, K. (eds.) Soil Zoological Problems in Central Europe, ÚPB AV ČR, České Budějovice, p. 179–189.
- SCHLAGHAMERSKÝ, J. (2010): The small anelids (Annelida: Enchytraeidae, Rhyacodrilinae; Aelosomatidae) in soils of three forests in the White Carpathians (Czech Republic). Acta Societatis Zoologicae Bohemicae, 74: 103–115.
- SCHMELZ, R.M. (2003): Taxonomy of Fridericia (Oligochaeta, Enchytraeidae). Revision of species with morphological and biochemical methods. *Abhandlungen des Naturwissenschaftlichen Vereins in Hamburg* (NF), 38: 1–415.

- SCHMELZ, R.M. & COLLADO, R. (2010): A guide to European terrestrial and freshwater species of Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta). Soil Organisms, 82(1): 1–176.
- SCHOCH-BÖSKEN, J. & GREVEN, H. (1987): Artenspektrum und Dominanzstruktur von Enchytraeiden einiger Buchen- und Fichtenwälder Nordrheinwestfalens. Verhandlungen der Gesellschaft für Ökologie, 16: 79–84.
- SIMS, R.W. & GERARD, B.M. (1999) Earthworms. In. BARNES, R.S.K. & CROTHERS, J.H. (eds.) Synopses of the British Fauna. (New Series) No. 31 (Revised). London: E.J., 167 pp.
- WOLF, G. & STRIEPEN, K. (2007): Naturwaldreservate und Monitoring. *Natur und Landschaft*, 82 (9/10): 423–425
Data on the Lumbricidae and Enchytraeidae from six forest sites in Germany and Portugal

J. RÖMBKE^{1*}, C. BANDOW¹, A. GERLACH³, B. JAESCHKE³, R. M. SCHMELZ^{1,2}, T. NATAL-DA-LUZ⁴, J.P. SOUSA⁴ & D.J. RUSSELL³

¹Jörg Römbke & Cornelia Bandow, ECT Oekotoxikologie GmbH, Flörsheim/Main, Germany. *Corresponding author: J-roembke@ect.de

²Rüdiger M. Schmelz, ECT Oekotoxikologie GmbH, Flörsheim/Main, Germany and University of A

Coruña, Science Faculty, Evolutionary Biology Research Group (GIBE), A Coruña, Spain.

³Andreas Gerlach & Barbara Jaeschke & David J. Russell, Senckenberg Museum of Natural History Görlitz, Germany.

⁴Tiago Natal-Da-Luz & Jose Paulo Sousa, Centre for Functional Ecology, Department of Life Sciences, University of Coimbra, Portugal.

Abstract. The complex interactions between primary production, soil and soil organisms (in particular Lumbricidae and Enchytraeidae) during organic matter decomposition have developed over evolutionary time under specific habitat conditions. Thus, in order to study these processes, four forest sites in Germany (two in southern Hesse, two in eastern Saxony) and two sites in Central Portugal were selected to study both the oligochaete fauna and litter decomposition (almost all of them with a pH <4). Here, the results of the first part of this his work, i.e. the abundance and the species composition of the oligochaete fauna, are described. All samplings were performed using standard ISO-methods, with different temporal intensity. In detail, nine common Central European earthworm species were found at the six study sites plus one unidentified species at a Portuguese site. Abundance was usually low (< 10 Ind/m²); higher numbers (72.5 and 17.5 Ind/m²) were detected only at the two Saxonian sites. In total, 53 enchytraeid species were found; 43 of them already described. At four sites densities fell within the expected range for German deciduous forests (20 000 – 80000 Ind/m²). Finally, notes on the taxonomy and biogeography of several potworm species are given.

Keywords: Annelida, species number, species composition, global climate change

INTRODUCTION

ccording to recent research on climate-Achange scenarios, Central European weather will become more extreme, with intermittent very hot and dry summers during the next 50-100 years (Schär et al. 2004, Schmidli et al. 2007). Tree species currently used in forestry are not adapted to such conditions, thus drought-resistant Mediterranean tree species might be an alternative (Pflug & Brüggemann 2012, Bussotti et al. 2015). Litterfall from trees represents a key resource for the below-ground ecosystem, but it is not known whether the complex process of litter decomposition, which depends on the soil organism community (mainly bacteria, fungi and a wide range of soil invertebrates), is or will be impacted by these climatically driven changes in forest composition (Blankinship et al. 2011 but see Wall et al. 2008). Soil organism communities are adapted in various ways to local conditions, e.g. soil properties or climatic factors. As part of the German BiK-F project (www. www.bik-f.de; today belonging to the Senckenberg Museum, Frankfurt), the question was raised whether climate-change driven transformations of forest-tree composition will affect these organisms and their functions, such as their role in litter decomposition. Specifically, one of the research questions is whether soil organisms in Germany, having been adapted to litter from Central European tree species, such as beech (Fagus sylvatica) and oaks, e.g. Quercus pubescens, can handle litter from southern European trees (mainly oaks). Lumbricid and enchytraeid species were selected as representatives of the whole community due to their important role

urn: lsid:zoobank.org;pub:915C27D1-178B-4186-A2D4-B68E8C2BCBE9 *published: 11, May 2018* HU ISSN 2063-1588 (online), HU ISSN 0237-5419 (print) *http://dx.doi.org/10.18348/opzool.2017.S2.73*

in litter decomposition under central European conditions (Blouin *et al.* 2013).

The oligochaete studies were performed at different intensities in six forest sites (two in Saxony [eastern Germany], two in Hesse [western Germany] and two in Central Portugal), representing areas with different climate systems. Thus, the main question of the whole project was: will the local soil organism community be able to decompose "foreign" litter, or will local soil nutrient cycles be disrupted after introduction of the "foreign" trees? In this paper we report on the description of the oligochaete fauna at the six study sites in Germany and Portugal. Abundance and community composition of the fauna are important for the interpretations of the litter decomposition studies which are still in progress.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

In order to address the research question, three geographical regions in Europe were selected, one in the continental and one in the Atlantic region of Germany, and one in the Mediterranean region (Central Portugal). The study sites in eastern Germany are located in the continental climate zone with a mean annual yearly temperature (MAT) average of 8.5°C. These average increases by approx. 2°C when shifting to the sites in western Germany, representing the oceanic climate zone. An additional further 3°C increase in yearly temperature MAT is found at the study sites in Portugal which are influenced by the Mediterranean climate zone (Peel et al. 2007). These differences are within the range of predicted temperature increases for Germany and Portugal.

In each region, two study sites with four 20×20 m plots each were selected in order to represent different forest histories and conditions within a climatic region, *i.e.* forests were only replicated within sites but not necessarily between sites of an area. The two eastern German sites are located in the state of Saxony: Viereichen and Ullersdorf, both near Görlitz. They represent two undisturbed deciduous forests, mainly consisting of different species of oaks (mainly *Quercus robur* with an age of about 100 years), hornbeam (*Carpinus*)

betulus) and few common beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) trees. At Viereichen, rarely small leaf linden (*Tilia cordata*) does occur.

The two south-western German sites are located in the state of Hesse: Rüsselsheim (disturbed mixed forest near human settlements) and Lampertsheim (mixed deciduous forest in the Rhine valley, very sandy soil and dry conditions). These two were most intensively studied. The Rüsselsheim site is originally an open Pinus sylvestris forest containing solitary old (>80 years) Quercus robur trees. After disturbed by fire in 2008, the area was partly re-planted with Q. ilex, Q. frainetto and Q. pubescens. At Lampertheim, the dominant tree species is also Q. robur (ca. 110 years old). Saplings of the same oak species as in Rüsselsheim (plus *O. petraea*) where planted just outside of the undisturbed sampling area (Dorow et al. 2012). St. Olaia is a calcareous hill in the coastal plain that has been continuously occupied by forest since the Neolithic times. The vegetation is mainly composed by the tree species Quercus fagineae and Quercion ilicis and various herb and shrub species (e.g. Vinca difformis). Cerdeira has been occupied by forest since about 50 years ago when the area was no longer explored for agriculture. The vegetation is mainly composed by the tree species Quercus robur, Q. pyrenaica, Castanea sativa, Pinus pinaster and Eucalyptus globulus and the bracken fern (*Pteridium aquilinum*).

The main characteristics of the six study sites and their most important soil properties are given in Table 1. Soils differ strongly in terms of texture, mainly regarding the percentage of sand and silt. The clay content differs only slightly (*i.e.* between 5 and 10%). Except for St. Olaia, which had a pH of 5.0, all other sites had acid soils with pH-values between 3.2 and 3.7. Regarding the organic matter content, a wide range was covered, from 6.2 % in Lampertheim up to 21.3 % at Cerdeira. Despite these differences, the C/N-ratio was not strikingly different, ranging from 13.1 in Ullersdorf and 20.3 in Rüsselsheim. Soil moisture was measured gravimetrically (i.e. loss in % weight after drying at 105°C) in parallel to the individual oligochaete samplings. It can change quickly, but the two Hessian sites are certainly drier than the other four sites.

Property	Viereichen	Ullersdorf	Rüsselsheim	Lampertheim	Cerdeira	St. Olaia
Region	Saxony ((Germany)	Hesse (G	ermany)	Central I	Portugal
Coordinates	51°22′54" N 14°41′54" E	51°14′15" N 14°50′27" E	49°57′13" N 8°24′54" E	49°35′08" N 8°28′46" E	40°09′43" N 8°19′41" W	40°17′06" N 8°71′52" W
Text. Sand (%)	62.3	19.5	67.8	75.4	35.1	48.9
Text. Silt (%)	32.2	70.2	21.9	19.0	57.2	43.0
Text. Clay (%)	5.5	10.3	10.3	5.6	7.7	8.1
Texture class	Medium silty sand	Weakly loamy silt	Medium loamy sand	Weakly silty sand	Sandy silt	Silty-loamy sand
pH (CaCl ₂)	3.7	3.2	3.5	3.6	3.5	5.0
Organic Material (%)	9.5	13.4	9.4	6.2	21.3	16.7
C/N	14.9	13.1	20.3	17.1	15.7	13.0
Ø Soil Moisture (%)	30.1	79.5	37.8	30.9	41.8	30.6
Ø Temperature (C°)	8.4	9.9	11.1	7.3	12.8	15.1
Ø Ann. Precipitation	672	635	658	695	958	900

 Table 1. Main characteristics and most important soil properties of the six study sites. Mean temperature = mean of daily measures during the study period. Mean annual precipitation (mm/year) data are long-term averages collected from the nearest climate station.

Sampling of soil organisms

Earthworms (Lumbricidae) were sampled according to the ISO standard 23611-1 (2006), *i.e.* by a combination of hand-sorting and formalin extraction. Five randomly selected samples were taken per plot. The two Hessian sites were sampled 2009, twice in spring and autumn each. The remaining four sites (two in Saxony and two in Portugal) were sampled once in April and June 2014, respectively. Each individual worm was morphologically determined using the keys of Graff (1953), Bouché (1972) and Sims & Gerard (1999). The worms were stored in 90% ethanol. Potworms (Enchytraeidae) were sampled according to the ISO standard 23611-3 (2006) with soil-corers (\emptyset 5.5 cm). Ten samples were randomly taken per plot. Each sample was divided to litter layer and 0–4 cm mineral soil layer. The Hessian sites were sampled four times between autumn 2008 and spring 2010, while the others were sampled only once, in April or June 2014. Potworms were collected by wet extraction in the laboratory at room temperature, about 20°C. Extraction duration for litter-layer samples was 1–2 days and for mineral soil samples was 3–4 days. Morphological identification was performed using the key and checklist of Schmelz & Collado (2010; 2012).

RESULTS

Abundance, species number and composition of earthworms

All three pairs of sites and, also, the two sites within a region differed considerably in abundance and/or species number (Table 2). In Hesse, very low density $(0.4 - 3.4 \text{ Ind/m}^2)$ and species richness were found. In Saxony we found considerable differences between the two sites. At Viereichen both abundance and species number were high (80.5 Ind/m²; 6 species), but at Ullersdorf the respective numbers were clearly lower (17.5 Ind/m²; 3 species). In Portugal, the differences were even more pronounced; at

Cerdeira almost no earthworms were found (0.4 Ind/m^2 ; 1 species), while at St. Olaia both parameters were much higher (7.9 Ind/m^2 ; 4 species).

Abundance, species number and composition of enchytraeids

Due to the different sampling efforts at the six sites, it is difficult to compare the results directly, but the number of enchytraeids was in tendency, with two exceptions, in a similar range, i.e. about 40 000 to 50 000 Ind/m^2 (Tables 3 and 4). In Rüsselsheim their average number was almost always lower (about 25 000 Ind/m^2), while at Viereichen on average twice as many enchytraeids were found as at all other sites.

 Table 2. Abundance (Ind/m²), standard deviation (SD), species number and composition of earthworms at the six study sites.

 Note that earthworms were sampled four times at the two Hessian sites, but only once at the other sites (therefore, mean values are given for Rüsselsheim and Lampertheim).

 n.d. = not determined due to low numbers

Genus / Species	Vieleichen	Ullersdorf	Rüsselsheim		Lampertheim		Cerdeira	St. Olaia
	Spring 2014	Spring 2014	Autumn 2009	Spring 2010	Autumn 2009	Spring 2010	Spring 2014	Spring 2014
Aporrectodea s.l. sp.	23.0	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	2.5
Aporrectodea caliginosa (Savigny, 1826)	14.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Aporrectodea rosea (Savigny, 1826)	0.5	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	3.0
Lumbricus sp.	12.0	4.5	2.0	-	1.3	-	-	-
<i>Lumbricus rubellus</i> Hoffmeister, 1843	14.0	3.5	0.8	1.2	1.3	0.4	0.4	-
Lumbricus terrestris Linnaeus, 1758	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dendrobaena/-drilus sp.	2.5	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dendrobaena attemsi (Michaelsen, 1902)	4.0	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dendrobaena octaedra (Savigny, 1826)	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	-	-
Dendrodrilus rubidus (Savigny, 1826)	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Octolasion sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5
Octolasion cyaneum (Savigny, 1826)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.5
Octolasion tyrtaeum (Savigny, 1826)	-	-	-	-	0.3	-	-	-
Unknown species	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5
Undetermined	8.0	3.0	0.5	-	-	-	-	-
Total \pm SD.	80.5 ± 32.2	17.5 ± 3.4	3.3 ±1.7	1.2 n.d.	3.4 ± 2.1	0.4 n.d.	0.4 n.d.	8.0 ± 2.0
Species number per site	6	3	1	1	3	1	1	4

		Hesse (Germany)					
Year	Season	Rüsselsheim	Lampertheim				
2008	Autumn	21 520 ± 3 475	75 640 ± 8 286				
2009	Spring	$41\ 940\pm 5\ 841$	57 800 ±2 800				
2009	Autumn	13 920 ± 2 994	13060 ± 715				
2010	Spring	$22\ 700 \pm 7\ 569$	48 440 ± 12 517				
Average	-	$25\ 020\pm 11\ 232$	$48\ 735 \pm 26\ 324$				

Table 3. Abundance (Ind/m²) and standard deviation (SD) of enchytraeids at Rüsselsheim and Lampertheim

Enchytraeid abundance was fairly similar at the two Portuguese sites. At the two Hessian sites, samples were taken four times between the autumn of 2006 and the spring of 2011. Variation in abundance were less pronounced in Rüsselsheim (variation of a factor of three) than in Lampertheim (variation of a factor of six), but no specific pattern was visible.

In total, 53 enchytraeid species were identified (Table 4). Forty-three of them have already been described or are known to science; the remaining ten require descriptions. Not surprisingly, all but one of the undescribed taxa were found at the two Portuguese sites. Enchytraeids of Portugal are poorly known (Schmelz & Collado 2013). The number of enchytraeid species per site varied between 11 (Cerdeira) and 27 (St. Olaia); species numbers at the German sites were in-between. Thirty and 31 species, respectively, were only found at the sites in Germany (four sites) or in Portugal (two sites). Eleven species out of 52 occurred both in Portugal and Germany. Only one species, Enchytronia parva, was found at all six sites. At most sites no (Rüsselsheim) or few, i.e. 1-4 (Lampertheim, Viereichen, Ullersdorf, and Cerdeira) species occurred which were not found at other sites. The exception is St. Olaia, where 20 species were found which were not found at other sites.

DISCUSSION

Abundance, species number and composition of earthworms

All but one of the earthworm species found are well-known throughout Europe, *i.e.* no species with a restricted regional distribution was detected. The exception was one small worm found at St. Olaia, which was not well enough preserved to be determined. It resembled *Proctodrilus antipai*, a central-European species occurring between central France and the Black Sea (Bouché 1972, Csuzdi & Zicsi 2003).

The low abundances and species numbers at most sites can be explained by the acidic soils with pH-values mainly below four, as indicated by typical acidophilous species of the genera Dendrobaena/Dendrodrilus and, partly, Lumbricus. The low abundance at the Hessian and Portuguese sites can be explained by site-specific characteristics, *i.e.* very sandy and dry conditions soil in Lampertheim, human disturbance in Rüsselsheim and shallow soil layers, partly on slopes, in Cerdeira. With such low abundances, it is clear that the ecological role of earthworms at these sites is small (cf. Blouin et al. 2013). The exception is Viereichen with a similarly low pH, but with twice the average earthworm densities reported for German deciduous forests (Jänsch et al. 2013). This situation cannot be presently explained.

Abundance, species number and list of enchytraeids

Enchytraeid densities at the six sites varied between 25 000 Ind/m² (Rüsselsheim) and almost 100 000 Ind/m² (Viereichen). Three out of the four German sites as well as both Portuguese sites are within the "normal" range (51 241 ± 30 677 Ind/m²) for German deciduous forests, as determined in a recent literature review (Römbke *et al.* 2013). According to the same source, such German deciduous forests "should" harbor 12.4 ± 5.5

 Table 4. Abundance (Ind/m²), standard deviation (SD.), number and composition of enchytraeid species at the six study sites (mean values for the Hesse sites). Species names with four letter codes in brackets indicate forms with new character combinations, probably new species.

Genus / Species	Saxony		Hesse (G	ermany)	Portugal	
	(Ger	many)				-
	Vier-	Ullers-	Rüssels-	Lam-	Cerdeira	St. Olaia
	eichen	dorf	heim	pertheim		
Achaeta abulba Graefe, 1989	-	80	1050	10480	-	-
Achaeta affinis Nielsen & Christensen, 1959	22940	1000	10920	16600	12960	-
Achaeta bibulba Graefe, 1989	-	-	220	260	-	-
Achaeta bifollicula Chalupský, 1993	-	-	860	80	-	-
Achaeta camerani (Cognetti, 1899)	820	11580	380	1580	-	-
Achaeta unibulba Graefe, Christensen & Dózsa-	-	-	-	-	-	60
Farkas, 2005	-					(00
Achaeta sp. (HEAL)	-	-	-	-	-	600
Achaeta sp. (PAFF)	-	-	-	-	-	2680
Achaeta sp. (PAFU)	-	4560	-	-	-	-
Bryoarlius enterst Ude, 1892	-	-	10	170	-	-
Buchholzia appenaiculata (Buchholz, 1862)	4640	-	-	-	-	14840
Buchholzia sp. (OLAI)	-	-	-	-	-	1080
Cornosviloviella Ci. minor Dozsa-Faikas, 1990	-	-	-	-	-	20
Cognettia cognettia chlavanhilua * Eriond	-	17020	-	40	12200	- 220
1913	/000	17020	5410	0180	-	320
<i>Enchytraeus bigeminus</i> Nielsen & Christensen, 1963	-	-	-	20	-	-
Enchytraeus buchholzi Vejdovsky, 1879	1420	-	-	-	540	3000
Enchytraeus bulbosus Nielsen & Christensen,	-	-	-	-	-	240
1963						
Enchytraeus sp. (GRAN)	2020	-	10	90	820	1240
Enchytraeus norvegicus Abrahamsen, 1969	11020	120	70	165	1760	-
Enchytronia parva Nielsen & Christensen, 1959	8440	180	340	2090	1500	840
Enchytronia sp. (MINO)	-	-	-	-	-	120
Enchytronia sp. (TENU)	120	-	-	-	160	-
Enchytronia pygmaea Graefe & Schmelz, 2017						100
Fridericia auritoides Schmelz, 2003	-	-	-	-	-	720
Fridericia benti Schmelz, 2002	60	-	-	-	-	-
Fridericia bisetosa (Levinsen, 1884)	2980	-	-	-	-	1980
Fridericia bretscheri Southern, 1907	-	-	-	-	-	2460
Fridericia brunensis Schlaghamerský, 2008	320	-	30	-	-	-
Fridericia bulboides Nielsen & Christensen, 1959	1220	-	-	180	-	-
Fridericia ciliotheca Schmelz & Collado, 2013	-	-	-	-	-	460
Fridericia connata Bretscher, 1902	240	-	20	-	-	200
Fridericia cylindrica Springett, 1971	-	-	-	-	-	40
Fridericia dura (Eisen, 1879)	620	-	-	-	-	-
Fridericia isseli Rota, 1994	-	-	-	-	-	340
Fridericia larix Schmelz & Collado, 2005	-	-	-	-	-	160
Fridericia monochaeta Rota, 1995	-	-	-	-	800	300
Fridericia paroniana Issel, 1904	-	-	-	-	-	5520
Fridericia ratzeli (Eisen, 1872)	740	-	20	60	-	-
Fridericia striata (Levinsen, 1884)	280	-	-	90	3,120	1780
Fridericia tuberosa Rota, 1995	-	-	-	-	-	240
<i>Fridericia</i> sp. (PANO)	-	-	-	-	1,080	-
Fridericia sp. (PERT	-	-	-	-	-	1980
Marionina argentea (Michaelsen, 1889)	-	-	-	-	-	40
Marionina clavate Nielsen & Christensen, 1961	-	5100	5340	1160	-	-
Marionina filiformis Nielsen & Christensen, 1959	-	-	-	60	-	-

Genus / Species	Saxony (Germany)		Hesse (Germany)		Portugal	
	Vier- eichen	Ullers- dorf	Rüssels- heim	Lam- pertheim	Cerdeira	St. Olaia
Marionina simillima Nielsen & Christensen, 1959	-	-	-	-	5460	-
Marionina sp. (SIM?)	20	-	-	-	-	-
Mesenchytraeus glandulosus(Levinsen, 1884)	40	-	-	-	-	-
Mesenchytraeus pelicensis Issel, 1905	120	80	30	-	-	-
Oconnorella cambrensis(O'Connor, 1963)	-	6680	310	7800	-	-
Oconnorella tubifera (Nielsen & Christensen, 1959)	14360	220	-	1400	-	-
Stercutus niveus Michaelsen, 1888	-	80	-	-	-	-
Abundance (Ind/m ²)	95820± 37300	46760 ± 29470	25020 ± 11232	$\begin{array}{r} 48735 \pm \\ 26324 \end{array}$	40400 ± 26545	41360 ± 26483
Species number per site	21	13	16	19	11	27

*= Cognettia sphagnetorum auct. (partim). See Martinsson *et al.* (2015) for details. The valid genus name is controversial and awaits a ruling by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature.

species. Our species number range, 13–21 fits very well to this average richness. To our knowledge, no quantitative studies on enchytraeid abundance and community structure have been carried out at Portuguese forest sites in general so far.

Regarding the biogeographical distribution, it seems that, despite the large geographical distance between the German and Portuguese sites, there is considerable overlap in faunal composition, although the overlap is smaller than in the case of earthworms. The large number of previously known species in Portugal confirmed previous records, reviewed in Schmelz & Collado (2013), who list altogether 32 species for Portugal. Two third of the species have also been recorded in Germany, thus most species belong to both the Portuguese and the German enchytraeid fauna. The high number of species found only in St. Olaia (18), including five species not yet described, indicates that the Portuguese enchytraeid fauna is far from being fully explored. In contrast to Central Europe, many new species were found not only in the species-rich genus Fridericia but also in genera such as Achaeta or Enchytronia. This is especially important when planning to use enchytraeids as indicators of soil quality (i.e. in terms of soil biodiversity). Species already known from other areas can be classified ecologically (e.g., several species found at Cerdeira such as A. affinis or E. norvegicus are known to be acidophilous).

Five of the six study sites have a pH <4 and the sixth one, St. Olaia, is also acidic, although less so (Table 1), meaning that a relatively small number of acidophilous species (often in high numbers) are expected to occur there. However, it is not clear why at five out of six sites the species number is higher than expected. This may partly explained by site-specific characteristics be which, however, are difficult to identify. For example, in Lampertheim the vegetation pattern is very heterogeneous at a small scale, meaning that open spots and typical forest areas intermingle, providing different ecological niches at small scales. Rüsselsheim, on the other hand, is a forest located close to a densely populated city area, which - after a fire - had partly been afforested about 20 years ago. Thus, it can be considered to represent a disturbed site. However, while this stress may explain the low enchytraeid abundance, it seems to be contradictory to the relatively high species number.

The Cerdeira site is located in a mountainous region, often with shallow (*i.e.* less than 10 cm) soils and steep hills. This means that soils regularly dry up, which may explain the overall low abundance in comparison to such forests in general. A preliminary sampling in summer with dust-dry soil yielded no enchytraeids. Typical species of such acid sites are: *Ac. affinoides, Co. cognettii, En. norvegicus* and *Fr. striata.* New geographical elements (to be checked with more

sites) are *Fr. monochaeta*, *Fr.* (PANO), and *Ma. simillima*.

The forest of St. Olaia is the last remnant of a larger forest, being located on a small hill surrounded by agricultural land. The dominance of species from the genera *Enchytraeus* and *Fridericia* indicates neutral soils, which is interesting since the soil pH was measured as being just 5.0. In addition, the absence of *Henlea* species indicates dry conditions. New zoogeographical elements (to be checked ecologically with more sites) are represented by *Fr. auritoides*, *Fr. bretscheri*, *Fr. ciliotheca*, and *Fr. monochaeta*.

Finally, some special remarks on the taxonomy and biogeography regarding the two Portuguese sites can be made. At St. Olaia, *Fr. ciliotheca*, recently described from Coimbra (about 80 km to the west), was found for the first time following its original description. *Fr. larix* was found for the second time following its original description. Thus far it is only known from Ireland (original description) and England (unpublished). Possibly, it is a truly Atlantic species. The record of *Enchytronia pygmaea* at St.Olaia was included in the original description of the species (Graefe & Schmelz 2017).

Acknowledgements – We thank all colleagues in Flörsheim, Görlitz and Coimbra who helped with the field samplings and the participants of the 12^{th} International Symposium on Enchytraeidae (Tihany, Hungary (27–29 June 2016)) for their contributions when discussing this issue. In addition, we would like to thank the referees for their very constructive remarks.

REFERENCES

- BLANKINSHIP, J.C., NIKLAUS, P.A. & HUNGATE, B.A. (2011): A meta-analysis of responses of soil biota to global change. *Oecologia*, 165: 553–5652. doi: 10.1007/s00442-011-1909-0
- BLOUIN, M, HODSON, M.E., DELGADO, E.A. BAKER,
 D., BRUSSAARD, L., BUTT, K.R., DAI, J., DEN-DOOVEN, L., PERES, G., TONDOH, J.E., CLUZEAU, D. & BRUN, J.-J. BRUN (2013): A review of earthworm impact on soil function and ecosystem services. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 64: 161–182. doi: 10.1111/ejss.12025

- BOUCHÉ, M. B. (1972): Lombriciens de France. Écologie et systéematique. INRA Publ. 72-2, Institut National de Recherches Agriculturelles Annales de Zoologie. Ecologie animale, hors série 72 (2). Paris, 671 pp.
- BUSSOTTI, F., POLLASTRINI, M., HOLLAND, V. & BRÜGGEMANN, W. (2015): Functional traits and adaptive capacity of European forests to climate change. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 111: 91–113. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2014.11.006
- CSUZDI, CS. & ZICSI, A. (2003): Earthworms of Hungary (Annelida: Oligochaeta, Lumbricidae).
 Pedozoologica Hungarica No. 1. Hungarian Natural History Museum and Systematic Zoology Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest. 271 pp.
- DOROW, W.H.O., LANGE, A., QUERBACH, A. & BRÜG-GEMANN, W. (2012): Mediterranean Oaks (Quercus spp.) and Central European Oak-feeding Lepidoptera in Southern Hesse. *Entomology General*, 34: 119–130. doi: 10.1127/entom.gen/34/2012/119
- GRAEFE, U. & SCHMELZ, R. M. (2017): Description of Enchytronia pygmaea sp. n. (Enchytraeidae, Clitellata), a very small enchytraeid in European soils. Opuscula Zoologica (Budapest), 48(Suppl. 2): 3– 10. doi: <u>10.18348/opzool.2017.S2.3</u>
- GRAFF, O. (1953): Die Regenwürmer Deutschlands. Schriftenreihe der Forschungsanstalt für Landwirtschaft Braunschweig-Völkenrode 7, Schaper. Germany, 81 pp.
- ISO (INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR STANDAR-DIZATION) (2006): Soil quality - Sampling of soil invertebrates Part 1: Hand-sorting and formalin extraction of earthworms. ISO 23611-1. Geneva, Switzerland.
- ISO (INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR STANDAR-DIZATION) (2007): Soil quality - Sampling of soil invertebrates Part 3: Sampling and soil extraction of enchytraeids. ISO 23611-3. Geneva, Switzerland.
- JÄNSCH, S., STEFFENS, L., HÖFER, H., HORAK, F., ROB-NICKOLL, M, RUSSELL, D., TOSCHKI, A. & RÖMB-KE, J. (2013): State of knowledge of earthworm communities in German soils as a basis for biological soil quality assessment. *Soil Organisms*, 85: 215–232 + Electronic Supplement.
- MARTINSSON, S., ROTA, E. & ERSÉUS, C. (2015): Revision of *Cognettia* (Clitellata, Enchytraeidae):

reestablishment of *Chamaedrilus* and description of cryptic species in the *sphagnetorum* complex. *Systematics and Biodiversity*, 13: 257–277. doi: 10.1080/14772000.2014.986555

- PEEL, M.C., FINLAYSON, B.L. & MCMAHON, T.A. (2007): Updated world map of the Koppen-Geiger climate classification. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 11: 1633–1644. doi: 10.5194/hess-11-1633-2007
- PFLUG, E. & BRÜGGEMANN, W. (2012): Frost-acclimation of photosynthesis in overwintering Mediterranean holm oak, grown in Central Europe. *International Journal of Plant Biology*, 3:e1. doi: 10.4081/pb.2012.e1
- RÖMBKE, J., JÄNSCH, S., HÖFER, H., HORAK, F., ROB-NICKOLL, M., RUSSELL, D. & TOSCHKI, A. (2013):
 State of knowledge of enchytraeid communities in German soils as a basis for biological soil quality assessment. *Soil Organisms* 85: 123–146 + Electronic Supplement.
- SCHÄR, C., VIDALE, P., LÜTHI, D., FREI, C., HÄBERLI, C., LINIGER, M.A. & APPENZELLER, C. (2004): The role of increasing temperature variability in European summer heatwaves. Nature, 427: 332– 335. doi: 10.1038/nature02300
- SCHMELZ, R.M. & COLLADO, R. (2010): A guide to European terrestrial and freshwater species of Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta). *Soil Organisms*, 82: 1–176.
- SCHMELZ, R. M. & COLLADO, R. (2012): An updated checklist of currently accepted species of Enchytaeidae (Oligochaeta). *vTI Agriculture and Forestry Research*, Special Issue 357: 67–87.

- SCHMELZ, R. M. & COLLADO, R. (2013): Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta, Annelida) from a field site in Portugal, with the description of five new species and a redescription of *Enchylea heteroducta* Nielsen & Christensen, 1963. *Zootaxa* 3647: 307– 328. doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.3647.2.4
- SCHMIDLI, J., GOODESS, C.M., FREI, C., HAYLOCK, M.R., HUNDECHA, Y., RIBALAYGUA, J. & SCHMITH, T. (2007): Statistical and dynamical downscaling of precipitation: An evaluation and comparison of scenarios for the European Alps. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmosphere*, 112: 1984– 2012. doi: 10.1029/2005JD007026
- SIMS, R. W. & GERARD, B.M. (1999): Earthworms. In. KERMACK, D.M. & R. S. K. BARNES (eds.): Synopses of the British Fauna (New Series) No. 31. E. J. Brill / W. Backhuys, London. UK. 171 pp.
- WALL, D.H., BRADFORD, M.A., ST. JOHN, M.G., TROFYMOW, J.A., BEHAN-PELLETIER, V., BIGNELL, D.E., DANGERFIELD, J.M., PARTON, W.J., RUSEK, J., VOIGT, W., WOLTERS, V., ZADEH GARDEL, H., AYUKE, F.O., BASHFORD, R., BELJAKOVA, O.I., BOHLEN, P.J., BRAUMAN, A., FLEMMING, S., HENSCHEL, J.R., JOHNSON, D.L., HEFIN JONES, T., KOVAROVA, M., KRANABETTER, J.M., KUTNY, L., М., LIN, K-C., MARYATI, MASSE, D., POKARZHEVSKII, A., RAHMAN, H., SABARA, M.G., SALAMON, J.-A., SWIFT, M.J., VARELA, A., VASCONCELOS, H.L., WHITE, D. & ZOU, X. (2008): Global decomposition experiment shows soil animal impacts on decomposition are climatedependent. Global Change Biology, 14: 2661-2677. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01672.x